# 2023 Country Survey on 10 Point Action Plan (2025 Global HIV Prevention Roadmap)

#### **Orientation meeting of new GPC countries**

Lycias Zembe
Global HIV Prevention Coalition, UNAIDS, Geneva
NAC Managers Meeting
24 October 2023




# Monitoring progress in implementing the 2025 HIV Prevention Road Map

1

Data, both quantitative and qualitative, from various sources, predominantly GAM – program output, outcomes, and impact indicators

2

Country-reported responses (subjective) based on a survey questionnaire covering the 10 Roadmap actions — multiple choice and few text-based questions





- The structure followed the 10 Roadmap 2025 actions and attempts to capture all the pillars where relevant
- Each section attempts to drill down on specific roadmap actions in line with the milestones in the Roadmap document





### Why is a Road Map survey conducted?

> provides a systematic way to assess the implementation of key actions in the 2025 HIV Prevention Road Map.

Document where countries are in relation to the 10-Point Action Plan of the 2025 HIV Prevention Road Map

Highlighting where progress has been made and identify remaining gaps

The GPC is also a platform for cross-learning; compiled survey results allow comparability across countries



### How the survey was conducted



- The survey was shared with countries between 9 28 March 2023
  - 28 GPC focus countries + 6 "new" countries
- Recipients in each country included:
  - UNAIDS Country Directors,
  - UNAIDS HIV prevention focal points,
  - UNFPA representatives,
  - UNFPA HIV prevention focal points,
  - National AIDS Commission Directors and their HIV prevention focal points,
  - Ministry of Health HIV Prevention Focals points,
  - UNAIDS RST Directors and their HIV Prevention Focal points
- One response per country compiled by the HIV prevention TWG under the leadership of the National AIDS Councils or National AIDS Control Program or the prevention leadership entity.
- Responses were received by the GPC Secretariat Team between 15 March and 12 May 2023
- All results are verified by government programs before analysis and dissemination



### Countries response rates and data analyses

#### **Complete submissions**

Angola Democratic Republic of the Congo Iran Myanmar South Africa

Botswana Egypt Kenya Namibia South Sudan

Brazil eSwatini Lesotho Nigeria Uganda Cameroon Ethiopia Madagascar Pakistan Ukraine

China Ghana Malawi Peru United Republic of Tanzania

Colombia India Mexico Philippines Zambia
Côte d'Ivoire Indonesia Mozambique Rwanda Zimbabwe

Analysis was done on N = 35/35 countries done



## Data analysis methodology

**Overall Roadmap actions scoring matrix** 



#### Action 1 - Conduct a data-driven assessment of HIV prevention programme needs and barriers

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring                      | Scoring  | Comments  |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1.1                                    | > HIV prevention response epidemic patterns analysis   | > 0.5 (different proportion of the 0.5 based on the level of analysis) | All subnational > 0.5, some subnational > 0.4, national > 0.3 |
| 1.2                                    | > Consultation meetings to identify barriers conducted | > 0.25   |   |
|  | > Listing of the identified barriers                   | > 0.25   |   |



### Action 2 - Adopt a precision prevention approach focused on the key and priority populations to develop national HIV prevention goals aligned 2025 targets

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring                             | Scoring | Comments  |
|--|---|---------|---|
| 2.1                                    | > Developed a prevention<br>Roadmap or strategy or plan       | > 0.5   |   |
| 2.2                                    | > Set granular HIV prevention targets                         | > 0.2   |   |
| 2.3                                    | > Translate national targets to subnational targets           | > 0.6   |   |
| 2.4                                    | > Differentiated HIV prevention packages                      | > 0.8   |   |
| 2.5                                    | > Packages for AGYW where relevant                            | > 0.8   | 2.5 where not applicable the country gets the score |
| 2.7                                    | > SOPs or implementation guides in place for relevant pillars | > 0.8   |   |



### Action 3 - Define country investment needs for an adequately scaled HIV prevention response and ensure sustainable financing

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring                | Scoring | Comments |
|--|--|---------|----------|
| 3.1                                    | > Budget/costed HIV prevention plan developed    | > 0.6   |          |
| 3.2                                    | > Dialogue to address HIV prevention funding gap | > 0.4   |          |



### Action 4 - Reinforce HIV prevention leadership entities for multisectoral collaboration, oversight, and management of prevention responses

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring  | Scoring | Comments  |
|--|--|---------|---|
| 4.1                                    | > Multi-sector HIV prevention leadership exist                               | > 0.5   | If meeting was done two or more times > 0.3, if they met only once > 0.15, if |
| 4.2                                    | > The entity is functional shown by meetings held                            | > 0.3   | they never met >0   |
| 4.3                                    | > Milestones developed to<br>reinforce HIV prevention<br>leadership entities | > 0.2   |   |



### Action 5 - Strengthen and expand community-led HIV prevention services and set up social contracting mechanisms

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring   | Scoring | Comments                                  |
|--|---|---------|---|
| 5.1                                    | > Government convening of communities of KPs, young people, implementing partners and funders | > 0.2   |   |
| 5.2                                    | > Public funds being allocated to NGOs and community-led services                             | > 0.2   |   |
| 5.3                                    | > Any laws or policies impacting community organisations                                      | > 0.2   | If laws are in place > 0 and if not > 0.2 |
| 5.4                                    | > Any targets set to increase proportion of services delivered by community-led organisations | > 0.2   |   |
| 5.5                                    | > Have milestones been developed to strengthen and expand community-led                       | > 0.2   |   |
|  | prevention services   |         | #RGPC                                     |

**Prevention Coalition** 

#### Action 6 - Remove social and legal barriers to HIV prevention services for key and priority populations

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring   | Scoring | Comments |
|--|---|---------|----------|
| 6.1                                    | > Does the HIV prevention<br>strategy clearly identify and<br>prioritize addressing of legal,<br>policy and structural barriers | >0.6    |          |
| 6.2                                    | > Milestones to address the barriers  | > 0.4   |          |



#### Action 7 - Promote integration of HIV prevention into essential related services to improve HIV outcomes

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring                            | Scoring | Comments                          |
|--|--|---------|-----------------------------------|
| 7.1                                    | > Are HIV prevention service integration milestone developed | >0.7    |                                   |
| 7.1.1                                  | > Are the milestones listed                                  | >0.3    | 10% for each additional milestone |



### Action 8 - Institute mechanisms for rapid introduction of new HIV prevention technologies and programme innovations

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring  | Scoring | Comments |
|--|--|---------|----------|
| 8.1                                    | > Defined specific actions to introduce new HIV prevention technologies              | > 0.6   |          |
| 8.2                                    | > Are milestone developed and listed for introduction of new prevention technologies | > 0.4   |          |



#### Action 9 - Establish real-time HIV prevention programme monitoring systems with regular reporting

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring   | Scoring | Comments |
|--|---|---------|----------|
| 9.1                                    | > Has the country done a data triangulation to determine coverage of programmes | > 0.4   |          |
| 9.3                                    | >Developed subnational Scorecards?  | > 0.1   |          |
| 9.4                                    | > HIV prevention funding expenditure analysis done?                             | > 0.4   |          |
| 9.5                                    | > Cost-effectiveness incorporated into national prevention program              |         |          |
|  | reviews   | > 0.1   |          |



#### Action 10 - Strengthen accountability of all stakeholders for progress in HIV prevention

| Question number (in the questionnaire) | Components considered for scoring   | Scoring   | Comments |
|--|---|---|----------|
| 10.1                                   | > Accountability (Table3) of<br>the 2025 HIV Prevention<br>Roadmap followed                       | > 0.1 for each of the 8 answers                   |          |
| 10.2                                   | > Country developed an<br>Accountability Framework in<br>line with 2025 HIV Prevention<br>Roadmap | > 0.2 for yes developed and > 0.1 being developed |          |



# Baseline survey results

Roadmap actions survey results summary



#### **Road Map Survey Response Scoring**

**Road Map Action 2**. Adopt a precision prevention approach to develop national HIV prevention Goals and aligned 2025 targets

- A thorough scoring method was used that considered all the different parts of a Road Map Action, as suggested in the 2025 HIV Prevention Road Map.
- This helps to identify the precise gap among aspects of a Road Map Action that a country may require assistance to address.

| Q#  | Road map action component being assessed                      | Score   | Lesotho | Uganda | South Africa |
|-----|---|---------|---------|--------|--------------|
| 2.1 | > developed a prevention Road map or plan                     | -> 0.5  | 0.5     | 0.5    | 0            |
| 2.2 | > Set granular HIV prevention targets                         |         | 0.2     | 0      | 0.2          |
| 2.3 | > Translate national targets to subnational targets           |         | 0.06    | 0      | 0.06         |
| 2.4 | > Differentiated HIV prevention packages                      |         | 0       | 0.08   | 0            |
| 2.5 | > Packages for AGYW where relevant                            | -> 0.08 | 0.08    | 0.08   | 0.08         |
| 2.7 | > SOPs or implementation guides in place for relevant pillars | -> 0.08 | 0.08    | 0.08   | 0.08         |
|     | Overall score   | 1.0     | 0.9     | 0.7    | 0.5          |



|        | 2025 HIV Prevention Road<br>Map Action | Ouestion number (in the survey questionnaire)  Road Map Baseline Survey components considered for overall Road Map Action scoring |  | Rwanda | Madagascar | Colombia | South Sudan | Peru | Favnt |
|--------|--|---|--|--------|------------|----------|-------------|------|-------|
|        |  | 1.1   | > HIV prev response epidemic patterns analysis                           |        |            |          | <b>0</b> 7  |      |       |
|        | 1. Data-driven needs                   | 1.2   | > Consultation meetings to identify barriers                             |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | assessment                             |   | > Listing of the identified barriers                                     |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | Over all score  |  |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | 2.1   | > developed a prevention Road Map or plan                                |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | 2.2   | > Set granular HIV prevention targets                                    |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | 2 Descision provention                 | 2.3   | > Translate national targets to subnational targets                      |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | 2. Precision prevention                | 2.4   | > Differentiated HIV prevention packages                                 |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | approach                               | 2.5   | > Packages for AGYW where relevant                                       |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | 2.7   | > SOPs or implementation guides in place for relevant pillars            |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | Over all score  |  |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | 3.1   | > Budget/costed HIV prevention plan                                      |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| are    | 3. Define investment needs             | 3.2   | > Dialogue to address prevention funding gap                             |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | Over all score  |  |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| t      | 4. HIV prevention leadership agencies  | 4.1   | >Multi-sector HIV prevention leadership exist                            |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| nt of  |  | 4.2   | >The entity is functional shown by meetings held                         |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| iit Oi |  | 4.3   | >Milestones developed to reinforce HIV prevention leadership entities    |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| 1e     |  | Over all score  | vivilestories developed to reinforce fire prevention leadership entities |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| 0100   |  | 5.1   | >government convening of relevant communities                            |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| ons    |  | 5.2   | >Public funds being allocated to NGOs                                    |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| •      | 5. Expand community-led                | 5.3   | >Any laws or policies impacting NGOs                                     |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | · ·                                    | 5.4   |  |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | services                               | 5.5   | >Any targets set on community-led services                               |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | Over all score  | >Milestones on community-led services                                    |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | 6.1   | >Legal, policy and strutural barriers in strategy                        |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | 6. Remove social and legal             | 6.2   | >Milestone to addess the barriers  |        |            | $\vdash$ |             |      |       |
|        | barriers                               | Over all score  | Nillestone to addess the painers   |        |            | $\vdash$ |             |      |       |
|        |  | 7.1   | >Integration milestone developed   |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | 7. Integration with related            | 7.1.1   | >Are the milestones listed   |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | services                               | Over all score  | PAIC the filliestones listed   |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | 8.1   | >Actions for new prevention technologies                                 |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | 8. Introduction of new                 | 8.1.1   | >Milestone on new prevention technologies                                |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | technologies                           | Over all score  | A Milestone on new prevention technologies                               |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | 9.1   | >Data triangulationfor coverage of programmes                            |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | 9.3   | >Developed subnational Scorecards?                                       |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | 9. Real-time programme                 |   | >HIV prevention funding expenditure analysis done?                       |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| 9      | monitoring                             | 9.4   |  |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | 9.5   | >Cost-effectiveness in programe reviews                                  |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        |  | Over all score  | T. I. I. O. C. I   |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | 10. Accountability for HIV             | 10.1  | >Table3 of the 2025 Road Map followed                                    |        |            |          |             |      |       |
|        | progress                               | 10.2  | >Accountability framework in line with Road Map                          |        |            |          |             |      |       |
| L      | P. 00. 000                             | Over all score  |  |        |            |          |             |      |       |

with each component of each of the Ten –Actions – October 2023


Where

Done

In progress

Not yet done

# Progress on completion on each Road map Action by GPC countries



- In 2023, more countries are using data, adopting people centered planning for prevention and defining investment needs. More countries are also preparing the ground for new prevention technologies
- Note nearly enough is being done on service integration, having an accountability framework, or removing social and legal barriers
- 3. National institutions to lead coordinated HIV prevention programs, Community led service delivery and Prevention program monitoring systems are works in progress / areas for intensified support

