

# Questions Countries Should Start Asking Now About MC Devices

---

What are the considerations for  
national VMMC programs  
introducing device(s)?

Dr Peter Cherutich, MD, MPH  
Head, HIV Prevention, Kenya MoH

# Strategic decisions!!

---

- Has the national MC ‘Task Force’ been engaged/discussed MC devices?
- What should the roll out strategy be?
  - What’s the appropriate mix of surgical vs devices?
    - Incorporate device(s) as an option to surgical VMMC everywhere all at once?
    - Gradually? If gradually, where first, second, and last?
  - What type of settings? Static only at first or include outreach from the beginning? Private sector? Traditional settings?

# Stakeholder Engagement

---

- Who are the stakeholders that need to be engaged about device introduction (technical and non-technical stakeholders)? How are the various stakeholders identified?
- How should different stakeholders be engaged? When? By whom? Do they all require the same or different information?

# Regulatory Questions

---

- ❑ What regulatory approvals are required to import and use the device(s) into the country?
- ❑ Is there a safety monitoring body or policy for medicines (and devices) that gives approval/oversight?
- ❑ Do current scopes of practice for health care workers, including nurses, cover the procedures for device placement and removal?

# Service Delivery Considerations I

---

- ❑ When are device methods incorporated into existing VMMC SOPs, training curricula, national strategy documents?
- ❑ What is the process for revising data collection forms so that device-specific data elements, including adverse events, are collected and reported?

# Service Delivery Considerations II

---

- Is there a (written) plan for training larger numbers of providers to use the device(s)? How will training be rolled out? Who will fund the trainings?
- What level of skill and experience should providers selected for device training have?
  - ?focus on providers with previous surgical training
- What constitutes adequate training?
- Is retraining needed?
- Should providers be trained on one device or multiple devices as they are pre-qualified by WHO?

# Service Delivery Considerations III

---

- Eligibility, Choice, Referral
  - Access to surgical MC is required to handle AEs and provide MC for those ineligible for device or prefer surgery. How will the need for surgery be approached:
    - for clients ineligible for device(s)?
    - for clients who prefer surgery?
    - for clients with adverse events that require surgical management?

# AE Surveillance Considerations

---

- ❑ Once active adverse event (AE) surveillance of 1,000 routine cases is successfully completed, what is the longer-term plan for passive surveillance for device-related AEs?
- ❑ Will device-based safety monitoring be different than the passive follow-up and M&E for the surgical MC program?
- ❑ Who/what group in the national VMMC programme is responsible for monitoring safety of the surgical MC services?
- ❑ With which entities outside of the country will AE surveillance information need to be shared?
  - Donors
  - WHO
  - Manufacturers



# Communication Considerations

---

- How should information on PrePex and Shang Ring (and any future pre-qualified MC devices) be communicated
  - with the public?
  - with press/media?
  - with communications partners already working on VMMC demand creation?

# Vulnerabilities

---

- ❑ What are key vulnerabilities in VMMC programmes as a result of introducing new devices?
- ❑ Are there plans for addressing vulnerabilities and managing issues as they arise?



---

Thanks...