





GUIDELINES FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF SYMPTOMATIC SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS

JUNE 2021



GUIDELINES FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF Symptomatic Sexually Transmitted infections

JUNE 2021

Guidelines for the management of symptomatic sexually transmitted infections

ISBN 978-92-4-002416-8 (electronic version) ISBN 978-92-4-002417-5 (print version)

© World Health Organization 2021

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for noncommercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization (http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules/).

Suggested citation. Guidelines for the management of symptomatic sexually transmitted infections. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at http://apps.who.int/iris.

Sales, rights and licensing. To purchase WHO publications, see http://apps.who.int/ bookorders. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see http://www.who.int/about/licensing.

Third-party materials. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

General disclaimers. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

Design and layout by 400 Communications.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	vi
Abbreviations and acronyms	viii
Executive summary	ix
1. Summary of recommendations	1
1.1 Recommendations for the management of urethral discharge	1
1.2 Recommendations for the management of vaginal discharge	2
1.3 Recommendations for the management of lower abdominal pain among women	4
1.4 Recommendations for the management of genital ulcer disease, including anorectal ulcers	6
1.5 Recommendations for the management of anorectal discharge	8
2. Introduction and overview of the development of WHO guidelines for the management of symptomatic sexually transmitted infections	9
2.1 Epidemiology and global burden of sexually transmitted infections	9
2.2 STIs and HIV	10
2.3 Objectives and rationale for developing the guidelines	12
2.4 Objectives of the guidelines	13
2.5 Target audience	13
2.6 Guiding principles	14
2.7 Methods for developing the guidelines	14
2.8 Reviews of the evidence	16
2.9 Modelling outcomes	17
2.10 Presentation of the evidence	18
2.11 Making recommendations	18
2.12 Managing conflicts of interest	19
3. Case management for people with STIs	20
3.1 Objectives of STI case management	20
3.2 Requirements to achieve the objectives of STI case management	20
4. Diagnostic tests for asymptomatic and symptomatic people with STIs	33
4.1 Role of microscopy in diagnosing STIs and other reproductive tract infections	34
4.2 Quality-assured laboratory testing with a fully operational management system	35
5. Rationale for standardized treatment recommendations	36

6. Implementing the syndromic approach for the management of STIs		
7. Urethral discharge syndrome	38	
7.1 Clinical presentation – symptoms		
7.2 Examination findings – signs	38	
7.3 Laboratory diagnosis	38	
7.4 Recommendations for the management of urethral discharge	40	
7.5 Treatment recommendations for urethral discharge	43	
8. Vaginal discharge syndrome	45	
8.1 <i>T. vaginalis</i>	45	
8.2 Candidiasis	46	
8.3 Bacterial vaginosis	47	
8.4 Cervical infection – gonococcal and/or chlamydial cervicitis	48	
8.5 Recommendations for the management of vaginal discharge	50	
8.6 Treatment options for vaginal discharge	55	
9. Lower abdominal pain	58	
9.1 Recommendations for the management of lower abdominal pain among women	58	
9.2 Treatment for people presenting with lower abdominal pain	61	
10. Genital ulcer disease syndrome	63	
10.1 Herpes simplex virus	63	
10.2 Syphilis 64		
10.3 <i>H. ducreyi</i> (chancroid)	69	
10.4 Recommendations for the management of genital ulcer disease, including anorectal ulcers	70	
10.5 Treatment of genital ulcer disease, including anorectal ulcers	74	
11. Anorectal discharge	76	
11.1 Anatomical sites of infection	76	
11.2 Sexual practices that may be associated with anorectal infections	76	
11.3 Examination	76	
11.4 Recommendations for the management of anorectal discharge	77	
11.5 Treatment recommendations for anorectal infections	80	
12. Dissemination and implementation of the guidelines	82	
12.1 Dissemination	82	
12.2 Updating the STI guidelines and user feedback	82	
12.3 Implementation considerations	83	

Contents

1

13. Surveillance and research needs	84
13.1 Challenges in STI surveillance and anticipated responses	84
13.2 Research needs in STI case management	85
References	86
Annex 1. STI Guideline Development Group	91
Annex 2. Declarations of conflicts of interest	97
Annex 3. Evidence-to-decision table: urethral discharge	102
Annex 4. Evidence-to-decision table: vaginal discharge	116
Annex 5. Evidence-to-decision table: lower abdominal pain	143
Annex 6. Evidence-to-decision table: genital ulcer disease	161
Annex 7. Evidence-to-decision table: anorectal discharge	186
Annex 8. Supplemental materials	201
Web Annex A. Syndromic management or point of care tests for urethral discharge: systematic review and mathematical modelling https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/350451/9789240034785-eng.pdf	
Web Annex B. Updated systematic review of the performance of the vaginal discharge syndromic management in treating vaginal and cervical infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/350452/9789240034792-eng.pdf	
Web Annex C. Systematic review of risk factors for cervical infections in symptomatic or asymptomatic women https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/350454/9789240034808-eng.pdf	
Web Annex D. Systematic review for syndromic management of lower abdominal pain https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/350456/9789240034815-eng.pdf	
Web Annex E. Systematic review for syndromic management of genital ulcer disease https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/350462/9789240034822-eng.pdf	
Web Annex F. Systematic review for syndromic management of the anorectal syndrome https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/350463/9789240034839-eng.pdf	
Web Annex G. Systematic review on the role of Mycoplasma genitalium in acute and persistent urethral discharge and pelvic inflammatory disease https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/350464/9789240034846-eng.pdf	
Web Annex H. Detailed methods for the modelling of the cost and effectiveness of approaches for managing women with vaginal discharge https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/350465/9789240034853-eng.pdf	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The WHO Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes is grateful and thanks all the individuals and organizations that contributed to developing these guidelines to make them relevant and responsive to the needs in the field.

We appreciate the overall support of the Secretariat of the WHO Guidelines Review Committee during the guideline development process.

Methodologist, systematic reviewers and modellers

Special thanks to **Nancy Santesso** (McMaster University, Canada), the guideline methodologist, for her hard work and firm commitment to the guideline development process.

Sincere gratitude to **Eric Chow** and **Jason Ong** and the research team at Monash University, Australia and the systematic review team **Angela Barbara**, **Tejan Baldeh**, **Meha Bhatt**, **Stephanie Duda**, **Laura Fullerton**, **Anila Qasim**, **Rosa Stalteri**, **Matthew Ventresca** and **Holger Schünemann** of McMaster University, Michael G. DeGroote Cochrane Canada Centre for conducting the systematic reviews.

We thank **Samantha Berman**, **Jennifer Owen** and **Katy Turner**, University of Bristol, for modelling the cost and effectiveness of approaches for managing women with vaginal discharge.

STI Guideline Development Group

The members of the STI Guideline Development Group (Annex 1) provided invaluable guidance and comments during the development of these guidelines through virtual meetings and comments by correspondence. Their meticulous attention to detail, expert comments and feedback ensured the consistency and relevance of these guidelines.

Guideline Development Group members

Ilya Abellanosa-Tacan (Cebu City, Philippines), Laith Abu-Raddad (Weill Cornell Medical College, Qatar), Yaw Adu-Sarkodie (Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana), Chris Akolo (FHI 360, Washington, DC, USA), Andrew Amato (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Sweden), Mircea Betiu (Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Republic of Moldova), John Changalucha (National Institute for Medical Research, United Republic of Tanzania), Rizwana Chaudhri (Islamabad Specialists Clinic, Islamabad, Pakistan), Xiang-Sheng Chen (National Center for STD Control of Chinese CDC and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Institute of Dermatology, Nanjing, China), Amina El Kettani (Ministry of Health, Morocco), Patricia Garcia (Ministry of Health, Lima, Peru), William M. Geisler (University of Alabama at Birmingham, USA), Edward W. Hook III (University of Alabama at Birmingham, USA), Rossaphorn Kittyaowamarn (Ministry of Public Health, Thailand), Jeffrey D. Klausner (UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine and Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, USA), Ranmini Kularatne (National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Johannesburg, South Africa), David Lewis (University of Sydney, Australia), Nicola Low (Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Berne, Switzerland), Philippe Mayaud (London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom), Daniel McCartney (International Planned Parenthood Federation, United Kingdom), Nelly Mugo (Kenya Medical Research Institute, Kenya), Saiga Mullick (Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, South Africa), Francis Ndowa (Harare, Zimbabwe), Kees Rietmeijer (Denver Public Health Department, USA), Pachara Sirivongrangson (Ministry of Public Health, Thailand), Katayoun Tayeri (Ministry of Health, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran), Ann Natalia Umar (Ministry of Health, Jakarta, Indonesia), Magnus Unemo (Örebro University Hospital, Sweden), Noor Mohamed Usman (Chennai, India), Bea Vuylsteke (Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium) and Judith Wasserheit (University of Washington, USA).

Observers

Laura Bachmann (United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA), Cecilia Ferreyra (FIND, Switzerland), Fernando Pascual Martinez (GARDP, Switzerland) and Tim Sladden (UNFPA, New York, USA).

External Review Group

Anupong Chitwarakorn (Silom Clinic, Thailand), H.J.C. de Vries (Amsterdam, Netherlands), Hans Benjamin Hampel (University of Zurich, Switzerland), Kausar Jabeen (Aga Khan Foundation, Pakistan), Monica Lahra (New South Wales, Australia), Ahmed Latif (Public Health Consultant, National Territory, Australia), Ioannis Mameletzis (consultant, Ukraine), Angelica Espinosa Miranda (Ministry of Health, Brazil), Koleka Mlisana (University of KwaZulu Natal, South Africa), Lori Newman (National Institutes of Health, Washington, DC, USA), Catherine Ngugui (Ministry of Health, Kenya), Lilani Rajapaksa (National STD AIDS Control Programme, Sri Lanka), Reshmie Ramautarsing (Bangkok, Thailand), Danvic Rosadiño (Love Your Self Clinic, Mandaluyong City, Philippines) and Janet Wilson (International Union of STI, Leeds, United Kingdom).

WHO Secretariat and consultants

Overall coordination

Teodora Elvira Wi led the guideline development and coordinated the overall development process. The guideline would not have been developed without the unwavering support of **Meg Doherty**, Director, Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes.

WHO headquarters

The following individuals contributed to developing these guidelines: Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes: **Rachel Baggaley, Theresa Babovic, Meg Doherty, Nathan Ford, Cadi Irvine, Yamuna Mundade, Annette Vester, Marco Vitorio, Lara Vojnov** and **Mayada Youssef-Fox**; Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health: **Ian Askew, Nathalie Broutet, Venkatraman Chandra-Mouli, Sami Gottlieb, James Kiarie, Melanie Taylor** and **Igor Toskin**; Department of Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Health: **Francis McConville**; and Department of Antimicrobial Resistance, Surveillance, Evidence and Laboratory Strengthening: **Carmen Pessoa**.

Jasmin Leuterio, Laurent Poulain and Danilo Salvador provided administrative support. Adriana De Putter and Jerome Peron managed the budget and commissioning processes. Yann Seigenthaler (consultant, WHO Communications) provided communication and product development support.

WHO regional offices

Hugues Lago (WHO Regional Office for Africa); Massimo Ghidinelli (WHO Regional Office for the Americas); Joumana Hermez (WHO Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean); Nicole Seguy (WHO Regional Office for Europe); Bharat Rewari (WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia); Naoko Ishikawa (WHO Regional Office for the Western Pacific).

Special acknowledgement to **Francis Ndowa**, who assisted in collating and analysing all the comments from the Guideline Development Group and the External Review Group and compiling the various inputs. **David Breuer** edited the text.

Funding

The Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes, Ministry of Development Cooperation and Humanitarian Office, Luxembourg and UNDP/ UNFPA/UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction provided funding for this guideline.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AIDS	acquired immune deficiency syndrome
GRADE	Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation
HIV	human immunodeficiency virus
HPV	human papillomavirus
HSV	herpes simplex virus
HSV-2	herpes simplex virus type 2
NAAT	nucleic acid amplification test
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PICO	population, intervention, comparator, outcome
PrEP	pre-exposure prophylaxis
RPR	rapid plasma reagin
STI	sexually transmitted infection
TPHA	Treponema pallidum haemagglutination assay
TPPA	Treponema pallidum particle agglutination assay
UNAIDS	United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS
UNFPA	United Nations Population Fund
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund

VDRL Venereal Diseases Research Laboratory

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Worldwide, people acquire more than 1 million curable sexually transmitted infections (STIs) every day. Based on prevalence data from 2009 to 2016, in 2019, WHO published estimates of new cases of chlamydia, gonorrhoea, syphilis and trichomoniasis, showing total estimated incident cases of 376.4 million among people 15–49 years old in 2016, with 127.2 million new cases of chlamydia, 86.9 million new cases of gonorrhoea, 156 million new cases of trichomoniasis and 6.3 million new cases of syphilis. The prevalence of some viral STIs is similarly high, with an estimated 417 million people infected with herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) and about 291 million women harbouring human papillomavirus (HPV) at any point in time.

The WHO global health sector strategy on sexually transmitted infections, 2016–2021, endorsed by the World Health Assembly in 2016, aims to eliminate STIs as a public health threat by 2030. The key pillars to eliminate STIs are to prevent people from being infected and to provide treatment and care for infected people to avoid further transmitting STIs to other people. The strategy makes a strong case for expanding the provision of high-quality STI prevention and care more widely into the areas of primary health care, sexual and reproductive health and HIV prevention and care services. Efforts should therefore be made to strengthen STI case management that ensures the widest possible access to high-quality services at the population level based on simplified and standardized interventions and services that can readily be taken to scale, especially in resource-limited settings.

Since the WHO guidelines for the management of sexually transmitted infections were published in 2003, changes in the epidemiology of STIs and progress in prevention, diagnosis and treatment of STIs and HIV have necessitated changes in approaches to STI prevention and management.

Syndromic management is widely used to manage people with symptoms of STIs. In most resource-limited settings, the syndromic management flow charts are still the standard of care where laboratory diagnosis is not available or, where it is available, getting results take several days. Although the STI syndromic approach has some shortcomings, it remains an essential component of managing people with symptoms of STIs. These guidelines aim to raise the quality of managing symptomatic STIs by providing evidence-informed recommendations. In addition, given the existence of rapid diagnostic tests that have recently become available, these guidelines also provide guidance on how to use them in settings in which they are accessible.

The objectives of these guidelines are:

- to provide updated, evidence-informed clinical and practical recommendations on the case management of people with symptoms of STIs; and
- to support countries in updating their national guidelines for the case management of people with symptoms of STIs.

These guidelines include the management of symptomatic infections related to:

- urethral discharge syndrome, including persistent urethral discharge syndrome;
- vaginal discharge syndrome, including persistent vaginal discharge;
- anorectal infection;
- genital ulcer disease syndrome; and
- lower abdominal pain syndrome.

These guidelines are intended for programme managers for STI prevention and control at the national level and the health-care providers at the frontline – primary, secondary and tertiary health care. For programme managers, the guidelines will assist in deciding how to organize the services for providing STI care and how to determine the distribution of equipment and commodities that ensures high-quality access to STI care for people.

The guidelines can also be used as an advocacy tool for the financial and human resources required to deliver adequate, acceptable and equitable STI care for everyone who needs STI services.

Similarly, these guidelines can offer guidance to policy-makers and other stakeholders, including finance ministries at the country level, partners in providing services for STI prevention and care, such as local and international donor agencies, nongovernmental organizations, including community-based organizations, patient representatives and other stakeholders.

These guidelines were developed following the methods outlined in the 2014 *WHO handbook for guideline development*. Multiple systematic reviews and modelling of health outcomes were carried out. The STI Guideline Development Group assessed the evidence and made the recommendations. The recommendations in the guidelines were based on the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) approach in reviewing evidence and formulating recommendations. The External Review Group reviewed the guidelines.

1. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 Recommendations for the management of urethral discharge

For people with symptom of urethral discharge from the penis, management is recommended to be based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays. However, in settings with limited or no molecular tests or laboratory capacity, WHO recommends syndromic treatment to ensure treatment on the same day of the visit.

Good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination of the genital and anal areas; and
- offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines.

Settings with quality-assured molecular testing in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit WHO recommends the following.

- 1. Perform molecular assays such as nucleic-acid amplification testing (NAAT) to confirm or exclude *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis*.
- 2. Treat according to the test results on the same day. If urethral discharge is present but tests are negative, treat for non-gonococcal and non-chlamydial urethritis (such as *Mycoplasma genitalium* or *Trichomonas vaginalis*).
- 3. When treatment based on molecular assays is not feasible on the same day of the visit, WHO recommends syndromic treatment of infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* and using the test results to support managing the partner when tests are available.
- 4. Treat people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge based on a repeat molecular assay (such as NAAT) after 21 days, testing for *N. gonorrhoeae*, *C. trachomatis* as well as *M. genitalium* and *T. vaginalis* and testing for antimicrobial-resistant *N. gonorrhoeae*.

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Good practice statement

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence) Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing WHO suggests the following.

- 1. Treat people who have urethral discharge confirmed on examination for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* to ensure same-day treatment.
- 2. Treat people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge for treatment failure based on WHO guidelines and review.

Good practice includes:

- if symptoms persist at review, checking partner notification and treatment history; and
- for people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge, referring people to a centre with laboratory capacity to diagnose *N. gonorrhoeae, C. trachomatis, M. genitalium* and *T. vaginalis* and to test for antimicrobial-resistant *N. gonorrhoeae* and *M. genitalium*.

(Conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence)

Good practice statement

1.2 Recommendations for the management of vaginal discharge

For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, WHO recommends treatment for *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* and/or *T. vaginalis* on the same visit. WHO suggests treatment based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays for *N. gonorrhoeae* and/ or *C. trachomatis* and/or *T. vaginalis*. In settings in which treatment based on the results of molecular assay in the same visit is not feasible or that have limited or no molecular testing, WHO suggests treatment based on testing with quality-assured rapid point-of-care tests or on syndromic treatment.

For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excoriations;
- bimanual digital examination of the vagina (1) to assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and

DH H

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Good practice statement • offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines.

Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit

- 1. WHO recommends treating *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* and/or *T. vaginalis* based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm 1).
- 2. WHO suggests treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available.
- 3. WHO suggests treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available.

Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing

- 1. WHO suggests treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* (Algorithm ⁽²⁾).
- 2. If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, WHO suggests performing a speculum examination and treating for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* if there is evidence of cervicitis and performing a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* and treating based on the test results (Algorithm (3)^a).
- 3. If a rapid test is not available, WHO suggests treating people who have signs of cervicitis on speculum examination for infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* (Algorithm ③).
- 4. If a rapid test is not available and a speculum examination is not feasible or acceptable, WHO suggests treating people for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis*, all people at high risk of STIs and all people who have vaginal discharge on genital examination (Algorithm ④).
- 5. WHO suggests treating people for bacterial vaginosis and *T. vaginalis* if vaginal discharge is present or based on the results of microscopy, if available.
- 6. WHO suggests treating people for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available.

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

(Conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence) Good practice includes the following.

• For people with recurrent or persistent vaginal discharge, good practice includes referring to a centre with laboratory capacity to diagnose infection with *N. gonorrhoeae*, *C. trachomatis*, *M. genitalium* and *T. vaginalis* and bacterial vaginosis and to test for antimicrobial-resistant *N. gonorrhoeae* and *M. genitalium* (if there is a test) or for a specialist's assessment (STI expert and physician or a gynaecologist), when no such testing is available in primary health care centres.

Good practice statement

1.3 Recommendations for the management of lower abdominal pain among women

For sexually active women with symptom of lower abdominal pain, WHO suggests assessing for pelvic inflammatory disease and treating syndromically.

Good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge, vulval erythema and excoriations;
- performing a bimanual digital examination of the vagina (1) to assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and
- offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines.

(Conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence) Good practice

Good practice statement For sexually active women with lower abdominal pain with either of the following features on clinical examination (bimanual palpation):

- cervical motion tenderness; or
- lower abdominal tenderness:

WHO suggests the following.

- 1. Treat for pelvic inflammatory disease on the same visit.
- 2. Test for infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* and, if available, *M. genitalium*, to support partner management when tests are available.
- Schedule follow-up assessment three days later to assess for clinical improvement, and if the woman has not improved, refer for further assessment.

For women with lower abdominal pain with any of the following conditions, good practice includes referral to surgical or gynaecological assessment:

- missed or overdue period;
- recent delivery, abortion or miscarriage;
- abdominal guarding and/or rebound tenderness;
- abnormal vaginal bleeding in excess of spotting;
- abdominal mass; and
- detection of a suspected cervical lesion.

(Conditional recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Good practice statement

1.4 Recommendations for the management of genital ulcer disease, including anorectal ulcers

For people who present with genital ulcers (including anorectal ulcers), WHO recommends treatment based on quality-assured molecular assays of the ulcer. However, in settings with limited or no molecular tests or laboratory capacity, WHO recommends syndromic treatment to ensure treatment on the same day of the visit.

Good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination of the genital and anal areas;
- offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines; and
- providing analgesics for pain.

Settings with quality-assured molecular testing in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit

For people with confirmed anogenital ulcers, WHO recommends the following.

- 1. Perform molecular assays (NAAT) from anogenital lesions to confirm or exclude herpes simplex virus and *Treponema pallidum* (syphilis).
- Perform molecular assays from anogenital lesions to confirm lymphogranuloma venereum in geographical settings and/or populations in which cases are reported or emerging.
- 3. Perform serological tests for syphilis, with appropriate interpretation for management depending on the test or tests used.
- 4. Treat for syphilis and/or herpes simplex virus according to the results available on the same day of the visit or treat syndromically and revise management according to the results when available.
- 5. Treat for lymphogranuloma venereum when the results are positive.
- 6. Treat for chancroid only in geographical settings where cases are reported or emerging.

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Good practice statement

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

(Conditional

recommendation; moderate-

certainty evidence)

Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing

For people with confirmed anogenital ulcers, WHO suggests the following.

- 1. Treat syndromically for syphilis and herpes simplex virus on the same day.
- 2. Treat for herpes simplex virus if the ulcer is recurrent or vesicular, and treat for syphilis if the person has no history of recent treatment for syphilis (in the past three months).
- 3. Treat for chancroid only in geographical settings where cases are reported or emerging.

Good practice includes:

- performing serological tests for syphilis, including an RPRequivalent test, if available, to attempt to identify active syphilis and for monitoring the response to treatment; and
- referring men with persistent anogenital ulcers to a centre with laboratory capacity and expertise to diagnose herpes or less common pathogens (lymphogranuloma venereum, donovanosis and chancroid) and other genital or gastrointestinal conditions.

Remarks

Genital ulcer disease refers to breaks in the skin or mucosa and may present as ulcers, sores or vesicles. Anogenital ulcers refer to those located on the genital or anal areas and may be painful or painless.

A negative serological test for syphilis when anogenital ulcers have been present for less than three weeks does not definitively exclude syphilis, since antibodies may not yet be present to be detected by a serological test for syphilis. See WHO guidance on interpreting syphilis tests (see subsection 10.2).

Good practice statement

1.5 Recommendations for the management of anorectal discharge

For people with symptom of anorectal discharge and report receptive anal sex, WHO recommends management based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays. However, in settings with limited or no molecular tests or laboratory capacity, WHO recommends syndromic treatment to ensure treatment on the same day of the visit.

Good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination of the genital and perianal areas and a digital rectal examination, if acceptable (and anoscopy, if available and acceptable);
- offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines; and
- referring for other investigations when anorectal discharge is unrelated to a sexually transmitted infection, such as other gastrointestinal conditions.

Settings with quality-assured molecular testing in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit WHO recommends the following.

- Perform molecular assays (NAAT) using a self-collected or clinician-collected anorectal swab to confirm or exclude infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* and treat the individual infections detected.
- 2. Treat, additionally, for herpes simplex virus if there is anorectal pain.
- 3. Follow the genital ulcer guidelines if ulceration is present.

Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing WHO suggests the following.

- 1. Treat for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* if discharge is present.
- 2. Treat, additionally, for herpes simplex virus if there is anorectal pain.

Good practice includes:

- following the genital ulcer guidelines if ulceration is present; and
- referring people with persistent anorectal discharge to a centre with laboratory capacity to diagnose *N. gonorrhoeae*, *C. trachomatis* (including lymphogranuloma venereum serovars) and *M. genitalium* and determine antimicrobial resistance for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *M. genitalium*.

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Good practice statement

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

(Conditional recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Good practice statement

2. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF WHO GUIDELINES FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF SYMPTOMATIC SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS

2.1 Epidemiology and global burden of sexually transmitted infections

Sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are a major public health problem worldwide, affecting the quality of life and causing serious illness and death. The illness caused by STIs profoundly affects the physical, mental and social well-being of children, adolescents and adults worldwide. Some STIs directly affect reproductive and child health by causing infertility, anogenital cancer, adverse outcomes of pregnancy, fetal deaths and abnormalities and general ill health. In addition, they have indirect effects through their role in facilitating the sexual transmission and acquisition of HIV, resulting in more suffering among people living with HIV; mental health comorbidities, including depression, anxiety, dementia and other cognitive disorders; and other comorbidities experienced by people living with HIV.

Worldwide, people acquire more than 1 million curable STIs every day. Based on prevalence data from 2009 to 2016, in 2019, WHO published estimates of new cases of chlamydia, gonorrhoea, syphilis and trichomoniasis, showing total estimated incident cases of 376.4 million among people 15–49 years old in 2016, with 127.2 million new cases of chlamydia, 86.9 million new cases of gonorrhoea, 156 million new cases of trichomoniasis and 6.3 million new cases of syphilis (1).

The burden of STIs varies by region and sex, and the burden is greatest in resource-limited countries. The global incidence rates in 2016 were estimated to be 34 new cases of chlamydia per 1000 women and 33 per 1000 men; 20 new cases of gonorrhoea per 1000 women and 26 per 1000 men; 40 new cases of trichomoniasis per 1000 women and 42 per 1000 men; and 1.7 new cases of syphilis per 1000 women and 1.6 per 1000 men. The WHO African Region had the highest numbers of new cases of gonorrhoea and trichomoniasis among women and men, and the WHO Region of the Americas had the highest numbers of new cases of chlamydia and syphilis among both men and women (1).

Although progress has been made in preventing the mother-to-child transmission of syphilis since 2012, the decline has not been substantial, since an estimated 661 000 cases of congenital syphilis occurred in 2016. The number of cases of congenital syphilis per 100 000 live births fell from 539 in 2012 to 473 in 2016, indicating that more efforts are needed to accelerate the interventions for sustainable and greater impact. Of the 661 000 cases of congenital syphilis in 2016, more than 350 000 occurred as adverse birth outcomes, including stillbirths and neonatal deaths (*2*, *3*).

The prevalence of some viral STIs is similarly high, with an estimated 417 million people infected with herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2), and about 291 million women harbour human papillomavirus (HPV) at any time (4).

When left undiagnosed and untreated, STIs can result in serious complications and sequelae, such as pelvic inflammatory disease, infertility, ectopic pregnancy, miscarriage, fetal loss and congenital infections and cancer. Curable STIs accounted for the loss of nearly 11 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) in 2010 (5). The mental effects of STIs include stigma, shame and loss of self-worth. STIs have also been associated with fears of relationship disruption and gender-based violence, thus undermining effective partner notification (6).

Both ulcerative and non-ulcerative STIs are associated with a several-fold increased risk of transmitting or acquiring HIV (7,8). Infections causing genital ulcers are associated with the highest risk of HIV transmission. In addition to curable ulcer-causing STIs (such as syphilis and chancroid), highly prevalent HSV-2 infections substantially increase vulnerability to transmitting and acquiring HIV (9). Non-ulcerative STIs, such as gonorrhoea, chlamydia and trichomoniasis, have been shown to increase HIV transmission through genital shedding of HIV (10,11).

Preventing and controlling STIs are integral components of comprehensive sexual and reproductive health services that are needed to attain the related targets under Sustainable Development Goal 3 (Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages), including: target 3.2 – to end preventable deaths of neonates and children under 5 years of age; target 3.3 – to end the epidemics of AIDS and other communicable diseases; target 3.4 – to reduce premature mortality from noncommunicable diseases and promote mental health and wellbeing; target 3.7 – to ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care services; and target 3.8 – to achieve universal health coverage.

2.2 STIs and HIV

Although HIV, which causes AIDS, is most commonly spread through sexual intercourse, the HIV epidemic has usually been addressed differently and separately from the other STIs. Initially, this was because AIDS emerged as a fatal, untreatable and rapidly spreading disease. Because of that, the focus was centred more around HIV and AIDS research and, in addition, palliative patient care programmes and community activism evolved to deal with the mounting HIV-related morbidity and mortality and acceleration of the development of antiretroviral therapy. In contrast, the care and research related to the other STIs were already embedded in other programmes. Consequently, since the 1980s, HIV and the other bacterial and viral STIs have often been addressed through separate programmes and through separate funding mechanisms, with many HIV programmes not funding STI-related interventions or costs.

2.2.1 The syndemics of HIV and other STIs

The syndemics model of health highlights the biosocial complex, which consists of interacting, co-present or sequential diseases and the social and environmental factors that amplify the negative effects of disease interactions. HIV and the other STIs have high co-prevalence, and sociobehavioural elements, especially in vulnerable populations, function as syndemics. This interaction requires integrated and multifaceted approaches to engage those at greatest risk of HIV and other STIs in any interventions and programmes. This is especially essential from a public health perspective, in increasing access to appropriate testing, linkage to treatment and further strengthening preventive services.

Integrating the prevention and control of HIV and other STIs requires some understanding of the salient cultural and behavioural factors potentiating HIV susceptibility and transmission. Individuals at greatest risk of HIV and other STIs are often members of socially marginalized populations, whose life experiences and internalized stigma may result in high rates of concomitant depression, substance abuse and decreased self-worth, often resulting in avoiding health-care settings, in which discrimination may be anticipated and/or experienced.

Stigma and societal rejection frequently result in avoidant health-seeking behaviour, delaying diagnosis, interfering with effective partner notification and, consequently, impeding public health control of STI and HIV epidemics. Health-care providers need to be taught about providing culturally competent and sensitive STI and HIV care, so that vulnerable populations seek clinical services more readily, leading to earlier diagnosis and preventing the further spread of STIs, including HIV.

The increasing ability to control the HIV epidemic by using antiretroviral therapy can guarantee people living with HIV long and healthy lives, and pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) of HIV means that people at higher risk do not need to acquire HIV. Although these advances are welcome developments, the resulting unprotective sex behaviour when HIV is more controllable has been noted to increase the burden of STIs in some populations on PrEP, which could be averted by incorporating regular screening for other STIs into PrEP projects (12,13).

The challenge for researchers, clinicians and public health officials is to understand how best to promote sexual health (see below) in this new age. The desirable benefits of improvements in HIV treatment, diagnostic capabilities for HIV and other STIs, and educational digital media create new challenges and opportunities for key stakeholders, including civil society, to limit the spread of STIs while respecting individual decisions about sexual expression.

According to WHO's current working definition (14), sexual health is:

"... a state of physical, emotional, mental and social well-being in relation to sexuality; it is not merely the absence of disease, dysfunction or infirmity. Sexual health requires a positive and respectful approach to sexuality and sexual relationships, as well as the possibility of having pleasurable and safe sexual experiences, free of coercion, discrimination and violence. For sexual health to be attained and maintained, the sexual rights of all persons must be respected, protected and fulfilled."

Guidelines alone will not achieve this shift in programming health-care services. There needs to be a strategic shift in implementing interventions and in the collaboration between programmes addressing different vulnerable population groups to address the common goals and outcomes of preventing people from acquiring both HIV and new cases of the other STIs.

2.3 Objectives and rationale for developing the guidelines

The WHO global health sector strategy on sexually transmitted infections, 2016–2021, endorsed by the World Health Assembly in 2016, aims to eliminate STIs as a public health threat by 2030 (*15*). The key pillars to eliminate STIs are to prevent people from becoming infected and to provide treatment and care for infected people to avoid further transmission of STIs to other people. The strategy makes a strong case for expanding the provision of high-quality STI prevention and care more widely into primary health care, sexual and reproductive health and HIV prevention and care services. Efforts should therefore be made to strengthen STI case management, which ensures the widest possible access to high-quality services at the population level, based on simplified and standardized interventions and services that can readily be taken to scale, especially in resource-limited settings.

Since WHO published the guidelines for the management of sexually transmitted infections in 2003, changes in the epidemiology of STIs and progress in prevention, diagnosis and treatment of STIs and HIV have necessitated changes in approaches to managing STI prevention (16).

There has also been an upsurge of antimicrobial resistance, and there is an urgent need to update global treatment recommendations to effectively respond to the changing antimicrobial resistance patterns of STIs, especially in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. Effective treatment protocols that consider global and local antimicrobial resistance patterns are essential to curb the further spread and escalation of antimicrobial resistance globally. High-level gonococcal resistance to quinolones, a previously recommended first-line treatment, is widespread, and decreased susceptibility to the extended-spectrum (third-generation) cephalosporins, current first-line treatment for gonorrhoea, is rising (17–20). Resistance to azithromycin and treatment failures have been reported in strains of *Treponema pallidum*, *N. gonorrhoeae* and *Mycoplasma genitalium*. In addition, instances of *Chlamydia trachomatis* treatment failure have been reported for tetracyclines and macrolides (21,22).

Etiological diagnosis of STIs, although ideal, remains unfeasible for health-care providers in resource-limited settings. It constrains their time and resources, increases costs and reduces access to treatment. Near point-of-care tests based on molecular technology can be performed during the clinic visit for the same-visit test results for gonorrhoea and chlamydial infections. These tests can be strategically used when available to reduce the above challenges and ensure treatment at the first point of contact with people with STIs.

To overcome issues related to etiological diagnosis and treatment, WHO introduced syndromic case management in 1984. Syndromic management for urethral discharge among men and genital ulcers among men and women has proved to be both valid and feasible. It has resulted in adequate treatment of large numbers of infected people and is relatively inexpensive, simple and very cost-effective (*16*). However, given the recent data on the changing causes of genital ulcer disease, HSV-2 infections being the commonest and predominant cause of genital ulcer disease, evidence-informed flow charts need to be updated.

WHO's simplified generic tool for syndromic management of STIs includes flow charts for women with symptoms of vaginal discharge and/or lower abdominal pain. The flow charts for abdominal pain are quite satisfactory, but those for vaginal discharge have limitations, especially in managing cervical (gonococcal and chlamydial) infections. In general, but especially in settings with low STI prevalence and among adolescent females, vaginitis rather than an STI is the main cause of vaginal discharge. Moreover, overtreatment is becoming increasingly undesirable because of the worsening antimicrobial resistance and limited treatment options. Updating these guidelines has considered mechanisms to mitigate the escalation and further development of antimicrobial resistance, especially when near-patient point-of-care tests are rapidly becoming more available.

Syndromic management is widely used. In most resource-limited settings, these flow charts are still the standard of care when laboratory diagnosis is not available or when results take several days. The STI Guideline Development Group members have reiterated that the STI syndromic approach is still an essential component of STI prevention and control but that WHO should improve the various STI syndromic case management flow charts and that these guidelines should raise the quality of STI case management for people with STI symptoms and not promote suboptimal care. Member States, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) and partners have requested WHO to give priority to updating these guidelines.

2.4 Objectives of the guidelines

The objectives of these guidelines are as follows:

- to provide updated, evidence-informed clinical and practical recommendations on case management of people with symptoms of STIs; and
- to support countries in updating their national guidelines for the case management of people with symptoms of STIs.

These guidelines include the management of people with symptoms related to:

- urethral discharge syndrome, including persistent urethral discharge syndrome;
- vaginal discharge syndrome, including persistent vaginal discharge;
- anorectal infection;

74

- genital ulcer disease syndrome; and
- lower abdominal pain syndrome.

2.5 Target audience

These guidelines will be part of a consolidated set of guidelines for the prevention of STIs and management of people with STIs that are intended for programme managers for STI prevention and control at the national level and the health-care providers at the frontline in primary, secondary and tertiary health-care facilities. For the programme managers, the guidelines will assist in deciding how to organize the services for providing STI care and to determine the distribution of equipment and commodities that ensure access to high-quality STI care for people.

The guidelines can also be used as an advocacy tool for the financial and human resources required to deliver adequate, acceptable and equitable STI care for everyone who needs STI services.

Similarly, these guidelines can offer guidance to policy-makers and other stakeholders, including finance ministries at the country level, partners in providing services for STI prevention and care, such as local and international donor agencies and nongovernmental organizations, including community-based organizations, patient representatives and other stakeholders.

HUHLAHLAHL

2.6 Guiding principles

The following principles have informed the development of these guidelines and should guide the implementation of the recommendations.

- The guidelines contribute to and expedite the achievement of key global and national goals to contribute to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals.
- The guidelines are based on a public health approach to scaling up the provision of care for people with STIs to reach everyone who needs such services, including vulnerable populations and key populations, with interventions, such as targeted screening (in accordance with WHO guidance) for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* and antimicrobial resistance monitoring in men who have sex with men who are receiving PrEP, especially in settings in which STI molecular testing is limited or not available as well as more targeted testing (in accordance with WHO guidance) around hepatitis C testing.
- Adaptation and implementation of the guidelines need to be accompanied by efforts to promote and protect the human rights of people who need services for STI care, including ensuring preventing stigma and discrimination in providing such services and promoting gender equity.
- Implementation of the recommendations in these guidelines should be informed by the local context, including the epidemiology of STIs, the availability of resources and commodities for diagnosing STIs and providing STI treatment and care in the context of the capacity of the health system and anticipated cost—effectiveness.
- The guidelines allow adaptability that aims at promoting accessibility, acceptability and effectiveness in the case management of people with STIs through public and private health-care systems, including at the primary health-care level and other first-level health-care facilities providing services for STIs, such as maternal and child health, antenatal, family planning and other sexual and reproductive health-care facilities.
- The guidelines provide guidance for acceptable and effective STI care services to populations identified as being especially vulnerable to or at higher risk of STIs, including HIV infection.
- The guidelines are constructed based on evidence of effectiveness and feasibility, providing a comprehensive approach that is easy to follow, addressing issues of diagnosis, treatment protocols, partner notification, health education and disease prevention, including condoms and vaccines.

2.7 Methods for developing the guidelines

In 2014, WHO formulated a roadmap for updating guidelines in the *WHO handbook for guideline development (23)*. These guidelines were developed in accordance with the handbook, especially in the processes summarized below.

The WHO STI Secretariat proposed four phases of STI guideline development, and the STI Guideline Development Group members agreed, with the goal of producing a comprehensive and consolidated set of guidelines for preventing STIs and managing the people who have STIs. The phased approach for developing the guidelines was established as follows (Table 1).

- Phase 1 was to include guidelines for managing people with specific STIs and for other important and urgent STI issues and the guidelines for the syndromic management of people with STIs (managing people with STIs using a syndromic approach). The recommendations for managing people infected with specific pathogens were published as independent modules and disseminated in 2016, comprising treatment recommendation for *C. trachomatis* (chlamydia), *N. gonorrhoeae* (gonorrhoea), HSV-2 (genital herpes), *T. pallidum* (syphilis) and syphilis screening and treatment of pregnant women (24–28). These guidelines update the guidelines for the syndromic management of STIs to managing people with symptoms of STIs.
- Phase 2 will focus on guidelines for STI prevention.
- Phase 3 will address the treatment of additional infections, including *Trichomonas vaginalis* (trichomoniasis), bacterial vaginosis, *Candida albicans* (candidiasis), *Haemophilus ducreyi* (chancroid), HPV (genital warts and cervical cancer) and *M. genitalium*.
- Phase 4 will provide guidance on laboratory diagnosis and screening of STIs.

Table 1. Sensitivity and Specificity for different steps in the flowcharts

Phases	Topics			
Phase 1	1. Treatment of people with specific STIs: <i>C. trachomatis</i> (chlamydia), <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> (gonorrhoea), HSV (genital herpes) and <i>T. pallidum</i> (syphilis)			
	2. Syphilis screening and treatment for pregnant women			
	3. STI syndromic approach (managing people with symptoms of STIs)			
	4. Clinical management package			
Phase 2	5. STI prevention: condoms, behaviour change communication, biomedical interventions and vaccines			
Phase 3	6. Treatment of people with specific STIs and reproductive tract infections not addressed in phase 1: <i>T. vaginalis</i> (trichomoniasis), bacterial vaginosis, <i>C. albicans</i> (candidiasis), <i>H. ducreyi</i> (chancroid), <i>Klebsiella granulomatis</i> (donovanosis), human papillomavirus (HPV; genital warts or cervical cancer), <i>Sarcoptes scabiei</i> (scabies) and <i>Phthirus pubis</i> (pubic lice)			
Phase 4	7. STI laboratory diagnosis and screening (managing people with STIs that are asymptomatic)			

These STI guidelines focus on the management of people with symptomatic STIs, which includes the etiological approach (laboratory diagnosis) and the syndromic approach (based on symptoms and signs) to diagnose symptomatic STIs. To embark on the recommendations for managing people with symptoms of STIs, systematic reviews on various syndromes and modelling work on vaginal discharge were carried out by experts from McMaster University, the Michael G. DeGroote Cochrane Canada Centre, Monash University and the University of Bristol.

2.7.1 Guideline Development Group

WHO consulted with a group of experts, which included international STI experts, clinicians, researchers and programme managers and other key stakeholders in the domain of STIs and established the WHO STI Guideline Development Group (Annex 1).

The STI Guideline Development Group participated in meetings in person and virtually to set priorities for questions to address in the guidelines (including outcomes), review the evidence and make recommendations. The STI Guideline Development Group reviewed and approved the final version of the guidelines. In addition, an External Review Group was established, also of experts, implementers and community members, who reviewed the recommendations, provided feedback and approved the guidelines (Annex 2).

2.7.2 Meeting of the STI Guideline Development Group

2.7.2.1 Questions and outcomes

In August 2017, the STI Guideline Development Group met to define the scope of the guidelines. An analytical framework flow diagram for the syndromic approach was approved, which formed the basis for the population, intervention, comparator and outcome (PICO) questions and which evidence may be needed. During the meeting, the key PICO questions were identified that formed the basis for the systematic reviews and the recommendations. The STI Guideline Development Group set priorities for the syndromes for the specific STIs and the components of management, including history taking, risk assessment, microscopy and molecular tests. Based on the discussions, the Guideline Development Group identified the following syndromes as important to review:

- urethral discharge syndrome, including persistent urethral discharge syndrome;
- vaginal discharge syndrome, including persistent vaginal discharge;
- anorectal infection;
- genital ulcer disease syndrome; and
- lower abdominal pain syndrome.

Following this meeting, a survey was conducted among Guideline Development Group members to set priorities for the outcomes according to clinical relevance and importance. Outcomes varied by syndrome (Annexes 3–7).

2.7.2.2 Reviewing evidence and draft recommendations

Because of the complexity of developing flow chart–based recommendations, several subgroup virtual meetings were initiated in June 2019 to review the evidence. The STI Guideline Development Group subgroup proposed collecting additional evidence, including risk factors for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* infections and asymptomatic and symptomatic gonococcal and chlamydial infections and to model the cost and effectiveness of different strategies of diagnosing *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* among women with vaginal discharge and the outcomes of the various syndromes. A series of virtual meetings followed to discuss the evidence and propose draft recommendations for the syndromes.

2.7.2.3 Recommendations

CHLCHCH

A virtual STI Guideline Development Group meeting was organized from 28 September to 2 October 2020 to present the main discussions and decisions made during the subgroup meetings, finalize the evidence-to-decision tables and finalize recommendations.

2.8 Reviews of the evidence

Multiple systematic reviews were conducted by a team at McMaster University, Michael G. DeGroote Cochrane Canada Centre and a team led by Monash University, Australia. For each syndrome, systematic reviews of studies were conducted to find studies comparing approaches to each other that reported the effects on important outcomes (Annexes 3–7). When these studies were not available, additional reviews were conducted that reported the

YANY

TAT

prevalence of the suspected STI and the accuracy of various syndromic approaches (including risk assessment, history taking, presence of signs and tests) (supplemental material for unpublished systematic reviews, Annex 8). Comprehensive searches for previously conducted systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials and non-randomized studies were performed up to September 2019. Additional searches were conducted to identify studies for patient values and preferences (such as qualitative research designs), acceptability, feasibility, equity and resources (such as cost–effectiveness studies). The steps of the systematic reviews were conducted in duplicate, and statistical pooling of the results from studies was performed when possible. Systematic reviews of the treatment of people with the suspected STIs (such as chlamydia, gonorrhoea, syphilis and herpes) were previously conducted for the WHO treatment guidelines (24–28) and were used to provide data for treatment outcomes.

2.9 Modelling outcomes

Because the effects on important outcomes for the people with STIs were not available from the studies, the effects were calculated using the diagnostic test accuracy from the studies. The numbers of true positives and negatives and false positives and negatives were calculated using the sensitivity and specificity of the approach and the prevalence of the STI in a population presenting with symptoms and then discussed with the Guideline Development Group the consequences of and weight of the consequences of, for example, a false negative (missing the diagnosis of a STI) on a patient or health system.

For the syndromic management of vaginal discharge, a static model using Excel was developed. The model simulates a range of management strategies to identify and treat vaginal and cervical infections. The model builds on previous work of WHO to provide a comprehensive, flexible model that can simulate a range of patient management flow charts based on syndromic management plus existing diagnostic procedures and tests (such as speculum examination and Gram stain) in different various prevalence, country or clinic settings. The strategies in the model include different combinations of risk assessment, speculum examination, microscopy and/or available and prospective rapid point-of-care diagnostic tests and the previously recommended WHO syndromic management approaches. The cost and effects were calculated based on the prevalence of the STIs.

In the cost analysis, the direct costs of managing women with vaginal discharge were incorporated: test cost, treatment cost, according to infection (chlamydia, gonorrhoea and combined bacterial vaginitis or *T. vaginalis* treatment) plus optionally the costs of long-term consequences (pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy and infertility) and/or partner management.

Because of the scarcity of evidence on the direct cost of overtreatment on antimicrobial resistance, the cost of antimicrobial resistance was incorporated in the form of an antimicrobial resistance externality tax, which can be applied to either all antibiotic treatments or to only those that are unnecessary. The antimicrobial resistance externality tax represents the current and future burden of antimicrobial resistance, including costs associated with treating resistant infections, increased morbidity and mortality and the cost of developing new drug therapies. We calculate this hypothetical antimicrobial resistance tax to be a tax associated with each single treatment of ceftriaxone and azithromycin, whether appropriate (the person has *N. gonorrhoeae* or *C. trachomatis*) or inappropriate (overtreatment – treated in the absence of infection).

The supplementary material (Annex 8) includes the Excel tool and describes the modelling of cost and effectiveness of different approaches to vaginal discharge.

2.10 Presentation of the evidence

Tables to facilitate decision-making for recommendations (evidence-to-decision frameworks) were produced for each recommendation and presented to the STI Guideline Development Group using the GRADEpro online software. These tables include a summary of the problem – test (diagnostic) accuracy, summary of the evidence for benefits and harm; certainty of the evidence; relevant patient values and preferences; and other issues, such as cost, resources, feasibility, equity and acceptability. The certainty of the body of evidence was assessed using the GRADE system, based on risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, publication bias, effect size, dose-response and opposing confounding. Based on the above criteria, the overall certainty of evidence was defined as follows.

- very low: very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect;
- low: limited confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect;
- moderate: moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different; and
- high: very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

2.11 Making recommendations

The STI Guideline Development Group reviewed the evidence-to decision tables and the summaries of the evidence and made judgements about the effects of the syndromic management approaches during virtual meetings (28 September to 2 October 2020). Based on the discussions, the Guideline Development Group made decisions on whether to make strong or conditional recommendations for or against an approach. The Guideline Development Group agreed by consensus. There were no disagreements in which voting was necessary. The recommendations and evidence-to decision tables were finalized electronically via email.

According to the GRADE approach, the strength of each recommendation was rated as either strong or conditional. Strong recommendations are presented as recommendations and conditional recommendations are presented as suggestions. Table 2 explains the implications of the differing strengths of recommendations for patients, clinicians and policy-makers in detail. Good practice statements were made when the Guideline Development Group agreed that the guidance was necessary to provide but a review of the literature was not warranted because the balance of desirable and undesirable consequences of an intervention was unequivocal and no other criteria would need to be considered. The External Review Group approved the methods and agreed with the recommendations made by the Guideline Development Group.

X

Table 2. Implications of differing strengths of GRADE recommendations

Implications	Strong recommendation	Conditional recommendation
	Recommendation	Suggestion
For patients	Most individuals in this situation would want the recommended course of action, and few would not.	Most individuals in this situation would want the suggested course of action, but many would not.
	to help individuals make decisions consistent with their values and preferences.	
For clinicians	Most individuals should receive the intervention.	Clinicians should recognize that different choices will be appropriate for each individual
	Adherence to this recommendation according to the guideline could be used as a quality criterion or performance indicator.	arrive at a management decision consistent with the individual's values and preferences.
		Decision aids may be useful to help individuals make decisions consistent with their values and preferences.
For policy-makers	The recommendation can be adopted as policy in most situations.	Policy-making will require substantial debate and involvement of various stakeholders.

2.12 Managing conflicts of interest

Managing conflicts of interest was a key priority throughout the process of guideline development. WHO guidelines for declaration of interests for WHO experts were implemented. Declaration of interests statements were obtained from all members of the Guideline Development Group and the External Review Group before they assumed their role. At the beginning of the STI Guideline Development Group meetings, including subgroup meetings, the members disclosed their declared interests. The declaration of interests statements were summarized in a table as suggested by the WHO Guidelines Review Committee (Annex 2).

Five STI Guideline Development Group members declared interests. After analysing each declaration of interests, the WHO STI Secretariat found that one member (JK) had a huge noncommercial research grant from the United States National Institutes of Health that is not related to the current guidelines and a minor commercial interest related to STI diagnostics and thus concluded that this STI Guideline Development Group member would be allowed partial participation. Two members declared interests as consultants with global antimicrobial resistance and research development partnership (WHO–Drugs for Neglected Diseases Initiative partnership) not related to the current guidelines and no commercial interest and thus were allowed full participation. Two members declared support from a pharmaceutical company; one was provided minimal support for attending a meeting and the other received previous consultation fees and travel expenses but currently does not have any support from any pharmaceutical companies. Both were allowed full participation.

YANA

3. CASE MANAGEMENT FOR PEOPLE WITH STIs

3.1 Objectives of STI case management

The objectives of comprehensive STI case management are to provide treatment, obtain cure, reduce infectiveness, reduce the risk of developing complications of STIs and reduce or prevent future risk-taking behaviour, including in other biobehavioural interventions, such as PrEP and voluntary medical male circumcision, and ensure that sex partners are appropriately treated. This requires that the person with an STI receive the following services (*15,29*):

- have a medical and sexual history taken and noted;
- be given a correct diagnosis (whether syndromic or based on diagnostic tests);
- be given effective treatment;
- receive health education and counselling about the infection and risk reduction;
- receive advice on compliance with treatment;
- promotion and/or provision of condoms (male or female);
- promotion and/or provision of PrEP;
- promotion and/or provision of other preventive interventions, such as vaccines against hepatitis A and B, vaccines against HPV, where appropriate, and voluntary medical male circumcision;
- · encouragement to notify sex partners; and
- clinical follow-up where appropriate.

Thus, effective case management consists not only of antimicrobial therapy to obtain cure and reduce infectiousness but also comprehensive assessment and care of the person's reproductive health and that of their sex partners. For adolescents, the approach must be appropriate and user-friendly so that the provision of STI services at primary health-care outlets can be regarded as accessible and non-judgemental by these vulnerable people at a critical stage in their development – a view they may carry with them into adulthood.

3.2 Requirements to achieve the objectives of STI case management

To achieve the objectives of STI case management, high-quality care and treatment for STIs must be available to people who need such services at their first point of contact with the health-care system. Regardless of the choice an individual makes for obtaining advice and treatment, whether in the public or private sector, STI programmes should ensure that appropriate and effective comprehensive case management is available. Integrated care for STIs must be offered at as many primary health-care facilities as possible to ensure readily accessible services, reduction of stigma and promotion of the use of such facilities. This will be achieved more effectively if appropriate training in providing STI care is given to all health-care providers posted to work at primary health care facilities. Further, primary health care facilities should be appropriately equipped with relevant commodities and equipment to enable the staff to deliver high-quality care for people who need such services.

The rest of this section briefly describes the elements of case management.

3.2.1 Consultation with the person with an STI to establish the problem

To establish a correct diagnosis, the health-care provider needs to ensure that there is a conducive environment to enable people with STI symptoms to discuss them freely. This requires the following as basic minimum items:

- adequate privacy for people to feel comfortable to discuss personal sexual matters;
- provision of private facilities for a good clinical examination, ideally with good lighting;
- an examination couch and a modesty blanket or draw sheet to cover the person in preparation for a physical examination; and
- examination gloves for the health-care provider.

3.2.1.1 History-taking and risk assessment

History-taking, with emphasis on sexual history, is important in establishing an understanding of the person's likelihood of being infected with an STI. During history-taking, the patient should be asked about the last unprotected sexual contact and whether with a regular or casual sex partner.

The importance of taking a sexual history cannot be emphasized enough in preventing STIs and managing a person suspected of having an STI, including HIV. Health-care providers should be non-judgemental in their approach to history-taking and make their patients feel comfortable to discuss personal and intimate issues about their sex life. Health-care providers need to integrate history-taking of common health risk factors together with sexual history risk factors. For example, as health-care providers ask about alcohol consumption and smoking, they should proceed at the same time to questions about sexual behaviour. Once this is done, it will all become part of general history-taking and will reduce the stigma and embarrassment associated with "talking about sex".

A sexual history involves talking about the genitalia. Discussing using illustrated diagrams can be helpful to health-care providers and patients alike in talking about risks of STIs in the genital area or the anorectal area (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. Common sites of infections in the female and male genital tract



STA

MANN

ATHOT

History-taking, especially personal sexual history, is important in understanding the likelihood that the person has an STI. During history-taking, the person should be asked about the last sexual contact and sexual contacts before that and their sexual practices, including penile-vaginal, penile-anal, oral sex, use of sex toys and others and whether any protection, such as a condom, was used consistently. Documenting the type of sex partner(s), whether regular, casual or sex in exchange of money or favours, is also important.

One area in which risk assessment can be useful for a man is when he presents with dysuria without urethral discharge. The risk assessment may be considered to be positive for an STI if he has had unprotected sex within the last 7 –21 days, to allow for the incubation period of both *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis*.

Verifying whether there has been any recent self-treatment is also important as well as when he last passed urine since urination within the past hour or two may temporarily wash away the discharge.

Usually, women who present to a health-care facility with a vaginal discharge do so when they perceive it as being unusual for them (such as the quantity, thickness or smell being abnormal for them), and usually their perception is correct (30-33). The majority will have either bacterial vaginosis or *T. vaginalis* infections as well as candidiasis.

Thus, during history-taking, risk assessment of a woman with abnormal vaginal discharge requires a good sexual history to estimate her risk of cervical infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis*. In the published literature, clinical observations that have consistently been found to be associated with cervical infection are:

- the presence of cervical muco-purulent discharge;
- cervical erosions or cervical friability; and
- bleeding between menses or during sexual intercourse.

The risk assessment needs to consider these parameters together with some demographic and behavioural risk factors frequently associated with cervical infection, and the risk may be considered positive for STIs if the following criteria are met:

- if the client's sex partner has an STI, for example, a urethral discharge or genital ulcers; or
- if the answer is yes to two or more of the following, and she is sexually active:
 - younger than 25 years of age (some studies have found 21 years as significant);
 - she has had a new sexual partner in the three months preceding the current visit: or
 - she has had more than one sex partner in the three months preceding the current visit.

Positive responses to the risk assessment increase the likelihood that the client has an STI. In that situation, encouraging and discussing about partner treatment with the same regimen as the index client, even if it is not certain that the client has an STI, is therefore prudent, while recognizing that the commonest cause of vaginal discharge, bacterial vaginosis, is not considered to be sexually transmitted and neither is *Candida albicans*.

Thus, all women presenting with abnormal vaginal discharge should have a thorough medical and sexual history taken and be physically examined, ideally with a speculum, to view the cervix. However, external examination of the genitalia is better than no examination at all.

3.2.1.2 Clinical examination of people with STI-related symptoms

Once the medical and sexual history has been taken and assessment of risk of STIs duly noted, the person must be physically examined.

The person should be informed what the examination will entail and consent obtained. Any examination of the anogenital area should preferably be conducted in the presence of a chaperone. A male health-care provider must have a female chaperone in attendance, and vice versa, at all times, unless this is not feasible because of staff capacity. In that case, the person's consent to be examined without a chaperone should be obtained.

The examination must particularly focus on the anogenital area, but a general examination must also look for other manifestations of STIs, such as lymphadenopathy, cutaneous manifestations of some STIs, such as syphilis and HIV, and abdominal abnormalities, especially for women with pelvic inflammatory disease.

Steps to follow when examining men

- Wash hands before the examination and put on clean gloves with each patient.
- Inform the patient what is going to take place at each step of the examination.
- Ask the patient to lie down on a couch and expose the genital area from umbilicus to knee level. Where a couch is not available, the patient may be examined in a standing position, but this should be avoided as much possible. To avoid embarrassment and to show respect, the patient must be covered with a modesty blanket or a draw sheet and expose the part of the body to be examined when ready.

The examination of a man must include inspection in good light to look for rashes, sores, swellings, warts and urethral or anal discharge and general inspection including the following:

- looking inside the mouth for signs of oral thrush, oral sores or other lesions;
- looking at the skin over the abdomen for rashes and obvious swelling;
- checking the pubic area for evidence of other STIs, such as pubic lice and nits, scabies, sores and inguinal lymph nodes;
- checking for any skin rashes on the palms of the hands, soles of the feet, thighs and buttocks;
- checking the external genitals penis and scrotum and noting any discharge and other lesions, such as ulcers and warts;
- checking the area around the anus for a discharge, rashes (such as condylomata lata) and warts; and
- checking the groin for swellings and sores.

7

Palpation must be done gently to ensure that, if there are any tender areas, they are not pressed in a way that hurts unintentionally. This will enable the health-care provider to identify the following:

- palpating the inguinal region (groin), axillae, submandibular areas and neck looking for enlarged lymph nodes and buboes;
- palpating the scrotum, feeling for the testis, epididymis and spermatic cord on each side, and note any signs of discomfort suggestive of tenderness;
- examining the penis, noting any rashes, warts or sores;

 asking the person to pull back the foreskin, if present, and looking at the glans penis and urethral meatus for discharge or any other lesions;

747

TATAT

XTXXX
- palpating any genital ulcers for tenderness and induration and looking for phimosis and paraphimosis; and
- examining the glans penis and urethral meatus for discharge or any other lesions.

If no obvious discharge is present, the patient may be asked to milk the urethra gently from the base towards the urethral meatus to determine any discharge. The patient may then be asked to bend the knees towards the chest to expose the perineum, buttocks and anal region. If the patient is examined in the standing position, he may be asked to turn his back to you and bend over, spreading his buttocks slightly, and the anus is then examined for ulcers, warts, rashes or discharge.

At the end of the examination, the gloves are removed, and both the health-care provider and the patient must wash their hands.

All the findings must be recorded, to complement the history, including the risk assessment and the clinical findings, such as the presence or absence of ulcers, buboes, genital warts and urethral discharge. Once the syndrome is determined, the appropriate flow chart should be followed for managing the patient.

Steps to follow when examining women

A woman must be examined in good light and in privacy. It is important to inform the patient what the examination will entail. A male health-care provider must have a female chaperone in attendance at all times, unless this is not feasible because of staff capacity. In that case, the patient's consent to be examined without a chaperone should be obtained.

Examination of a woman during menstruation is not contraindicated, and testing for STIs, such as *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* can be performed if the woman gives consent. Urine samples, vaginal swabs and blood tests can all be collected for STI tests during menstruation.

Before proceeding with examination, ensure the following:

- washing hands before the examination and putting on clean gloves with each patient;
- asking the patient to undress to enable examination from the chest down; and
- getting the patient to lie down on an examination couch in good light; a woman should not be examined standing up and should be covered with a draw sheet or a modesty blanket, exposing only the part of the body to be examined when ready.

The inspection must be general to ensure that other conditions are captured. The examination must include the following steps:

- looking inside the mouth for signs of oral thrush, oral sores or other lesions;
- looking at the skin over the abdomen for rashes and any obvious swellings;
- checking the pubic area for evidence of other STIs, such as pubic lice and nits, scabies, sores and inguinal lymph nodes;
- checking for any skin rashes on the palms of the hands and soles of the feet;

MANANAM

- checking the thighs and buttocks for rashes;
- checking the area around the anus for rashes and warts;
- checking the groin for swellings and sores; and

1 THINK

• checking the external genitalia and taking note of any discharge, or other lesions, such as warts, condylomata lata and excoriations on the vulva.

M

Palpation must be done gently to avoid hurting unintentionally. The abdomen must be palpated gently, watching the face for any indication of areas of tenderness and feeling for any masses and swellings, including pregnancy. In women with lower abdominal pain or vaginal discharge, the examination must focus on the pelvis to assess for signs of pelvic inflammatory disease (see section on pelvic inflammatory disease).

The general palpation by the health-care provider should include the groin, axillae, submandibular areas and neck for enlarged lymph nodes, noting whether they are painful.

Then the patient should be asked to bend her knees towards the chest and then separate them and the following should continue to be observed:

- inspection of the vulva, perineum (between the vagina in front, the buttocks behind and the medial sides of the thighs on both sides), and the perianal skin for rashes, sores, warts and swelling;
- inspect between the labia of the vagina and the urethral opening for any obvious lesions or discharge and any vaginal discharge;
- note: the colour of the discharge, whether it is yellow, white and/or blood stained; the smell, whether a "fishy smell" can be discerned; and the type of vaginal discharge: whether it is frothy, thick or sticky;
- two fingers should be inserted into the vagina and a bimanual examination carried out with one hand on the pelvic area of the abdomen and the other inside the vagina, feeling for masses and tenderness and checking for cervical motion tenderness by moving the cervix gently from side to side to elicit uterine and/or adnexal tenderness (see pelvic inflammatory disease); and
- a speculum examination should be performed next to visualize the cervix and vaginal mucosa.

3.2.1.3 How to perform a speculum examination

A speculum is a medical device used to examine inside the vagina. A speculum examination is often performed alongside a bimanual examination as part of a good practice gynaecological workup, especially for women with anogenital symptoms. Metal specula must be sterilized before use, and plastic specula must not be reused.

Before a speculum examination, the patient should be informed about the device, what the health-care provider is going to do and the patient reassured that the procedure should not be painful but if the patient is uncomfortable or experiences pain, the procedure will be discontinued.

Explain that the patient needs to remove the underwear and lie on the examination couch, covering herself with the sheet provided. The patient must be provided with privacy to undress.

In preparation for performing a speculum examination, the following steps should be taken.

- The patient should have an empty bladder to make the examination more comfortable;
- The speculum should be properly sterilized before use.

1

• All the secondary equipment needed should be laid out ready on a trolley, such as warm water, gloves, swabs and a waste disposal bin.

- The light source should be prepared and tested before beginning the procedure;
- The privacy screen, curtain or door should be closed for the examination.

The procedure should be done as follows.

- · Wet the speculum with clean warm water before inserting it;
- Insert the first finger of the gloved hand in the opening of the woman's vagina (some clinicians use the tip of the speculum instead of a finger for this step). As the finger is put in, it is gently pushed downward on the muscle surrounding the vagina, and then the speculum is inserted slowly while asking the woman to relax her muscles;
- With the other hand, the speculum is held with the speculum blades together between the index and middle fingers and turned sideways as the speculum is slipped into the vagina, while taking care not to press on the urethra or clitoris because these areas are very sensitive.
- When the speculum is halfway in, it is turned so the handle is facing downward. (Note: some examination couches do not have enough room to insert the speculum with the handle down – in this case, it is turned up)
- The blades of the speculum are then gently opened a little while searching for the cervix.
- The speculum is then moved around slowly and gently until the cervix can be seen between the blades at this point the screw (or otherwise lock on the speculum) can be tightened so it will stay in place.
- Now the cervix can be examined, in good light, and it should look pink, round and smooth. There may be small yellowish cysts, areas of redness around the opening of the cervix (cervical os) or a clear mucoid discharge – these are normal findings.
- Look for signs of cervical infection by checking for yellowish discharge or easy bleeding when the cervix is touched with a swab and any abnormal growths or sores.
- Note whether the cervical os is open or closed and whether there is any discharge or bleeding.
- If there was blood in the vagina, the clinician should look for any biological tissue coming from the cervix, which could be signs of induced abortion or miscarriage.
- If any specimens are to be taken, this would be the stage to perform endocervical swabs, swabs from the posterior fourchette of the vagina as well as biopsy, if applicable.
- To remove the speculum, it should first be gently pulled out until the blades are clear of the cervix. Then the blades are brought together but not completely closed to avoid pinching the vaginal wall and gently pulled out, turning the speculum gently to look at the walls of the vagina.
- The patient can then be thanked and informed that the procedure has been completed and to get dressed while the patient's privacy is observed. After that, the patient can wash her hands and be asked to sit down to receive feedback on the findings of the examination.
- The health-care provider should remove the gloves before touching anything, wash hands and sit with the patient to give feedback on the examination findings.

As noted above, the next step is either to establish the diagnosis at this stage after the historytaking and examination and manage the patient syndromically using the appropriate flow chart(s) based on the examination findings or proceed to perform any additional diagnostic tests.

3.2.1.4 How to perform an anoscopy examination

An anoscope is an instrument used for visualizing the anus and lowest portion of the rectum. It is tubular and can be inserted with a lubricant into the anal canal. Once inserted, the examiner visualizes the walls of the anus and lower rectum using an appropriate light source. It can be used to identify abnormalities, such as haemorrhoids, inflammation and tumours in this part of the gastrointestinal tract.

Anoscopy can be performed within a health-care facility if sufficient training has been undertaken and equipment such as an examination couch, gloves, a light source and lubricants are available. No special preparations are needed, such as emptying the bowels or topical anaesthetic. However, some health facilities apply a topical anaesthetic 30 minutes before the procedure. Caution is needed among patients who have undergone recent anal surgery or are known to have anal fissures.

Before performing the procedure, the patient should be informed about the device, what the health-care provider is going to do and the patient informed that the procedure is painless, but pressure similar to that of a bowel movement may be felt.

In preparation for performing anoscopy, the following steps should be taken.

- The anoscope should have been properly sterilized before use.
- All the secondary equipment needed should be laid out ready on a trolley, such as lubricant, gloves, light source and cotton swabs, preferably with large tips.
- Privacy should be assured a privacy screen, curtain or door that can be closed for the examination.

The procedure should be carried out as follows.

- Lie the patient down in the left lateral position.
- Separate the buttocks or ask the patient or an assistant to help and examine the perianal area for warts, haemorrhoids or polyp prolapses.
- Perform a digital rectal examination with a lubricated, gloved index finger, taking note of sphincter tone and any prostate abnormalities.
- Remove the finger and change glove to a new one.
- Lubricate the anoscope and insert it into the anus gently and, pointing the anoscope towards the umbilicus, advance it completely into the anus or as far as the patient can tolerate;
- Remove the obturator of the anoscope to examine the anal mucosa, removing any faecal matter with a swab.
- Check for blood, mucus, pus or haemorrhoidal tissue.

- Gently remove the anoscope, when done, and observe the sides of the anal canal in the process.
- The health-care provider should remove the gloves before touching anything, and both the provider and the patient must wash their hands before the provider sits with the patient to give feedback on the examination findings.

THAT A

M

7

Any observation of suspicious growths or bleeding lesions should be referred for gynaecological assessment.

MANN

3.2.1.5 Establishing a diagnosis

Traditionally, laboratory tests have been used to address STI prevention and control to achieve the following.

- to provide a definitive diagnosis, thus, allowing for cause-guided treatment;
- to provide screening services for asymptomatic individuals at risk of infection;
- to provide statistical information on the prevalence of various infections;
- to determine the antimicrobial susceptibility of causative organisms; and
- to assist in managing sex partners.

Thus, ideally, everyone presenting with a condition assumed to be an STI should be diagnosed through a process of obtaining the medical and sexual history, physical examination and laboratory testing of relevant specimens from either the lesion, blood or urine. The diagnosis could then be made through a combination of direct microscopy in syndromes with genital discharges, culture of the organisms, such as *N. gonorrhoeae*, serological testing as in syphilis and HIV infection and molecular detection. The health-care level at which these tests can be done varies with availability of resources, both financial and human, as well as the skills required to conduct the tests. Regardless of which system is set up for diagnosing STIs, there should be a mechanism to refer to a level at which more tests can be done, especially for patients with recurrent or persistent infections and those with unusual clinical presentations.

However, in many parts of the world, such a process is constrained by a lack of inexpensive diagnostic tests, and especially in the regions where the burden of STIs is highest and laboratories and laboratory technicians have insufficient capacity. In many instances, the appropriate reagents necessary to detect STI pathogens are not locally available and would be expensive to procure. Further, even if laboratory-based tests were available, substantial financial resources would be required for such an approach and probably unaffordable for the programme and for the patients. A further disadvantage of laboratory-based etiological diagnosis is the delay in access to treatment if treatment is withheld until the results are available.

Affordable, rapid point-of-care diagnostic tests for STIs provide a means to strengthen the diagnosis of STIs more readily. This would be such a welcome advance in the diagnosis of STIs, especially for women with vaginal discharge, a syndrome commonly labelled as an STI syndrome but that neither indicates nor predicts gonococcal or chlamydial cervical infections among women. Genital ulcers would also benefit enormously from a rapid point-of-care test, since recent studies indicate that most genital ulcers are caused by viral infections, especially HSV-2. The rapid diagnostic tests for gonococcal and chlamydial infections currently commercially in circulation are of poor sensitivity and specificity and expensive. Their use would negatively affect the reliability of laboratory testing for STI diagnosis. However, rapid diagnostic tests for syphilis (treponemal test) are available and cheap and allow for a same-day "screen and treat" approach. Dual HIV and syphilis rapid tests are also available and provide an opportunity for increasing access to HIV and syphilis testing.

In the absence of diagnostic tests, a syndrome-based approach to managing people with STIs has been developed and adopted in many countries. The approach is more rational and scientific than a clinical approach in which a health-care provider reaches a diagnosis based on the clinical appearance of the lesion or the nature of a genital discharge. Several studies have shown that clinical judgement based on the experience and appearance of an ulcer, for example, has poor sensitivity in the diagnosis (*34–36*).

The syndromic management approach is based on identifying consistent groups of symptoms and easily recognized signs (syndromes) and providing treatment that will take care of most of or the most serious organisms responsible for producing the syndrome. By giving treatment for the most common causative pathogens for a particular syndrome, the syndromic approach, generally, has high sensitivity at the expense of specificity, thus resulting in overtreatment. WHO developed simplified flow charts to guide health-care workers in implementing the syndromic management of STIs. These WHO flow charts have been designed to be adapted at the local level, using locally available data and information.

3.2.1.6 Health education and counselling

People seeking care for STIs are especially worried about the condition and are more receptive to education messages than at other times. This is probably because they are aware of their vulnerability when they face an infection. Health-care providers should take advantage of this time to educate their patients about STIs, including HIV, and how they are transmitted and acquired. Further, the counselling can help them to assess their own risk and take responsibility to reduce the risk, if feasible, or change sexual behaviour and start using preventive interventions, such as the male and female condoms.

Health education is the provision of accurate and evidence-informed information about STIs so that a person becomes knowledgeable about the subject and can make informed choice.

Counselling is a two-way interaction between patients and provider intended to help the patients to understand themselves better in their feelings, attitudes, values and beliefs and to empower them to execute changes for healthy living in their life.

The key messages to give during an encounter with a person seeking care for STIs is how the infection may have been contracted, how to prevent future infections and the importance of completing a course of treatment and abstaining from further sexual intercourse until treatment has been completed and the infection has been controlled or cured. This should be emphasized to patients. However, patients should also be strongly advised to use condoms if abstinence from sex is not possible.

During the encounter with the patients, screening for other infections should be offered, especially for HIV infection and syphilis, both of which have rapid diagnostic tests currently available.

Health education and counselling are covered in other relevant WHO publications, such as *Brief* sexuality-related communication: recommendations for a public health approach (37).

3.2.1.7 Partner notification and treatment

A person with STIs has contracted the infection from a sex partner who also had the infection. Equally, from the time that the attending (index) patient was infected, he or she has also been infectious – able to transmit the STI to other sex partners or the same partner (the source of the infection) who, in the meantime, may have been treated. Thus, the chain of transmission of the STI can be broken only if all the mutual sex partners are treated for the infections before they have further sex with each other.

Many STIs, such as gonococcal infection, chlamydial infections, syphilis and HIV, are asymptomatic, and people may not be aware that they are infected. Thus, partner notification can be one way to detect and treat asymptomatic individuals.

Some reproductive tract infections are not sexually transmitted, such as the bacteria responsible for bacterial vaginosis among women with vaginal discharge. Although *C. albicans*

can be sexually transmitted, it is not classified as an STI. The sex partners of people with candidiasis do not need treatment unless they exhibit symptoms. Partner notification therefore needs to be approached with caution for women with vaginal discharge since they may not have a sexually transmitted pathogen. This is one reason affordable, rapid diagnostic tests to screen for STIs in such situations and guide appropriate partner notification and treatment are so urgently needed.

There are several approaches to partner notification for STIs. The patient can be issued with a contact-tracing card to give to the sex partner(s) to invite them to attend for an assessment for STIs and be treated accordingly (patient referral partner notification). The other method is for the health-care provider to obtain contact details from the index patient and then to attempt to contact the sex partners (provider referral partner notification).

Other methods of partner notification and treatment are variations of these two in which the index case may be given a prescription or medicines to give to their sex partners without the health-care provider having the opportunity to examine the sex partner (expedited partner therapy). Another method, sometimes referred to as contractual partner referral, is agreement between the service provider and the index patient that the latter will reach the sex partner(s) within an agreed time frame, after which the health-care provider will then try to contact the sex partner if the agreement period has elapsed without the sex partners presenting for examination and treatment.

Regardless of which method of partner notification and treatment is followed, confidentiality, non-judgemental attitudes and absence of coercion must be observed. Health education and counselling are important to equip people with STIs to embark on informing their sex partners about their STI.

3.2.1.8 Follow-up and referral for people with STIs

WHO encourages that people diagnosed with STIs be provided immediate treatment and, if any diagnostic tests are to be carried out, that they do not delay the provision of treatment. This would ensure an immediate break in the chain of transmission and prevent STI-related complications and long-term sequelae of STIs.

Giving treatment during the same visit reduces infectiousness and onward transmission, even more so if single-dose therapies are available.

If effective medicines are given and any test results are available on the same visit, then follow-up may be restricted only to those with persistent symptoms after a stipulated period. This will reduce costs for both the patient and the health-care system. Treatment given on the same visit is especially relevant in settings in which patient return rates are inconsistent for several reasons, such as distances to the clinic, user fees, transport fees, user-friendliness of health services and attitudes of health-care providers.

Follow-up may be specifically requested in certain conditions, such as a woman being treated for acute pelvic inflammatory disease as an outpatient or a neonate with ophthalmia neonatorum to ensure that the treatment has been effective, since delays in cure may result in severe consequences such as loss of eyesight.

The patient may return for further assessment either because the condition has not resolved when treatment ends or it has recurred. The health-care provider will need to determine whether this resulted from poor compliance, which is unlikely if single-dose therapy was given and taken on the same day as the patient attended the clinic or the patient has a persistent infection because of antimicrobial resistance or has been reinfected.

Depending on the assessment, health-care providers have the following options for treatment:

- poor compliance such as a patient taking a 7-day or 21-day course of doxycycline for chlamydial infections, including for lymphogranuloma venereum;
- reinfection perhaps because sex took place, without a condom, with an untreated sex partner or a new partner;
- antimicrobial resistance this is of particular importance in gonococcal and *M. genitalium* infections since antimicrobial resistance in *N. gonorrhoeae* and *M. genitalium* are being experienced with recommended treatments for these infections; and
- the presence of an untreated infection such as *T. vaginalis* and/or *M. genitalium* among men with urethral discharge treated only for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* at the first visit.

The health-care provider should assess the most likely scenario for the individual and treat appropriately.

Sometimes the patient needs to be referred to another level of care. The health-care provider at the first point of care should then determine whether the referral should be made to clinicians who have extensive specialized training or experience in diagnosing, treating and following up complex STI cases or to a facility with laboratory-based tests to exclude antimicrobial resistance or to any other specialist centre. For example, someone with an abnormality in the anorectal area may need to be referred to a colorectal surgeon or oncologist and someone with a testicular problem to a urologist.

In any specific country or setting, providers of care for people with STIs need to have information on referral channels at their disposal for complex genitourinary symptoms they feel unable to handle.

4. DIAGNOSTIC TESTS FOR ASYMPTOMATIC AND SYMPTOMATIC PEOPLE WITH STIS

The accurate identification of asymptomatic and symptomatic STIs, as well as improvements in the sensitivity and specificity of the syndromic approach, all depend on the availability of diagnostic tests and a screening strategy. High-quality diagnostic tests for STIs are available but are often expensive, frequently labour intensive and, at this stage, not suitable for use as rapid point-of-care tests. This situation is further complicated by a lack of interest from pharmaceutical companies in developing low-cost, high-quality diagnostic tests for diseases that are more prevalent in low- and middle-income countries, since they perceive that the market is not sufficient to recover research and development costs and make a profit.

Gold-standard tests with high levels of sensitivity and specificity are generally used to develop management flow charts and to subsequently evaluate and improve them, but these tests are typically not available for the day-to-day management of people with STIs.

For purposes of equity, diagnostic tests should be affordable, sensitive, specific, user friendly, rapid and robust, equipment-free and deliverable – thus making them accessible. Equally, the tests should be acceptable – which is usually achieved by making the testing procedure minimally invasive.

Currently, however, there are often trade-offs. Nucleic acid amplification testing (NAAT) is very sensitive and specific and can usually be done on non-invasive samples such as urine but often takes 3-4 hours to complete, is expensive and is usually based in a laboratory rather than at a health facility where people present with STI symptoms. Other rapid immunochromatographic strip tests may be less sensitive, but results may be available in 30 minutes or less (38). Two rapid immunochromatographic strip tests for *N. gonorrhoeae* were evaluated in Brazil and Benin and had sensitivity of 60% and 70%, respectively, specificity of 91% and 97%, respectively, and a positive predictive value of 56% in Brazil (prevalence of N. gonorrhoeae 15%) and 55% in Benin, where the prevalence of *N. gonorrhoeae* was 5% (39,40). However, it has been suggested that these rapid tests, despite their lower sensitivity, may be as efficient as or more efficient than the more sensitive gold-standard tests in treating gonococcal infections. given the proportion of people who do not return for the results of the more sensitive test. Thus, in day-to-day practice, if longer waiting times or having to return for test results leads to loss to follow-up, the less sensitive tests may well result in more people with STIs receiving treatment (41). Several platforms and assays are being developed to be more portable and easier to operate and to be used at the primary point of care and give rapid turnaround times for results, with accuracy similar to that of laboratory-based NAAT (42–44). Such considerations were deliberated in the STI Guideline Development Group meeting to propose acceptable performance characteristics of prospective point-of-care tests that may improve the treatment flow charts and minimize the undesirable consequences of untreated STIs.

There are several rapid diagnostic tests for screening for syphilis. Most use whole blood, plasma or serum and can be performed within 5–30 minutes. Based on a meta-analysis, sensitivity ranges from 75% to 99% and specificity from 92% to 99% compared with *Treponema pallidum* haemagglutination assay (TPHA) and *Treponema pallidum* particle agglutination (TPPA) tests (*45*). A combination of rapid diagnostic tests for HIV and syphilis (dual HIV and syphilis test) provides potential for increasing syphilis testing. These tests have high sensitivity and specificity, are more cost-effective than a single rapid diagnostic test and are acceptable in terms of turnaround time, cost and a single finger prick (*46*).

Additionally, already in existence are traditional rapid tests, including microscopy (Gram stain, wet mount and dark field), pH strip tests, the Whiff test using potassium hydroxide solution and the rapid plasma reagin (RPR) test for syphilis. The role of these tests was discussed intensively at the STI Guideline Development Group meeting and assessed in the modelling exercise to explore their utility.

Some of these traditional rapid tests are briefly discussed below.

4.1 Role of microscopy in diagnosing STIs and other reproductive tract infections

The light microscope is used in studying microorganisms, especially for identification purposes. The light microscope uses visible light to directly illuminate specimens, which appear dark against a bright background. In most light microscopes, the image is viewed directly through binocular eyepieces with a magnifying glass and the objective lens located in the revolving nosepiece to give a total magnification ranging from ×10 to ×1000.

The light microscope has been used with Gram staining to detect intracellular gram-negative diplococci within polymorphonuclear leukocytes for the presumptive diagnosis of gonorrhoea. The light microscope is also used to diagnose bacterial vaginosis when Gram-stained vaginal smears show an abundance of gram-positive and gram-negative cocci with reduced gram-positive lactobacilli in the vaginal flora. Another area the light microscope has a role is in wetmount examination of samples collected from the posterior fornix of the vagina when motile trichomonads can establish a diagnosis of trichomoniasis.

A fluorescence microscope is a type of light microscope that works on the principle of fluorescence. Fluorescence can be used to visualize some bacteria and viruses that are not easily visible by light microscopy following staining by a specific antibody attached to a fluorochrome. In STI detection, the immunofluorescence can be used to detect *T. pallidum, C. trachomatis* and HSV.

Dark-field microscopy uses a special type of light microscope in which the light beam is split such that the edges of objects in the samples are illuminated so that they appear as silhouettes against a dark background, thus enabling the sample to be seen without stains. In STIs, dark-field microscopes have been used for detecting *T. pallidum*. However, this has to be performed by well-trained and experienced personnel who can adjust the microscope correctly and can differentiate *T. pallidum* from other non-pathogenic treponemes and spiral organisms commonly present on genital and anal mucous membranes. Further, since spirochaetes other than treponemes colonize the oral cavity, dark-field microscopy is not recommended for samples from the mouth.

The microscope should be available in any laboratory licensed to perform moderately complex tasks, but it is not usually available as a point-of-care test.

Microscopy for STIs provides a simple, rapid and relatively inexpensive test that can be used near the patient – for example, it can be placed in a procedure room within a primary health care facility. The skills needed for preparing smears for microscopic examination and interpretation of the microscopic image require training and a good working knowledge of the microscope. Further, the microscope should be serviced regularly and should be kept clean and covered when not in use.

ATAM

4.2 Quality-assured laboratory testing with a fully operational management system

Diagnosing a person with an STI has are serious health implications at the individual and public health levels, and the best available diagnostic tests should therefore be used. All laboratory tests performed and the reports produced for patient management must be of high quality. WHO has developed an implementation tool to assist laboratories in implementing a quality management system (47). To maintain a high-quality service, laboratories should be accredited to a suitable national or international body, such as the International Organization of Standardization. The goal is to achieve compliance with international standard ISO 15189. Such accreditation involves an external audit of the ability to provide a service of high quality by declaring a defined standard of practice, which is confirmed by peer review.

Although this does not include assessment of the appropriateness of the molecular tests chosen for diagnosing STIs, the laboratory is expected to be able to provide evidence of the assessment of the performance capabilities of the tests before they are incorporated into the STI services offered.

Such a laboratory will be considered to have quality-assured molecular testing with a fully operational management system.

THE HEALTH

A DALAAN

In addition, for STI prevention and control, WHO recommends that laboratories aim to offer tests that minimize the time between the sample is taken and the patient receives the results – ideally on the same day as the visit. It is further suggested that laboratories set indicators that reflect the quality of their results with targets for rapid turnaround times.

5. RATIONALE FOR STANDARDIZED TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Correct and effective treatment of STIs, ideally given and taken on the same day, at the first contact between patients and health-care providers, is an important public health measure in the control of STIs since it endeavours to break the chain of transmission of the infection without delay.

Countries should establish and use national standardized treatment protocols for STIs. Standardization ensures that all patients receive appropriate and adequate treatment at all levels of the health-care service. The protocols can also facilitate the training and supervision of health-care providers and can help reduce the risk of development of resistance to antimicrobials. Finally, having a standardized list of antimicrobial agents can also facilitate procurement of the medicines.

It is anticipated that the recommendations contained in this document will assist countries to develop standardized flow charts adapted to the local epidemiological situation and antimicrobial susceptibility data. It is recommended that national guidelines for the effective management of STIs be developed in close consultation between local STI and public health experts and laboratorians.

6. IMPLEMENTING THE SYNDROMIC APPROACH FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF STIS

A flow chart is a diagrammatic map that guides through a series of actions and decisions needed to solve a problem. All flow charts have the same general features: an entry point, action, decision and treatment boxes. Each action or decision is enclosed in a box, with one or two routes leading out of it to another box with another decision or action.

Thus, a health-care provider learning of a problem would turn to the relevant flow chart and work through the decisions and actions it suggests. Each flow chart would then follow the following three basic steps:

- the clinical problem (the presenting symptom) at the top: the entry point;
- a decision to make, usually by answering "yes" or "no" to a question; and
- an action to take, with various boxes suggesting treatment, counselling, health education and condom promotion or patient referral, if necessary.

Although using boxes, circles, diamonds or other such symbols to construct a flow chart is not strictly necessary, most flow charts are constructed from standard symbols to help communicate the processes to everyone who uses them. When people see a specific symbol in a chart, they would therefore understand a specific meaning. Thus, knowing the meaning of the standard symbols can be helpful in reading, using and creating flow charts. ISO 5807 specifies the standard flow chart symbols for information processing. The commonly used flow chart symbols are as follows.



A rounded rectangle identifies the beginning or end of a process or origin and destination of data. This would normally be the patient's symptoms.

The process symbol: this is a rectangle that designates an activity. Typically, this would be a step or action that needs to be taken. Within the rectangle would be a short description of the activity: for example, "examine the patient".



The decision symbol is represented as a diamond (rhombus) from which the process branches into two or more paths. The path taken depends on the answer to the question appearing within the diamond. Each path is labelled to correspond to an answer to the question, usually from the bottom point and right point, one corresponding to yes or true and the other to no or false. The arrows should always be clearly labelled.

An arrow coming from one symbol and ending at another symbol indicates that the process passes to the symbol the arrow points to. The line for the arrow can be solid or dashed. The meaning of the arrow with dashed lines may differ from one flow chart to another and can be defined in the legend.

To use a flow chart, one simply starts at the clinical problem box and works through step by step until one arrives at an exit box at the end of a branch. Along the way there may be branching arrows from the decision box to action boxes, which should be followed in the direction of the arrows until the exit box or boxes.

7. URETHRAL DISCHARGE SYNDROME

Urethral discharge among men is commonly caused by *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* and/or non-gonococcal and non-chlamydial pathogens, such as *M. genitalium* and *T. vaginalis*. The prevalence of each of these pathogens varies geographically and by population group. Countries must conduct studies periodically in their settings to determine the most prevalent and important causes of urethral discharge or urethritis in that setting.

7.1 Clinical presentation – symptoms

Characteristically, men with urethritis (inflammation of the urethra) present with urethral discharge with or without dysuria (pain on urination). Occasionally, dysuria or itching at the tip of the urethra may be the only symptoms.

7.2 Examination findings – signs

Most men with urethritis have urethral discharge, which may range in quantity from being scanty to copious and in character from being clear to purulent. Distinguishing between discharge caused by gonorrhoea, chlamydia or any other cause of urethritis is not clinically possible.

7.3 Laboratory diagnosis

7.3.1 Molecular detection

NAAT is the current gold standard for detecting *C. trachomatis* and *N. gonorrhoeae* among men and women. NAAT also performs well for pharyngeal and anorectal samples for *C. trachomatis* and *N. gonorrhoeae*. For anorectal samples among men who have sex with men, chlamydia genovar testing for lymphogranuloma venereum should be done to guide the appropriate treatment regimen for lymphogranuloma venereum (*48*).

7.3.1.1 Specimens for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis for molecular assays

A first-catch urine or a urethral swab can be used for *C. trachomatis* and *N. gonorrhoeae*. NAAT for *N. gonorrhoeae* from anorectal and pharyngeal samples is also good, but there is potential for cross-reactivity with commensal *Neisseria* spp., especially in the throat.

7.3.1.2 Specimens for M. genitalium

M. genitalium causes urethritis. NAAT offers the best method for detecting *M. genitalium* from a first-catch urine in men. *M. genitalium* testing is not yet widely available.

XTH

17

7.3.1.3 Specimens for T. vaginalis

NAAT has the highest sensitivity of all diagnostic methods for detecting *T. vaginalis*. Urine can be used for some assays, but residual genital swab samples used for diagnosing chlamydia and gonorrhoea using NAAT are also good enough for detecting *T. vaginalis* nucleic acids.

7.3.2 Culture methods

Culture of *N. gonorrhoeae* is still the standard method for performing antimicrobial susceptibility testing. However, this organism is not that easy to grow in the laboratory, requiring special training and a special culture medium. For this reason, culture of *N. gonorrhoeae* is not routinely performed as part of managing people with gonococcal infection in resource-limited settings.

Culture of *T. vaginalis* was the cornerstone for detecting *T. vaginalis* before the advent of pointof-care antigen tests and NAAT. Although a culture medium is commercially available, once inoculated into the medium, cultures from men have to be incubated for a full five days while being examined daily using a microscope before being determined to be negative. Further, multiple sites, including semen, urine and urethral swabs, need to be examined before a definitive negative result can be certain. Routine culture methods for detecting *T. vaginalis* are no longer widely performed.

7.3.3 Microscopy

KIKKKK

N. gonorrhoeae can be identified by light microscopy of Gram-stained samples and a presumptive diagnosis of gonorrhoea made if gram-negative diplococci are observed intracellularly in polymorphonuclear leukocytes, best seen when there is a urethral discharge. If carried out by an experienced person, a negative gram stain for intracellular diplococci, in the context of urethral discharge in a man, can be presumed to suggest non-gonococcal urethritis. Microscopy of methylene blue stain of a male urethral sample is an acceptable method for the presumptive diagnosis of gonorrhoea, but it does not allow for the differentiation of gram-negative cocci.

7.4 Recommendations for the management of urethral discharge

For people with symptom of urethral discharge from the penis, management is recommended to be based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays. However, in settings with limited or no molecular tests or laboratory capacity, WHO recommends syndromic treatment to ensure treatment on the same day of the visit.

Good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination of the genital and anal areas; and
- offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines.

Settings with quality-assured molecular testing in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit

WHO recommends the following.

THOM

- Perform molecular assays such as nucleic-acid amplification testing (NAAT) to confirm or exclude Neisseria gonorrhoeae and Chlamydia trachomatis.
- Treat according to the test results on the same day. If urethral discharge is present but tests are negative, treat for nongonococcal and non-chlamydial urethritis (such as Mycoplasma genitalium or Trichomonas vaginalis).
- 3. When treatment based on molecular assays is not feasible on the same day of the visit, WHO recommends syndromic treatment of infection with N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis and using the test results to support managing the partner when tests are available.
- 4. Treat people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge based on a repeat molecular assay (such as NAAT) after 21 days, testing for N. gonorrhoeae, C. trachomatis as well as M. genitalium and T. vaginalis and testing for antimicrobialresistant N. gonorrhoeae.

Y THIN

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Good practice statement

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

YAN

Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing	(Conditional recommendation;
WHO suggests the following.	low-certainty evidence)
 Treat people who have urethral discharge confirmed on examination for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis to ensure same-day treatment. 	entencey
2. Treat people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge for treatment failure based on WHO guidelines and review.	
Good practice includes:	Good practice statement
 if symptoms persist at review, checking partner notification and treatment history; and 	
• for people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge, referring people to a centre with laboratory capacity to diagnose N. gonorrhoeae, C. trachomatis, M. genitalium and T. vaginalis and to test for antimicrobial-resistant N. gonorrhoeae and M. genitalium.	

Fig. 2. Flow chart for the management of urethral discharge from the penis



NG, N.gonorrhoeae; CT, C. trachomatis.

* If molecular assay was performed and results were not available on same day, revise the syndromic treatment initially provided according to the test results when available

If test is negative but urethral discharge is present, treat for non-gonococcal/non-chlamydial urethritis (such as *M. genitalium*, *T. vaginalis* or herpes simplex virus)

Fig. 3. Flow chart for men with persistent or recurrent urethral discharge

This flow chart assumes that the patient has received and taken effective therapy for gonorrhoea and chlamydia before this consultation.



NG, N.gonorrhoeae; CT, C. trachomatis; MG, M. genitalium.

7.4.1 Evidence summary (Annex 3)

A systematic review of the accuracy of syndromic approaches for urethral discharge was conducted, including history, risk assessment, examination and microscopy (supplementary material: systematic review urethral discharge). Six studies were found for assessing the accuracy of syndromic management to detect N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis, but the pooled sensitivity and specificity of the approaches did not improve as expected when adding microscopy (low-certainty evidence). In addition, studies show that there is variability in the implementation of the syndromic approaches based on symptoms or laboratory testing (49). Instead, the WHO Guideline Development Group considered that, when available, performing molecular assay tests for *N. gonorrhoeae*, *C. trachomatis*, *T.* vaginalis and/or M. genitalium and basing treatment on these results leads to treating the most people correctly. In the systematic review, the median prevalence of *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* was 69% in men with urethral discharge. In a population with 60% prevalence of *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* among those with urethral discharge, if molecular assays are not available, treating everyone for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C.* trachomatis would mean 40% of them would be unnecessarily treated. The Guideline Development Group agreed that this proportion is acceptable and even higher proportions in settings with lower prevalence, because treating everyone would ensure that people infected with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* are treated, thereby reducing the chance of complications and further transmission. The Guideline Development Group also agreed that simple syndromic treatment based on the presence of urethral discharge would likely improve adherence to the approach and costs a minimal amount more than using history and/or risk assessment with or without examination (but with no missed cases).

7.5 Treatment recommendations for urethral discharge

Based on the recommendations in subsection 7.4, syndromic treatment for urethral discharge combines treatment for gonococcal and chlamydial infections. Other modifications can be made based on the availability of molecular diagnostic tests. Table 3 gives first-line and effective substitutes for treating people with urethral discharge syndrome.

Managing people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge will require excluding reinfection by taking a thorough sexual history. When that has been done, additional treatment for *M. genitalium* and *T. vaginalis* may be considered. WHO guidelines on *Neisseria gonorrhoeae (24)* give guidance on how to approach apparent treatment failures among people with gonococcal infections.

Table 3. Recommended treatment options for urethral discharge syndrome^a

 Therapy for uncomplicated <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae (24)</i> <i>Plus</i> Therapy for <i>Chlamydia trachomatis (25)</i> 							
Infections covered	First-line options	Effective substitutes					
In settings in which for gonorrhoea.	In settings in which local antimicrobial resistance data are not available, the WHO STI guideline suggests dual therapy for gonorrhoea.						
N. gonorrhoeaeª	Ceftriaxone 250 mg, intramuscularly, single dose <i>Plus</i> Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose	Cefixime 400 mg, orally, single dose <i>Plus</i> Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose					
C. trachomatis	Doxycycline 100 mg , orally, twice daily for seven days (to be given only if gonorrhoea therapy did not include azithromycin)	Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose or Erythromycin 500 mg, orally, 4 times a day for 7 days or Ofloxacin 200–400 mg, orally, twice a day for 7 days. (to be given only if gonorrhoea therapy did not include azithromycin)					
In settings in which local antimicrobial resistance data reliably confirm the susceptibility of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> to the antimicrobial agent, singe therapy may be given.							
N. gonorrhoeae	Ceftriaxone 250 mg, intramuscularly, single dose	Cefixime 400 mg, orally, single dose or Spectinomycin 2 grams, intramuscularly, single dose (availability makes this antibiotic impractical)					
Additional therapeutic options for recurrent or persistent infections							
T. vaginalis	Metronidazole 2 grams, orally, single doses	Metronidazole 400 or 500 mg, twice daily for 7 days					
M. genitalium	Azithromycin 500 mg , orally on day 1, 250 mg daily on days 2–5						

^aBecause of increasing antimicrobial resistance to azithromycin in *N. gonorrhoeae* and *M. genitalium* and reduced susceptibility of *N. gonorrhoeae* to cephalosporins, WHO is in the process of revising current treatment recommendations and dosages.

XM

X

× 17

8. VAGINAL DISCHARGE SYNDROME

Vulvovaginal symptoms are one of the commonest reasons for women attending a health facility. The symptoms include a vaginal discharge perceived by the woman to be abnormal, vulval irritation or itching. Other conditions may include vulvovaginal growths, such as warts and cancer, especially of the cervix – these are not discussed in these guidelines.

The three most common causes of vaginal discharge are bacterial vaginosis and infection with *T. vaginalis* and *C. albicans*. Among postpubertal women, *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* infect the endocervix rather than the vagina, and they therefore may not present with vaginal discharge. These infections may be present without any clinically evident abnormality of the cervical os. If an abnormality is present at the cervical os because of infection with *C. trachomatis* or *N. gonorrhoeae*, it would be a mucus discharge or a purulent discharge (mucopus) or inflammation and friability of the cervical os. In the context of STIs, it should therefore be emphasized that vaginal discharge more reliably indicates vaginal infections but poorly predicts cervical infection caused by *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis*. The challenge for a health-care provider consulting a woman with vaginal discharge is to determine the cause of the discharge when a variety of infectious and non-infectious causes may be at play.

The summary of the systemic review and the recommendations given in this section offer guidance on how to manage people presenting with symptoms of abnormal vaginal discharge. The commonest causes of vaginal discharge are briefly discussed below.

8.1 T. vaginalis

T. vaginalis is a sexually transmitted protozoan that specifically infects women's vagina, urethra and paraurethral glands. Although many women are asymptomatic, more than 50% of women with *T. vaginalis* infection have vaginal discharge.

8.1.1 Clinical presentation – symptoms

Among symptomatic women, infection with *T. vaginalis* presents with an abnormal vaginal discharge as perceived by the woman. About 50% of symptomatic women report vulval itching. The discharge may be described as yellow and may appear purulent.

8.1.2 Examination findings – signs

On examination, vulval erythema and oedema may be noted.

On speculum examination, a discharge of variable colour can be seen in the vagina – classically described as yellow or greenish and may be frothy. The vaginal walls may be erythematous. The cervix may have punctate haemorrhages, giving rise to what has been referred to as "strawberry cervix". Although this finding is uncommon, it is highly indicative of trichomoniasis.

8.1.3 Molecular testing

NAAT has the highest sensitivity of all diagnostic methods to detect *T. vaginalis*. Vaginal swabs are the samples of choice, but endocervical samples and urine can be used for some assays. Additionally, residual genital samples used for diagnosing chlamydia and gonorrhoea using NAAT are also good enough for detecting *T. vaginalis* nucleic acids. NAAT is, however, not currently widely available as rapid point-of-care tests. However, when resources permit, such tests can be incorporated strategically to use as near-patient rapid point-of-care testing in managing people with STIs.

8.1.4 Microscopy

T. vaginalis has historically been diagnosed by performing wet mount microscopy. Although it is not the gold standard technique for diagnosing trichomoniasis, a wet mount is frequently used because it is quick, inexpensive and easy to perform. However, to have a good chance of successfully identifying the motile trichomonads, the slide should be read within 10 minutes of collection since trichomonads quickly lose their motility *(50)*. Non-motile cells cannot be diagnosed as trichomonads.

8.1.5 Culture methods

Culture of *T. vaginalis*, which has a higher sensitivity than the wet mount microscopic examination, was the cornerstone for detecting *T. vaginalis* before the advent of point-of-care antigen tests and NAAT. Although a culture medium is commercially available, cultures from women with trichomoniasis are usually positive in the first three days of inoculation, but they have to be incubated for up to seven days to rule out infection. Routine culture methods detecting *T. vaginalis* are no longer widely performed.

8.2 Candidiasis

Vulvovaginal candidiasis is caused by *C. albicans* in about 90% of cases. The non-albicans species cause the rest of vulvovaginal candidiasis – *C. glabrata* in about 8% of cases, and the other non-albicans species, such as *C. tropicalis*, *C. krusei* and *C. parapsilosis* cause most of the remainder (*51*). Although men can be colonized with *Candida* species and the male sex partners of women with candidiasis are transiently colonized, candida balanitis and balanoposthitis among men are not recognized as STIs (*52*). *Candida* yeasts may be detected in 20–30% of asymptomatic nonpregnant women of childbearing age (*53*). The detection of candida yeasts among asymptomatic women therefore does not necessarily require treatment.

8.2.1 Clinical presentation – symptoms

Candidiasis presents with pruritus (itching) or a burning sensation of the vulva and vaginal soreness or irritation. Other clinical manifestations include pain during sexual intercourse (dyspareunia) and dysuria. If there is discharge, it characteristically is curdy, white or creamy and thick. The discharge is not always curd-like (sometimes described as cottage-cheese-like in character) but can vary from watery to homogeneously thick.

ATAC

X

8.2.2 Examination findings – signs

On examination, the vulva may be erythematous and excoriated. The vulva and the labia may be swollen. Some pimples with pus (pustulopapular) lesions peripheral to the erythematous area of the vulva may be present.

Speculum examination shows the vaginal wall to be erythematous, and an adherent discharge may be seen, either curd-like or homogeneously white. The cervix looks normal.

8.2.3 Microscopy

Vaginal pH is normally between 4 and 4.5 among most women with candidiasis. A Gram stain of vaginal secretions from the walls of the vagina demonstrates gram-positive *Candida* species. A 10% potassium hydroxide preparation is also useful in identifying germinated yeasts.

8.2.4 Culture methods

Candida culture on solid media is the most sensitive diagnostic test for candidiasis but does not offer same-day treatment. The results may take up to three days to confirm the growth of fungal colonies.

8.3 Bacterial vaginosis

Bacterial vaginosis is the most common cause of vaginal discharge among women of childbearing age. It is a polymicrobial disorder of the vaginal microbiome. The condition is characterized by low concentrations or an absence of lactobacilli and a florid presence of anaerobic flora (54).

Bacterial vaginosis is not a sexually transmitted condition, but it has been linked to several adverse outcomes, including adverse outcomes of pregnancy and an increased risk of STIs, including HIV, pelvic inflammatory disease and tubal factor infertility (*55,56*).

8.3.1 Clinical presentation – symptoms

About 90% of symptomatic women have a white vaginal discharge, which can be seen on the vulva, and an abnormal vaginal odour (52).

8.3.2 Examination findings – signs

On external visual examination and digital examination of the vagina, the thin, white, homogenous discharge may be observed externally on the posterior fourchette of the vulva or the labia. If speculum examination is feasible, the homogeneous discharge may be observed to be adherent to the vaginal wall, and the cervix is usually normal in appearance.

8.3.3 Laboratory diagnosis

The vaginal pH is greater than 4.5, and an amine odour can be sensed spontaneously or after addition of a drop of 10% potassium hydroxide to vaginal fluid on a slide (KOH test or Whiff test).

However, examining the woman during menses, within a day of sexual intercourse, after recent douching and when taking antimicrobial agents can affect the clinical and laboratory assessments of a woman with bacterial vaginosis. The pH paper may give a wrong reading if it samples the water used to lubricate the speculum or if it samples cervical secretions, which are relatively alkaline. The amine smell, described as smelling like "dead fish", can be subjective, since some people cannot discern the smell.

8.3.3.1 Microscopy

If the microscope is available at the point of care, a wet-mount microscopic test for clue cells can be done. Clue cells are vaginal epithelial squamous cells coated with coccobacilli with absence of rods of lactobacilli. When visualized, clue cells predict bacterial vaginosis. Identifying clue cells requires adequate training and good skills and good knowledge of the microscope.

Microscopic examination of a Gram-stained vaginal smear collected with a swab from the vagina reveals large numbers of gram-positive and gram-negative cocci with reduced or absent lactobacilli (gram-positive bacilli).

8.4 Cervical infection – gonococcal and/or chlamydial cervicitis

N. gonorrhoeae and *C. trachomatis* infections among postpubertal women infect the endocervix rather than the vagina and can thus cause a cervical discharge, which may manifest as vaginal discharge. However, these two pathogens are less commonly associated with vaginal discharge.

8.4.1 Risk factors for STI-related cervical infections

Several demographic and behavioural factors have also been frequently associated with cervical infections and have been established as risk factors for STIs. Some of those that have been found to predict cervical infection in the presence of abnormal vaginal discharge in some settings are: being younger than 21 years (25 years in some places); having more than one sex partner in the previous three months; having a new partner in the previous three months; and having a current partner with an STI (*57*). Such risk factors are, however, usually specific to the population group for which they have been identified and validated and cannot be extrapolated to other populations or to other locations. Most researchers have suggested that obtaining more than one demographic risk factor from any particular person is important but that clinical signs such as cervical erosion can be valid as a single factor.

8.4.2 Clinical presentation – symptoms

At least 50% of women with gonococcal infection of the cervix are asymptomatic. Women with symptoms may have vaginal discharge, abnormal vaginal bleeding or dysuria. Most women with chlamydial cervical infection are asymptomatic. The ones who may be symptomatic have vaginal discharge, dyspareunia and dysuria. Several women may have lower abdominal pain because of ascending infection, causing pelvic inflammatory disease.

8.4.3 Examination findings – signs

Speculum examination may reveal a normal-looking cervix in the presence of endocervical infection. For those with abnormalities, the cervix may be erythematous or severely eroded and associated with a muco-purulent cervical discharge. The cervix may be friable and bleed easily on contact.

8.4.4 Microscopy

Gram-stained smears from the cervix are considered positive for the presumptive diagnosis of gonorrhoea in women if intracellular gram-negative diplococci are observed in polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Gram stain of urethral samples among women has low yield and may not be cost-effective (58).

8.4.5 Molecular detection

Molecular testing has greatly improved the detection of *C. trachomatis* and *N. gonorrhoeae* among both symptomatic and asymptomatic women and has become the recommended gold standard technology to diagnose and screen populations for *C. trachomatis* and *N. gonorrhoeae*. Among women, a vulvovaginal specimen, which may be self-collected, can be used for testing for these infections. An endocervical swab can also be an alternative but requires a speculum. First-catch urine is another option, but the sensitivity and specificity tend to be lower in women.

8.4.6 Culture methods

Processing *C. trachomatis* for culture requires highly experienced laboratories and technicians and is complex, laborious and time-consuming to be of economic value. It is rarely performed in middle- or high-income countries nowadays except for special purposes (59).

Culture for *N. gonorrhoeae* requires a special culture medium with nutrient supplementation for the organism to grow. Cervical and anorectal specimens can be used. The process is still necessary to undertake antimicrobial susceptibility testing to guide therapy, especially in cases of infection with N. gonorrhoeae isolates resistant to standard recommended therapies.

TATACHONON

8.5 Recommendations for the management of vaginal discharge

For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, WHO recommends treatment for *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* and/or *T. vaginalis* on the same visit. WHO suggests treatment based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays for N. gonorrhoeae and/or *C. trachomatis* and/or *T. vaginalis*. In settings in which treatment based on the results of molecular assay in the same visit is not feasible or that have limited or no molecular testing, WHO suggests treatment based on testing with quality-assured rapid point-of-care tests or on syndromic treatment.

For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excoriations;
- bimanual digital examination of the vagina (1) to assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and
- offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines.

Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit

- WHO recommends treating *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* and/or *T. vaginalis* based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or cliniciancollected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①).
- WHO suggests treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available.
- WHO suggests treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available.

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence

Good practice statement

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence) Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing

- 1. WHO suggests treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* (Algorithm 2).
- 2. If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, WHO suggests performing a speculum examination and treating for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* if there is evidence of cervicitis and performing a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* and treating based on the test results (Algorithm ③^a).
- 3. If a rapid test is not available, WHO suggests treating people who have signs of cervicitis on speculum examination for infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* (Algorithm ③).
- 4. If a rapid test is not available and a speculum examination is not feasible or acceptable, WHO suggests treating people for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis*, all people at high risk of STIs and all people who have vaginal discharge on genital examination (Algorithm ④).
- 5. WHO suggests treating people for bacterial vaginosis and *T. vaginalis* if vaginal discharge is present or based on the results of microscopy, if available.
- WHO suggests treating people for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available.

Good practice includes the following.

• For people with recurrent or persistent vaginal discharge, good practice includes referring to a centre with laboratory capacity to diagnose infection with *N. gonorrhoeae*, *C. trachomatis*, *M. genitalium* and *T. vaginalis* and bacterial vaginosis and to test for antimicrobial-resistant N. gonorrhoeae and *M. genitalium* (if there is a test) or for a specialist's assessment (STI expert and physician or a gynaecologist), when no such testing is available in primary health care centres.

Good practice statement

(Conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence) Fig. 4 offers programme managers guidance on the most applicable approaches to manage people presenting with vaginal discharge. It can be used to select sites or health facilities that can implement an option that has the appropriate diagnostic capacity and expertise. For example, a rural health centre with only basic commodities could follow one option, whereas a referral centre could implement a different option.

Fig. 4. Flow chart for programme managers to determine which management options to implement for vaginal discharge



Y DY L

Fig. 5 is a proposed flow chart for health-care providers to follow in the process of managing people presenting with vaginal discharge. This flow chart can be adopted as it is or adapted to respond to the situation at the country level.

Fig. 5. Flow chart for health-care providers to manage vaginal discharge according to local availability of resources and preferences



NG, N. gonorrhoeae; CT, Chlamydia trachomatis; TV, Trichomonas vaginalis; BV, bacterial vaginosis.

^aIf molecular assay was performed and results were not available on same day, revise the syndromic treatment initially provided according to the test results when available

^bperform rapid point of care test or molecular assay if available to confirm NG/CT and treat if positive; if negative do not treat and ask woman to return if symptoms recur

cif woman complains of recurrent or persistent discharge refer to a centre with laboratory capacity

8.6.1 Evidence summary (Annex 4)

Using a model, we compared the benefits, harm and costs of different combinations of using a risk assessment, speculum examination, microscopy, rapid point-of-care test and/or molecular assay test or treating none or all people who have vaginal discharge (supplementary materials – description of the modelling of vaginal discharge). We modelled two scenarios in which the prevalence of *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* among people with vaginal discharge is low (5%) and high (20%) and applied different levels of antimicrobial resistance. We assumed same-day treatment for the different combinations of assessment and calculated the number of people with pelvic inflammatory disease as a critical harm and accounted for loss to follow-up and transmission. The evidence for the effects of the different strategies is moderate-certainty evidence because of the risk of bias of the included studies for calculating sensitivity and specificity.

In the model, we assumed that the costs of treatment for bacterial vaginosis or *T. vaginalis* is about US\$ 0.10, and we assumed that everyone with confirmed vaginal discharge would be treated for bacterial vaginosis and *T. vaginalis*. These figures are based on a review of the accuracy of risk assessment, speculum examination and/or laboratory testing for bacterial vaginosis and *T. vaginalis* (supplemental materials – systematic review vaginal discharge).

Although microscopy was accurate, with no false-positive treatments and less than 1% of cases missed, the costs of implementing microscopy in settings that currently do not have facilities outweighs the costs of treating everyone with confirmed vaginal discharge for bacterial vaginosis and *T. vaginalis* and the harm to people unnecessarily treated (about 40% of the people). We considered the effects of screening for bacterial vaginosis and *T. vaginalis* using pH testing compared with confirmed vaginal discharge and found that the differences in people missed and people treated unnecessarily were negligible and the costs and harm of treatment or missing treatment are relatively low.

When available, performing molecular tests for *N. gonorrhoeae, C. trachomatis* or *T. vaginalis* and treating on the same day based on the results leads to the most people treated correctly. However, when the results of the tests are not available on the same day of the visit, delay in treatment may lead to complications, transmission of infections and loss to treatment. Therefore, treatment could be determined based on signs and/or symptoms or on rapid diagnostic tests.

• Using a low-cost rapid point-of-care test with 80% sensitivity and 90% specificity will lead to fewer missed and falsely treated people compared with other syndromic approaches or with no treatment. Since there is a reduction in missed cases, the number of people who progress to pelvic inflammatory disease (and consequently to poor fertility and other negative reproductive health outcomes for some people) may be reduced by 70%, resulting in 4 per 1000 people experiencing pelvic inflammatory disease in settings in which the prevalence of *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* is low versus 15 people per 1000 in settings in which it is high compared with no treatment. Since the accuracy of the test increases towards 95% sensitivity and 98% specificity, there are even greater reductions in missed cases and unnecessary treatments, but costs will increase. Using diagnostic tests with higher sensitivity and specificity may also lead to greater understanding of the prevalence of STIs in the community, enhanced sex partner tracing and improved overall quality of care.

We also modelled strategies in which molecular assays or rapid point-of-care tests are not widely available. The following observations were made.

- Performing a speculum examination and treating people with cervicitis and then microscopy for people who were negative on speculum examination may also lead to fewer missed cases and falsely treated people than using a rapid point-of-care test (at a minimum of 80% sensitivity and 90% specificity) for everyone. Alternatively, if a rapid point-of-care test is used for the people with a negative speculum examination, there would be even fewer missed cases and falsely treated people.
- Treating based only on the results of a speculum examination will still result in pelvic inflammatory disease cases and costs similar to a rapid point-of-care test, although the number of people treated unnecessarily would be slightly higher when using the speculum.

However, performing a speculum examination on everyone with vaginal discharge may not be feasible in some settings.

 Thus, when speculum examination is not feasible, the costs of an approach in which everyone at high risk (including with risk factors in high-prevalence settings) and/or people with confirmed vaginal discharge are treated may be higher than strategies with rapid point-of-care tests or speculum examination, but there are large beneficial reductions in the number of pelvic inflammatory disease cases. Compared with treating everyone, fewer people are unnecessarily treated.

8.6 Treatment options for vaginal discharge

Table 4 lists the options for the respective medicines to cover vaginal infections. If a decision was reached to include treatment for *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis*, Table 5 lists the options for the recommended medicines.

Bacterial vaginosis and *T. vaginalis* may be treated simultaneously with the same medicine, metronidazole. Similarly, in the treatment of cervicitis, some medicines, such as doxycycline and azithromycin, can simultaneously treat *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium*.

Table 4. Treatment options for vaginal infections

Therapy for bacterial vaginosis and trichomoniasis Plus						
Therapy for yeast infection if curd-like white discharge, vulvovaginal redness and itching are present						
Infections covered	First-line options	Effective substitutes	Note: In pregnancy, metronidazole should, ideally, be avoided in the first trimester			
Bacterial vaginosis	Metronidazole 400 mg or 500 mg, orally, twice daily for 7 days	Clindamycin 300 mg, orally, twice daily for 7 days or Metronidazole 2 grams, orally, single dose	Metronidazole 200 mg or 250 mg, orally, 3 times a day for 7 days or Metronidazole gel 0.75%, one full applicator (5 grams) intravaginally, twice a day for 7 days or Clindamycin 300 mg, orally, twice daily for 7 days			
T. vaginalis	Metronidazole 2 grams, orally, in a single dose or Metronidazole 400 mg or 500 mg, orally, twice daily for 7 days	Tinidazole 2 grams orally, single dose or Tinidazole 500 mg orally, twice daily for 5 days	Metronidazole 200 mg or 250 mg, orally, 3 times a day for 7 days or Metronidazole gel 0.75%, one full applicator (5 grams) intravaginally, twice a day for 7 days			
<i>C. albicans</i> (yeast infection)	Miconazole vaginal pessaries, 200 mg inserted at night for 3 nights or Clotrimazole vaginal tablet, 100 mg, inserted at night for 7 nights	Fluconazole 150 mg (or 200mg), orally, single dose OR Nystatin, 200,000-unit vaginal tablet, inserted at night for 7 nights	Miconazole 200 mg vaginal pessaries inserted once daily for 3 days or Clotrimazole vaginal tablet 100 mg inserted at night for 7 days or Nystatin pessaries 200,000 units, inserted at night for 7 nights			

People taking metronidazole should be cautioned to avoid alcohol. Use of metronidazole in the first trimester of pregnancy is not recommended unless the benefits outweigh the potential hazards.

XM

1 TH

Table 5. Treatment options for cervical infection^a

Therapy for uncomplicated <i>N. gonorrhoeae (24) Plus</i>						
• Therapy for <i>C. trachomatis</i> (25)						
Infections covered	First-line options	Effective substitutes	Options for pregnant women or during breastfeeding			
In settings in which local antimicrobial resistance data are not available, the WHO STI guidelines suggest dual therapy for gonorrhoea.						
<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> ª	Ceftriaxone 250 mg, intramuscularly, single dose <i>plus</i> Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose	Cefixime 400 mg, orally, single dose <i>plus</i> Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose	Ceftriaxone 250 mg, intramuscularly, single dose plus Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose or Cefixime 400 mg, orally, single dose plus Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose			
C. trachomatis	Doxycycline 100 mg, orally, twice daily for 7 days (to be given only if gonorrhoea therapy did not include azithromycin)	Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose or Erythromycin 500 mg, orally, 4 times a day for 7 days or Ofloxacin 200–400 mg, orally, twice daily for 7 days (to be given only if gonorrhoea therapy did not include azithromycin)	Erythromycin 500 mg , orally, 4 times a day for 7 days <i>or</i> Azithromycin 1 gram , orally, single dose (to be given only if gonorrhoea therapy did not include azithromycin)			
M. genitalium	Azithromycin 500 gram, orally day 1, 250 mg daily, days 2–5 (absence of macrolide resistance)		Azithromycin 500 gram, orally, day 1, 250 mg daily, days 2–5 (absence of macrolide resistance)			

^aBecause of increasing antimicrobial resistance to azithromycin in *N. gonorrhoeae* and *M. genitalium* and reduced susceptibility of *N. gonorrhoeae* to cephalosporins, WHO is in the process of revising current treatment recommendations and dosages.

XXX

9. LOWER ABDOMINAL PAIN

Causative agents of pelvic inflammatory disease include *N. gonorrhoeae, C. trachomatis* and bacteria associated with bacterial vaginosis. Facultative gram-negative rods and mycoplasmas have also been implicated. Since differentiating between these clinically is impossible and precise microbiological diagnosis is difficult, the treatment regimens must be effective against this broad range of pathogens. The regimens recommended below are based on this principle.

9.1 Recommendations for the management of lower abdominal pain among women

For sexually active women with symptom of lower abdominal pain, WHO suggests assessing for pelvic inflammatory disease and treating syndromically.

Good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge, vulval erythema and excoriations;
- performing a bimanual digital examination of the vagina (1) to assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and
- offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines.

(Conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence)

Good practice statement For sexually active women with lower abdominal pain with either of the following features on clinical examination (bimanual palpation):

- · cervical motion tenderness; or
- lower abdominal tenderness:

WHO suggests the following.

- Treat for pelvic inflammatory disease on the same visit.
- Test for infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* and, if available, *M. genitalium*, to support partner management when tests are available.
- Schedule follow-up assessment three days later to assess for clinical improvement, and if the woman has not improved, refer for further assessment.

For women with lower abdominal pain with any of the following conditions, good practice includes referral to surgical or gynaecological assessment:

- missed or overdue period;
- recent delivery, abortion or miscarriage;
- abdominal guarding and/or rebound tenderness;
- abnormal vaginal bleeding in excess of spotting;
- abdominal mass; and
- detection of a suspected cervical lesion.

(Conditional recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Good practice statement

Fig. 6. Flow chart for the management of lower abdominal pain



X

X

*to support partner notification.

LTHINK

NG, N.gonorrhoeae; CT, C. trachomatis; MG, M. genitalium.
9.1.1 Evidence summary (Annex 5)

We conducted systematic reviews of the diagnostic accuracy of syndromic management strategies to detect STIs (supplementary materials – systematic review lower abdominal pain). To detect N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis, the syndromic management approach based on lower abdominal pain has 30% sensitivity and 73% specificity (moderate-certainty evidence). The accuracy of various signs and symptoms has also been calculated in individual studies. In a study of 623 women suspected of pelvic inflammatory disease, the sensitivity and specificity of fever was 47% and 64%, vaginal discharge 7% and 24% and tenderness of pelvic organs on bimanual examination 99% and 0.007%, respectively. In addition, a large study of 651 women in the United States of America (PEACH study) using criteria similar to the previous WHO syndromic management flow chart showed 83% sensitivity and 22% specificity. This accuracy means that, in a population with 5% prevalence of pelvic inflammatory disease among women with lower abdominal pain, 74% of the women would be unnecessarily treated but only 1% would have pelvic inflammatory disease and be missed. Immediate treatment of an acute pelvic inflammatory disease can avert adverse serious consequences such as chronic pelvic pain, ectopic pregnancy and infertility (moderatecertainty evidence). Therefore, higher value was placed on missing no woman with pelvic inflammatory disease and moderate value (although less) was placed on reducing the risk of transmitting STIs to partners.

Managing people presenting with lower abdominal pain based on a syndromic approach results in moderate benefits and minor harm compared with treating everyone or no treatment. The syndromic approach is already in place in most countries and would therefore be feasible and acceptable. The Guideline Development Group agreed that it would likely not negatively affect equity (in some settings it may increase equity) and would incur negligible costs because of the low costs of assessment and treatment.

9.2 Treatment for people presenting with lower abdominal pain

If pelvic inflammatory disease is confirmed or suspected, the treatment options for managing the person as an outpatient are shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Treatment options for pelvic inflammatory disease^a

 Therapy for uncomplicated <i>N. gonorrhoeae (24)</i> <i>plus</i> Therapy for <i>C. trachomatis (25)</i> <i>plus</i> Therapy for anaerobic infections 				
Infections covered	First-line options	Effective substitutes		
In settings in which local antimicrobial resistance data are not available, the WHO STI guidelines suggest dual therapy for gonorrhoea.				
N. gonorrhoeae C. trachomatis	Ceftriaxone 250 mg, intramuscularly, single dose plus Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose Doxycycline 100 mg, orally, twice daily for 14 days	Cefixime 400 mg, orally, single dose plus Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose Erythromycin 500 mg, four times daily for 14 days		
		(to be given only if gonorrhoea therapy did not include azithromycin)		
In settings in which local antimicrobial resistance data reliably confirm the susceptibility of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> to the antimicrobial agent, singe therapy may be given as below.				
N. gonorrhoeae	Ceftriaxone 250 mg, intramuscularly, single dose	Cefixime 400 mg, orally, single dose		
The treatment for anaerobes must be included in either treatment option above.				
Anaerobes	Metronidazole 400 mg or 500 mg, orally, twice daily for 14 days			

^aBecause of increasing antimicrobial resistance to azithromycin in *N. gonorrhoeae* and reduced susceptibility to cephalosporins, WHO is in the process of revising current treatment recommendations and dosages.

Hospitalization of people with acute pelvic inflammatory disease should be seriously considered under the following circumstances.

ADAN

YM

L7

- the diagnosis is uncertain;
- surgical emergencies, such as appendicitis and ectopic pregnancy cannot be ruled out;
- a pelvic abscess is suspected;
- severe illness precludes management on an outpatient basis;
- the person is pregnant;

ATALAA

- the person is unable to follow or tolerate an outpatient regimen; or
- the person has failed to respond to outpatient therapy.

10. GENITAL ULCER DISEASE SYNDROME

The relative prevalence of causative organisms for genital ulcer disease varies considerably in different parts of the world and may change dramatically over time. Currently, however, HSV-2 and HSV-1 have become the commonest causative agents of genital ulcer disease in many parts of the world. The other causes frequently identified among people presenting with genital ulcer disease are *T. pallidum* (syphilis) and *C. trachomatis* serovars L1–L3, causing lymphogranuloma venereum and, less so, *H. ducreyi* (chancroid) (*49,60,61*).

Genital ulceration among people with primary syphilis occurs before serological laboratory tests become positive; thus, laboratory findings are rarely helpful at the initial visit and may even be misleading by being negative in the presence of syphilis infection. Further, in settings with a high prevalence of syphilis, a person with a genital ulcer may have a reactive serological test for syphilis from a previously treated infection, even if HSV-2 is the cause of the current ulcer.

In addition, since the differential diagnosis of genital ulcers using clinical judgement has been shown to be inaccurate in over 50% of cases, even by experienced clinicians, the management of people with genital ulcer disease must be based either on laboratory-based etiological studies or a syndromic approach, guided by periodic evaluation of the causative agents at the local setting.

10.1 Herpes simplex virus

10.1.1 Clinical presentation – symptoms

Although the observation of a cluster of vesicular lesions on the genital area or perianal area is usually used as clinically indicative of genital herpes, other causes of genital ulcers, such as syphilis and chancroid, may have a similar clinical appearance. Clinical manifestations and patterns of genital ulcer disease may also be further altered in the presence of HIV infection.

First-episode genital herpes infections are those in which the person does not have a previous history of genital herpes, and they are often associated with systemic and local symptoms of fever, headache, malaise and myalgia, usually in the first 3–4 days. Locally, there may be pain, itching, dysuria, vaginal or urethral discharge and tender inguinal lymphadenopathy. Among both men and women with primary genital HSV infection, the presentation is with blistering or ulcerative lesions on the external genitalia. The lesions may start as papules (pimples) or vesicles (blisters), which spread rapidly over the genital area. The lesions may last up to 15–20 days until crusting and/or healing. Crusting does not occur on mucosal surfaces.

The first episode can be primary genital herpes in which the person is seronegative for HSV antibodies, occurring after an incubation period of within 5–14 days of sexual contact. Initial episodes of genital herpes refer to individuals who have the lesions for the first time but already have antibodies to HSV-2, indicating past asymptomatic acquisition of HSV-2. Although this would be the person's first recognized episode, it would not indicate recent acquisition.

Recurrent genital herpes tends to have more localized symptoms of itching, recurrent ulcers and mild pain, and the duration of the episode averages between four and five days but may be as long as up to 12–15 days.

10.1.2 Examination findings – signs

Among both men and women, a cluster of vesicopustular or ulcerative lesions is observed on the external genitalia (penis, urethral meatus, scrotum, pubic area and vulva) or on the anal and perianal areas (anus and buttocks). The patients may describe them as having started as papules or vesicles that spread rapidly. Multiple small vesicular lesions may coalesce into large ulcers. Most people with HSV-2 infection present at later stages of ulceration and hardly show the typical vesicles of early HSV-2 manifestation. However, when a person has a typical appearance of a crop of vesicles or gives a history of recurrent ulcers, a presumptive diagnosis of genital herpes can be made and treatment tailored appropriately.

Among immunosuppressed individuals, these ulcers may persist and continue to expand laterally and superficially for a considerable period of time if not treated. Since the ulceration of herpes is shallow (intraepidermal), residual scarring from these lesions is uncommon.

10.1.3 Molecular testing

Amplified molecular detection by PCR of HSV DNA from swabs of genital lesions is the most sensitive and specific test. Using a combined HSV and *T. pallidum* PCR, when available, would be of added benefit to implicate or exclude syphilis at the same time. PCR assays have also been developed for HSV-1 and HSV-2.

10.1.4 Culture methods

Culture enables replication of the virus for determining resistance to antiviral therapy and for confirming diagnosis, but results take about 2–4 days, and culture requires appropriate viral transport medium and special expertise to be a viable procedure. In expert hands, culture from vesicles has the highest yield of about 94% rather than from pustules or ulcer base. Crusted lesions give the lowest yield of about 27%.

10.1.5 Serology

Type-specific antibody tests can distinguish between HSV-1 and HSV-2. However, even immunoglobulin G (IgG)-based type-specific testing for HSV-1 and HSV-2 antibodies has limited value in diagnosis. The usefulness of testing is only by demonstrating seroconversion from a negative result at the time of the lesions to a positive result 6–12 weeks later. Although IgM detection can be used in diagnosing a new herpes infection, as many as 35% of the people with recurrent herpes episodes have IgM responses. IgM is therefore a poor marker of new infection and has limited diagnostic value (*62*).

10.2 Syphilis

ATACT.

Syphilis is a systemic disease caused by the spirochaete *T. pallidum*. The infection can be classified as congenital or acquired. Congenital syphilis is transmitted from mother to child in utero.

Acquired syphilis is divided into early and late syphilis. Early syphilis comprises the primary, secondary and early latent stages – syphilis of less than two years from acquisition of infection. Late syphilis refers to late latent syphilis, gummatous, nervous system and cardiovascular syphilis.

1

Y D

ALA A

10.2.1 Clinical presentation – symptoms

Primary syphilis is characterized by an ulcer (syphilitic chancre) at the site of infection that develops after an incubation period of about three weeks from sexual contact but can range from nine to 90 days. The ulcers are usually single lesions and painless. The person with syphilis may miss them if they occur on concealed areas, such as the rectum, the cervix or the pharynx. If not treated, the ulcer will heal without scarring after some 2–10 weeks. The infection may then progress to the secondary stage.

Secondary syphilis sets in about six weeks to six months after infection. In some instances of secondary syphilis, especially among immunosuppressed individuals, the chancre may still be visible at the time secondary manifestation of syphilis occur. At this stage, the spirochaetes enter the blood stream and may cause systemic symptoms of fever, malaise, arthralgia and anorexia. If not treated at this stage, syphilis enters latency which might be followed by the tertiary stage of syphilis. A more detailed account of the natural history of syphilis is available (*26*).

Below follows a summary of clinical presentations of the different stages of syphilis and some guidance for diagnosis, followed by recommendations for a syndromic approach for managing people with syphilis and a summary of commonly used tests for diagnosing syphilis.

10.2.2 Examination findings – signs and laboratory diagnosis

10.2.2.1 Primary syphilis

* MANA

74

Primary syphilis comprises one or more ulcerated lesions called the chancre of syphilis at the site of initial infection. The lesions are minimally tender or nontender and may have characteristic indurated edges with a clean base. Regional lymph nodes may be felt within the first week. The mouth and anus must also be examined for ulcers. Ulcers heal even without treatment in 2–10 weeks.

The following diagnostic tests are used:

- darkfield microscopy syphilitic treponemes from lesions of primary syphilis observed (see section on microscopy); a negative dark-field result does not exclude syphilis;
- molecular detection PCR testing can directly detect T. pallidum from lesion samples; and
- serology both nontreponemal (such as RPR) and treponemal tests (such as TPHA and rapid syphilis strip test) are negative in the early phase of primary syphilis, taking 1–4 weeks after the chancre appears to become reactive (Fig. 7).

A negative RPR or rapid syphilis test therefore does not exclude syphilis at the primary syphilis stage. Tests should be repeated at four and 12 weeks from the initial testing to be certain if the person does not receive treatment.

MINDHUN

10.2.2.2 Secondary syphilis

Secondary syphilis presents with signs of disseminated syphilis, about 3–6 weeks after infection, but this can be as long as six months. The manifestations may include any of the following:

- a generalized maculo-papular rash that is usually asymptomatic or mildly itchy and may also be seen on the palms and plantar surfaces of feet;
- patchy alopecia;
- generalized lymphadenopathy;
- condylomata lata hypertrophic lesions resembling flat warts in the moist areas, such as the labia and perineum, the folds of the foreskin and around the anus that are teeming with spirochaetes and are therefore highly contagious; and
- painless shallow ulcers of the oral or genital mucous membranes (mucous patches) that are highly contagious.

The following diagnostic tests are used:

- dark-field microscopy syphilitic treponemes can be observed from lesions of secondary syphilis, such as condylomata lata and mucous patches;
- molecular detection *T. pallidum* can be detected by molecular methods from lesions of secondary syphilis; and
- serology both nontreponemal (such as RPR) and treponemal tests (such as TPHA and a rapid syphilis test) are almost always reactive (positive) in secondary syphilis, and usually in high titre (Fig. 7).

A negative treponemal test at this stage of syphilis can reasonably be used to rule out syphilis. Rarely, some people may have such high levels of antibody that give a false-negative result with nontreponemal tests – a prozone phenomenon. Nontreponemal tests usually have high titres of 1:16 or greater at this stage of infection. Titres decline with adequate treatment and can be used to monitor response to treatment at three-monthly intervals for at least 1 year.

10.2.2.3 Early latent syphilis

As its name implies, latent syphilis has no clinical manifestations. The lesions of primary syphilis and those of secondary syphilis have resolved spontaneously and the infection goes into latency. Early latent syphilis is infection of less than two years in duration, as designated by WHO, based on the infectiousness of syphilis and its response to therapy during this stage of infection.

During the first two years (primary, secondary and early latent) of syphilis infection, the individual is infectious to the sex partner and there is a high risk of transmission to the fetus during pregnancy. In addition, nearly 25% of those with syphilis in the first year of infection will relapse and develop manifestations of secondary syphilis, termed mucocutaneous relapse (63).

×.

10.2.2.4 Late latent syphilis

An infection of more than two years in duration without clinical evidence of treponemal infection is referred to as late latent syphilis. In late latency, the individual with untreated syphilis is less and less likely to transmit the infection to a sex partner and to the fetus during pregnancy as the latency progresses.

Late latent syphilis is diagnosed with serological tests. Nontreponemal and treponemal tests are mostly reactive (positive) in early latent and late latent syphilis, but nontreponemal tests, such as the VDRL, may become negative in late latent syphilis (Fig. 7). A negative treponemal test at this stage of infection can be taken as sufficient to rule out the diagnosis of syphilis. Once the specific treponemal tests are positive, they remain reactive for the person's lifetime. Therefore, these tests cannot be used for monitoring the response to treatment.

Fig. 7 shows an overview of the reactivity of non-treponemal and treponemal serological tests for syphilis and the effect of successful treatment. Serological tests for syphilis give only a presumptive diagnosis of syphilis, and they must be interpreted together with a good sexual history of the individual, a physical examination and information about any recent treatments with antibiotics, especially for syphilis. A good medical history must also be obtained, since some underlying conditions may cause a false-positive reaction with non-treponemal tests, such as acute febrile illnesses, immunizations, pregnancy and autoimmune disorders, such as rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Such false positives are usually at low titres of less than in 1:8 (*64*). If possible, positive non-treponemal tests (RPR or VDRL) should be quantified (the titres should be determined).

Non-treponemal tests may be negative in primary syphilis for 1–4 weeks after the appearance of the chancre (4–6 weeks after infection). The tests are reactive almost without exception in secondary syphilis. As the duration of the early and late latent stages of syphilis increases, the antibody titre decreases and may eventually give a negative result in late syphilis (late latent and tertiary stages), even without treatment. With treatment, syphilis serology tests may revert to negative depending on the stage of syphilis when treatment is instituted. This is more likely to happen if the individual is treated during the primary or secondary stage of syphilis. If early syphilis is treated, the non-treponemal test titres will decline and become negative and may thus be used to monitor response to treatment. If the disease is diagnosed at the late syphilis stage, low titres of non-treponemal tests may remain positive for life.

Fig. 7. Reactivity of serological tests by stage of syphilis and effect of treatment



Source: Unemo et al. (48).

THE

The specific treponemal tests, including TPHA, TPPA and fluorescent treponemal antibody absorption, may become positive earlier than the non-treponemal tests. Once an individual tests positive on a treponemal test, most (85%) remain positive on subsequent treponemal tests even when the infection is successfully treated.

The more recent rapid syphilis tests in circulation are immunochromatographic strips with treponemal antigens. These rapid syphilis tests are therefore equivalent to specific treponemal tests, such as the TPHA and TPPA, and the results produced by such tests should be interpreted as indicated in Fig. 7. A positive rapid syphilis test measures lifetime exposure to treponemal infection and not necessarily active disease that requires treatment. If an RPR-equivalent test is available, it should be performed following a positive rapid syphilis test to determine whether there may be active syphilis infection or not. More detailed guidelines on the use and interpretation of rapid syphilis tests are available (*28*). For details about the procedures for performing rapid syphilis tests and RPR tests, see the WHO manual (*48*).

Further, in areas of high syphilis prevalence, a reactive serological test for syphilis may reflect a previous infection and give a misleading picture of the person's present condition. This is especially important in populations at higher risk of STIs, who may end up being unnecessarily treated repeatedly for syphilis. When available, an RPR test with titration may indicate which people need treatment since false-positive or sero-fast reactions usually have titres of less than 1:8.

1 M

10.3 H. ducreyi (chancroid)

10.3.1 Clinical presentation – symptoms

Lesions of chancroid begin as an erythematous papule within hours to days of sexual exposure. Over the following 1–2 days, the papule evolves into a pustule that breaks down and becomes a painful ulcer. People usually seek health care at the stage of the painful ulcer. Among men, the ulcers are usually on the penis (foreskin, shaft and sometimes on the glans), and as many as 50% develop unilateral or bilateral painful inguinal lymph nodes. Large, painful, fluctuant lymph nodes (buboes) may also occur. If not treated, buboes may suppurate and form fistulae or ulcers.

In women, ulcers of chancroid are on the vulva, and anal ulcers from autoinoculation may also occur. Ulcers among women may be asymptomatic, especially when they are internal. Women do not frequently present with inguinal adenopathy because of the different lymphatic drainage.

10.3.2 Examination findings

There are generally single or multiple ulcers on the penile shaft, the foreskin or the glans penis, usually deep with an irregular edge and a red margin. There is usually no induration, and the base is granular or purulent. The ulcers are normally tender when being examined or when walking. Men may have unilateral or bilateral inguinal buboes.

However, chancroid ulcers often have atypical clinical appearances and may dispel suspicion of chancroid, with some small ulcers mimicking infected genital herpes. In HIV infection, the ulcers may be less purulent and resemble syphilitic chancres. Also, people with immunosuppression may have rapidly aggressive and erosive ulcers of chancroid to the point of anatomically destroying the genital organs.

10.3.3 Laboratory diagnosis

H. ducreyi has been characteristically diagnosed by culture methods but only in limited specialized centres because of the fastidious nature of the organism with a sensitivity of 75% compared with M-PCR from genital ulcer swabs. Although multiplex PCR for genital ulcer disease, including *H. ducreyi*, has been developed, it is found only in research settings and reference centres (65, 66). Since *H. ducreyi* has almost disappeared globally as a cause of genital ulcer disease (67, 68), further advances in diagnostic tests for this pathogen are unlikely.

Clinicians must have a high index of suspicion when they see an unusually painful, suppurative ulcer among men or women. If there are also painful inguinal lymph nodes with the ulcer, especially among men, chancroid must be high in the differential diagnosis. If in any particular setting more and more such lesions are being seen, then the national authorities should be alerted to the fact so that the treatment regimen can be adapted accordingly.

10.4 Recommendations for the management of genital ulcer disease, including anorectal ulcers

For people who present with genital ulcers (including anorectal ulcers), WHO recommends treatment based on quality-assured molecular assays of the ulcer. However, in settings with limited or no molecular tests or laboratory capacity, WHO recommends syndromic treatment to ensure treatment on the same day of the visit.

Good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination of the genital and anal areas;
- offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines; and
- providing analgesics for pain.

Settings with quality-assured molecular testing in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit

For people with confirmed anogenital ulcers, WHO recommends the following.

- 1. Perform molecular assays (NAAT) from anogenital lesions to confirm or exclude herpes simplex virus and *Treponema pallidum* (syphilis).
- Perform molecular assays from anogenital lesions to confirm lymphogranuloma venereum in geographical settings and/or populations iin which cases are reported or emerging.
- Perform serological tests for syphilis, with appropriate interpretation for management depending on the test or tests used.
- 4. Treat for syphilis and/or herpes simplex virus according to the results available on the same day of the visit or treat syndromically and revise management according to the results when available.
- 5. Treat for lymphogranuloma venereum when the results are positive.
- 6. Treat for chancroid only in geographical settings where cases are reported or emerging.

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence

Good practice statement

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

(Conditional

certainty

evidence)

recommendation; moderate-

Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing

For people with confirmed anogenital ulcers, WHO suggests the following.

- 1. Treat syndromically for syphilis and herpes simplex virus on the same day.
- 2. Treat for herpes simplex virus if the ulcer is recurrent or vesicular, and treat for syphilis if the person has no history of recent treatment for syphilis (in the past three months).
- Treat for chancroid only in geographical settings where cases are reported or emerging.

Good practice includes.

- performing serological tests for syphilis, including an RPRequivalent test, if available, to attempt to identify active syphilis and for monitoring the response to treatment; and
- referring men with persistent anogenital ulcers to a centre with laboratory capacity and expertise to diagnose herpes or less common pathogens (lymphogranuloma venereum, donovanosis and chancroid) and other genital or gastrointestinal conditions.

Remarks

Genital ulcer disease refers to breaks in the skin or mucosa and may present as ulcers, sores or vesicles. Anogenital ulcers refer to those located on the genital or anal areas and may be painful or painless.

A negative serological test for syphilis when anogenital ulcers have been present for less than three weeks does not definitively exclude syphilis, since antibodies may not yet be present to be detected by a serological test for syphilis. See WHO guidance on interpreting syphilis tests (see subsection 10.2). Good practice statement

Fig. 8. Flow chart for the management of genital ulcer disease including anorectal ulcers



HSV, herpes simplex virus

WHITH

* If molecular assay was performed and results were not available on same day, revise the syndromic treatment initially provided according to the test results when available

10.4.1 Evidence summary (Annex 6)

These recommendations were informed by evidence that was of high and moderate certainty from a systematic review of the sensitivity and specificity of using a clinical diagnosis of an STI pathogen based on the presence of an anogenital ulcer. No studies were found for clinical diagnosis of lymphogranuloma venereum (supplementary material – systematic review genital ulcer disease).

For detecting syphilis, which typically ranges from 5% to 10% as a cause of anogenital ulcers, if clinical diagnosis was used for 100 people with ulcers (sensitivity 64% and specificity 84%), about 2–4 cases would be missed, and 14–15 people would be falsely identified as having syphilis and unnecessarily treated. Alternatively, if all 100 people with an anogenital ulcer were treated for syphilis, 90–95 would be unnecessarily treated but no cases would be missed. Molecular assays could reduce the number of people unnecessarily treated or missed cases (since they are highly sensitive and specific), but the costs of diagnostics may be high and inaccessible. The WHO Guideline Development Group assessed the long-term consequences of a missed case of syphilis as more important than the number of people unnecessarily treated (false positives) and the cost of unnecessary treatment and therefore suggests treating all ulcers for syphilis when there is limited testing capacity. Other challenges of managing people with syphilis, such as with medications, are likely not linked to cost but to logistical support for procuring and distributing medications.

For detecting herpes, which typically ranges from 30% to 70% as a cause of anogenital ulcers, using a clinical diagnosis for 100 people with ulcers (sensitivity 40% and specificity 88%), about 18–42 cases of herpes would be missed and about 4–8 people with an ulcer would be identified falsely and be unnecessarily treated. Treating all 100 people with an anogenital ulcer for herpes would mean that 30–70 people would be unnecessarily treated, but the cost of treatment may be relatively inexpensive. The WHO Guideline Development Group agreed that it is uncertain that missing a case would lead to serious long-term harm. It likely contributes to increased HIV acquisition or HSV transmission and means discomfort for the people with symptoms *(69)*. Treating everyone with a genital ulcer for herpes was therefore suggested for improving the quality of life when there is limited capacity for laboratory testing. The Guideline Development Group agreed that treating everyone is likely feasible, the costs of treatment would be negligible and be acceptable to all and would likely not have negatively affect equity (in some settings it may increase equitable access to treatment).

The systematic review of the literature found that the prevalence of chancroid has been decreasing in high-income and low- and middle-income countries alike and using a clinical diagnosis to determine treatment of chancroid therefore results in only a trivial number of missed cases and greater unnecessary treatment. Based on the current prevalence of chancroid, the Guideline Development Group therefore agreed to suggest no treatment for chancroid for people with anogenital ulcers, unless surveillance shows reported or emerging cases. Although no evidence was found for lymphogranuloma venereum and the cost-benefit and harm of clinical diagnosis, the Guideline Development Group agreed that performing tests for lymphogranuloma venereum would also depend on the number of emerging cases and suggested no treatment for lymphogranuloma venereum unless a test was positive.

MUTHURKO

10.5 Treatment of genital ulcer disease, including anorectal ulcers

Table 7 outlines treatment options for the syndromic management of people with genital ulcer disease. Syndromic management should include treatment for syphilis, unless the person has been treated for syphilis within the past three months, and treatment for herpes.

For persons with recurrent ulcers that are too frequent (such as 4–6 episodes or more a year) or with severe symptoms or causing distress, suppressive therapy may be proposed and preferred to episodic treatment (27). People receiving suppressive therapy may be assessed after one year and asked whether they want to continue or to change to episodic therapy. Note that recurrence rates may revert to the period before suppressive therapy started, and patients need to be aware of that.

For people living with HIV and immunosuppressed individuals, dose adjustments are recommended for valaciclovir and famciclovir but not for acyclovir.

- For recurrent episodes, valaciclovir 500 mg is recommended for five days instead of three days, and famciclovir is recommended at a dose of 500 mg twice daily for five days instead of 250 mg.
- For suppressive therapy, valaciclovir is recommended at 500 mg twice daily instead of once daily and famciclovir at 500 mg twice daily instead of 250 mg twice daily.

People who report allergies to penicillin should be treated with the effective alternatives for syphilis, which include doxycycline and erythromycin.

X

Table 7. Recommended treatment options for genital ulcer disease

Multiple-dose therapy for herpes simplex virus infection (27) Plus					
• Single-dose long-acting penicillin therapy or multi-dose therapy of alternatives (26)					
Infections covered	First-line options	Effective substitutes	For pregnant and breastfeeding women and people younger than 16 years		
Genital herpes	Primary infection Acyclovir 400 mg, orally, 3 times a day for 10 days or Acyclovir 200 mg, orally, 5 times a day for 10 days	Primary infection Valaciclovir 500 mg, twice a day for 10 days <i>or</i> Famciclovir 250 mg, orally, 3 times a day for 10 days	Primary infection Use acyclovir only when the benefit outweighs the risk. The dosage is the same as for primary infection in non- pregnancy.		
	Recurrent infection – episodic therapy Acyclovir 400 mg, orally, 3 times a day for 5 days or Acyclovir 800 mg, orally, twice daily for 5 days or Acyclovir 800 mg, 3 times a day for 2 days	Recurrent infection – episodic Valaciclovir 500 mg, twice daily for 5 days or Famciclovir 250 mg, orally, twice daily for 5 days	Recurrent infection – episodic therapy Acyclovir 400 mg, orally, 3 times a day for 5 days or Acyclovir 800 mg, orally, twice daily for 5 days or Acyclovir 800 mg, 3 times a day, for 2 days		
	Suppressive therapy for recurrent herpes ^a Acyclovir 400 mg, orally, twice daily or Valaciclovir 500 mg, once daily	Suppressive therapy for recurrences ^a Famciclovir 250 mg, orally, twice daily	Suppressive therapy for recurrent herpes Acyclovir 400 mg, orally, twice daily or Valaciclovir 500 mg, once daily		
Syphilis (early) (treatment for primary, secondary and early latent [less than two years since infection] syphilis)	Benzathine penicillin 2.4 million units, intramuscularly in a single dose	Doxycycline 100 mg, orally, twice a day for 14 days or Erythromycin 500 mg, 4 times a day for 14 days	Benzathine penicillin 2.4 million units, intramuscularly in a single dose or Erythromycin 500 mg, orally, 4 times a day for 14 days ^b		
Syphilis (late) (treatment for late latent and tertiary syphilis)	Benzathine penicillin 2.4 million units by intramuscular injection, once weekly for 3 consecutive weeks	Procaine penicillin 1.2 million units by intramuscular injection, once daily for 20 consecutive days or Doxycycline 100 mg, orally, twice daily for 30 days	Erythromycin 500mg orally, 4 times a day for 30 days ^b		

^aSuppressive therapy for recurrent herpes is recommended for individuals with 4–6 or more recurrent episodes per year, severe symptoms or episodes that cause distress. Increased dosages or duration of treatment are required for people living with HIV (27).

^bAlthough erythromycin is used to treat pregnant women, it does not cross the placental barrier completely and the fetus is not treated. The newborn infant therefore needs treatment soon after delivery.

11. ANORECTAL DISCHARGE

Anorectal symptoms and anorectal STIs are prevalent among men who have sex with men, female sex workers, transgender people and heterosexual women who engage in anal sexual intercourse.

11.1 Anatomical sites of infection

Infections of the anorectal region can be divided into the following anatomical sites:

- anal infections: infections of the anus and perianal area involving the stratified squamous epithelium a common site for pathogens such as HPV, HSV and syphilis;
- proctitis: infections from the dentate line to the rectosigmoid junction a common site for gonococcal and chlamydial infections and HSV (the dentate line is the line between the simple columnar epithelium of the rectum and the stratified epithelium of the anal canal, usually defined as being at the level of the anal valves; and
- proctocolitis: infections of the rectum and colon a common site for infections with *Shigella*, *Campylobacter, Salmonella* and cytomegalovirus and amoebiasis.

For syndromic diagnosis and management, these infections have been grouped under anorectal infections. Anorectal infections may be associated with anorectal pain, itching, discharge, bleeding, sensation of rectal fullness, tenesmus, constipation and mucus streaking of stools.

Asymptomatic anorectal infections are not uncommon, although precise data are scarce. The people at highest risk of asymptomatic anorectal infections are men who have sex with men, male and female sex workers, transgender people and women who have had receptive anal intercourse with men with STIs.

11.2 Sexual practices that may be associated with anorectal infections

Specific high-risk sexual behaviour associated with anorectal infections include receptive anal sex, oro-anal contact (anilingus or rimming), fisting (inserting a hand into the rectum or vagina), fingering (touching another's genitals or anus using fingers or digital-vaginal penetration), nudging (unprotected penile-anal external contact without penetration), dipping (partly inserting or briefly inserting the penis into the anus without a condom, followed by immediate withdrawal) and sharing sex toys.

11.3 Examination

ATACH

An examination for anal infections includes an external examination of the anus and, where available, an anoscopy. In asymptomatic infections, anoscopy can be performed, possibly with Gram-stained smear and a count of the number of polymorphonucleated leukocytes to screen for STIs. However, an anoscope is not available in most primary point-of-care settings, and an external examination may be the only practical procedure to observe a discharge, ulcers or external warts.

THEFT

The second

7

Although an anoscopic examination can be used to take samples for Gram-stained smear for *N. gonorrhoeae* and for leukocytes, as well as for culture of *N. gonorrhoeae*, samples for nucleic acid amplification tests for *Chlamydia* and dark-field microscopy for *T. pallidum*, the performance of such tests on rectal specimens is not well established. However, some test kits have been licensed for use on rectal specimens. Little or no data exist to validate using microscopy in diagnosing anorectal infections.

In many low- and middle-income countries, male and females sex workers have similar rates of anorectal infection (70-72). A more practical approach in such a situation might be periodic presumptive treatment for high-risk men or presumptive treatment at the first visit, but there is limited experience with the outcomes of such an approach in anorectal infections for both men and women.

Given the limited data and information on both symptomatic and asymptomatic anorectal infections, the providing care for people with STIs associated with anorectal infections requires close supervision and research, especially in populations at high risk of infection. Research is also needed to validate laboratory tests on rectal specimens and to validate the treatment choices for anorectal infections.

Knowledge of the prevalence of asymptomatic, seroreactive syphilis infection among men who have sex with men can be helpful in adapting the flow chart to include syphilis treatment for those at high risk of infection and for those with ulcerative disease.

11.4 Recommendations for the management of anorectal discharge

For people with symptom of anorectal discharge and report receptive anal sex, WHO recommends management based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays. However, in settings with limited or no molecular tests or laboratory capacity, WHO recommends syndromic treatment to ensure treatment on the same day of the visit.

Good practice includes:

- taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;
- performing a physical examination of the genital and perianal areas and a digital rectal examination, if acceptable (and anoscopy, if available and acceptable);
- offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines; and

X THIN

 referring for other investigations when anorectal discharge is unrelated to a sexually transmitted infection, such as other gastrointestinal conditions. (Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Good practice statement

Settings with quality-assured molecular testing in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit

WHO recommends the following.

- 1. Perform molecular assays (NAAT) using a self-collected or clinician-collected anorectal swab to confirm or exclude infection with *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* and treat the individual infections detected.
- 2. Treat, additionally, for herpes simplex virus if there is anorectal pain.
- 3. Follow the genital ulcer guidelines if ulceration is present.

Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing

WHO suggests the following.

- 1. Treat for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* if discharge is present.
- 2. Treat, additionally, for herpes simplex virus if there is anorectal pain.

Good practice includes:

- following the genital ulcer guidelines if ulceration is present; and
- referring people with persistent anorectal discharge to a centre with laboratory capacity to diagnose *N. gonorrhoeae*, *C. trachomatis* (including lymphogranuloma venereum serovars) and M. genitalium and determine antimicrobial resistance for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *M. genitalium*.

Good practice statement

(Conditional

certainty

evidence)

recommendation; moderate-

(Strong recommendation; moderatecertainty evidence)

Fig. 9. Flow chart for the management of anorectal discharge



NG, N.gonorrhoeae; CT, C. trachomatis; MG, M. genitalium.

11.4.1 Evidence summary (Annex 7)

These recommendations were informed by evidence that was of moderate certainty from a systematic review of the sensitivity and specificity of using syndromic management based on anorectal discharge to diagnose N. gonorrhoeae and/or C. trachomatis (supplementary materials – systematic review anorectal discharge). When available, performing molecular assay tests for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis as well as C. trachomatis (serovars L1, L2 and L3) causing lymphogranuloma venereum and M. genitalium and basing treatment on these results leads to the most people treated correctly. If the previously recommended syndromic management algorithm was used for 100 people with anorectal discharge in which 20–50% would typically have N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis (with 32% sensitivity and 82% specificity), then 9–15 would be falsely identified with N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis and unnecessarily treated and 14–34 would be missed. The previously recommended algorithm is based on assessment of risk, anorectal pain and discharge. The Guideline Development Group agreed that the numbers of cases missed using syndromic management means many people would continue to harbour the infections, which would increase the risk of transmission to others and the risk of acquiring and transmitting HIV. Instead, when molecular assay tests are not available, although the number of people treated unnecessarily would be high, if everyone with anorectal discharge were treated for N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis, no cases would be missed.

Managing people presenting with anorectal discharge based on a syndromic approach results in minor benefits and moderate harm compared with molecular testing or treating everyone. Molecular testing may not be feasible in all settings and, alternatively, treating everyone would be feasible and the costs would be negligible.

11.5 Treatment recommendations for anorectal infections

In implementing a flow chart for managing people with anorectal infections, the following should be considered:

establishing that the person engages in anal sex;

ATA A

- differentiating between anorectal infection and other disease; and
- thresholds for adding treatment for HSV, lymphogranuloma venereum or syphilis.

The choice of medicines, dosage and duration of treatment do not generally differ from those for infections at other anatomical sites. Table 8 summarizes treatment options for anorectal infections.

Generally, the following syndromic treatment of symptomatic people is recommended: for chlamydia, doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for seven days (extended to 21 days to cover lymphogranuloma venereum if NAAT is positive for C. trachomatis) or azithromycin 1 g at once (25) plus ceftriaxone 250 mg intramuscularly or cefixime 400 mg orally as single doses for gonorrhoea, and with acyclovir, valaciclovir or famciclovir for HSV infection (27), if indicated.

If ulcerations are seen, treatment should follow the flow chart for genital ulcers as well and consider managing the person for syphilis and/or lymphogranuloma venereum.

Table 8. Treatment options for people with anorectal discharge^a

Recommended treatment regimens for anorectal infections					
Infections	First-line options	Effective substitutes			
covered					
N. gonorrhoeae (24)	Ceftriaxone 250 mg, intramuscularly, single dose <i>plus</i> Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose	Cefixime 400 mg, orally, single dose <i>plus</i> Azithromycin 1 gram, orally, single dose			
C. trachomatis (25)	Doxycycline 100 mg orally, twice daily, for 7 days or Doxycycline for 21 days (to cover rectal lymphogranuloma venereum) if suspected or confirmed on NAAT (to be given only if dual therapy did not include azithromycin)	Erythromycin 500 mg , orally, 4 times a day for 14 days (to be given only if dual therapy did not include azithromycin)			
Syphilis (26) (if ulcer present)	Benzathine penicillin 2.4 million units intramuscularly, single dose People with a positive syphilis test and no ulcer: administer the same dose at weekly intervals for a total of three doses	Doxycycline 100 mg orally, twice daily for 14 days Erythromycin 500 mg 4 times a day, orally, for 14 days Extend treatment to 30 days if syphilis serology			
Genital herpes (27)	Recurrent infection: Acyclovir 400 mg, orally, 3 times a day for 5 days or Acyclovir 800 mg, orally, 3 times a day for 2 days or Acyclovir 800 mg, orally, 2 times a day for 5 days	Recurrent infection: Valaciclovir 500 mg, twice daily for 3 days			
	Primary genital herpes: Acyclovir 400 mg, orally, 3 times a day for 10 days or Acyclovir 200 mg, 5 times a day for 10 days Suppressive therapy for recurrent herpes Acyclovir 400 mg, orally, twice daily or Valaciclovir 500 mg, once daily For duration, see the genital ulcer disease section	Primary genital herpes: Valaciclovir 500 mg, orally, twice daily for 10 days Suppressive therapy for recurrences Famciclovir 250 mg, orally, twice daily (Famciclovir 500 mg, twice daily for people living with HIV or immunocompromised)			

^aBecause of increasing antimicrobial resistance to azithromycin in *N. gonorrhoeae* and reduced susceptibility to cephalosporins, WHO is in the process of revising current treatment recommendations and dosages.

TH

12. DISSEMINATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINES

12.1 Dissemination

The syndromic guidelines will be made available as a printed publication and as downloadable documents on the WHO website under sexually transmitted infections – guidelines. There will be links to other supporting documentation at https://www.who.int/health-topics/sexually-transmitted-infections#tab=tab_1.

WHO headquarters will work with WHO regional offices and country offices to ensure that countries receive support in adapting, implementing and monitoring these guidelines. All levels of WHO (headquarters, regional offices and country offices) will work with regional and national partners – including the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), NGOs and other agencies implementing HIV, STI and sexual and reproductive health services to ensure an integrated approach to preventing and controlling STIs. WHO will ensure that these external partners are fully engaged in supporting the dissemination and implementation of these guidelines.

These guidelines will also be disseminated at conferences related to STIs and HIV and conferences linked with HIV and STIs and sexual and reproductive health. Efforts will be made to disseminate the information recommended in these guidelines through electronic media, especially during restrictions on meetings because of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The approved guidelines will be officially launched and followed by regional webinars to disseminate the guidelines. WHO will also work with Project ECHO (Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes), which has been previously partnered with in the WHO African Region in disseminating WHO HIV guidelines using the amplified Internet connectivity and wide network of health-care providers. WHO will also work with the Integrated Best Practice Platform to disseminate the guidelines to health-care providers providing sexual and reproductive health services.

12.2 Updating the STI guidelines and user feedback

A system of monitoring relevant new evidence and updating the recommendations in these guidelines will be established and mechanisms for disseminating the new information put into operation. Some of the mechanisms will be by electronic communication. An electronic follow-up survey of key end-users of these guidelines will be conducted after one year of their dissemination. The results of the survey will be used to identify challenges and barriers to the uptake of the guidelines, to evaluate their usefulness in improving service delivery for STIs and to identify topics or gaps in managing people with STIs that need to be addressed in future editions.

Y THIN

12.3 Implementation considerations

12.3.1 Adaptation, implementation and monitoring

These guidelines provide recommendations for providing STI services, mainly using the syndromic approach to enable countries and settings with limited resources to provide evidence-informed interventions for managing people with symptomatic STIs. These guidelines address the syndromes of urethral discharge among men, vaginal discharge and pelvic inflammatory disease among women, genital ulcers among men and women and anorectal infections among men and women.

However, the epidemiology of the specific pathogens causing the syndromes needs to be established in each setting since there is wide geographical variation. Further, the patterns of antimicrobial resistance need to be monitored and may necessitate adapting the choice of medicines used in each syndrome. In areas lacking local data as a basis for adaptation, the recommendations in these guidelines can be adopted as presented since there has been global assessment before inclusion in these guidelines.

12.3.1.1 Opportunities for integrated approaches

• Testing opportunities using rapid point-of-care tests

Existing services should be used for making the etiological diagnosis of STIs. Many countries have facilities for implementing point-of-care testing for diagnosing HIV infection. Already, many countries have adopted the dual or triple elimination projects to test for HIV, syphilis and/or viral hepatitis at the same time. This should be scaled up for people seeking care for STIs, people receiving PrEP for HIV infection, young people undergoing voluntary medical male circumcision and others.

• Testing opportunities using molecular testing technologies

The molecular platform (such as for tuberculosis antimicrobial resistance diagnosis or viral load detection) is available in many countries. Molecular testing can be expanded at specific sentinel sites or designated laboratories to include detecting STIs, determining the causes of STI syndromes and possibly incorporating the detection of antimicrobial resistance genetic markers in pathogens such as *N. gonorrhoeae* and *M. genitalium* as technologies advance and become affordable and more accessible.

Integrated training of health-care providers Health-care providers should be trained jointly in implementing the guidelines to enhance services for HIV and the other STIs, antenatal care and family planning care.

12.3.1.2 Establishing referral centres and sentinel site laboratories

TH

Countries should establish or strengthen sentinel sites that can provide support to primary health-care services that need specialist services, such as an STI expert or physician or gynaecologist or genitourinary referral centres for or places to refer people with persistent or recurrent STIs. At the same time, these centres or nearby laboratories can be equipped and strengthened to provide support for STI programmes in areas such as etiological studies and antimicrobial resistance monitoring.

13. SURVEILLANCE AND RESEARCH NEEDS

In June 2020, the Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis & STI Programmes convened a thinktank meeting of experts to propose strategic areas of focus for preventing and controlling STIs. One area that got input from the meeting was STI surveillance and its importance in putting STIs on the global agenda. It was highlighted that surveillance is the backbone of public health, and poor surveillance and lack of data on STIs undermine the importance of STIs and their burden on populations. Some of the key areas that need to be implemented are as follows.

13.1 Challenges in STI surveillance and anticipated responses

The challenges in STI surveillance include:

- difficulty in conducting robust surveillance when laboratory testing is not available to detect STIs and understand the causes of STI syndromes;
- the asymptomatic nature of many STIs, resulting in a significant burden of infections being missed for lack of diagnostic testing; and
- limited linking of laboratory data to epidemiological data in many settings.

Ongoing STI surveillance at the country level therefore needs to be strengthened. The few data that are available should be used as stepping stones to improve surveillance by using the gaps for planning and programming to obtain more robust data. This should be done on continuously and not only periodically.

- Since the syndromic approach is widely used in STI country programmes, countries should keep on top of the causes of the STI syndromes emerging by regularly conducting etiological studies from sentinel sites using molecular assays, linked to other programmes, if necessary.
- STI surveillance should be an integral part of the syndromic approach, linked with periodic assessment of the antimicrobial resistance of key pathogens.
- The complications of STIs are another component that adds to the disease burden, and routine STI surveillance should incorporate monitoring of STI complications within STI management reporting systems.
- STI surveillance in key populations remains fundamental, since the STI prevalence in these populations remains a significant contributor to the STI epidemic. For this, the collaboration of NGOs should be sought and strengthened to harness these stakeholders as sources of data. Regular systematic STI surveillance and screening for STIs among key populations would be more relevant than occasional surveillance in providing information for effective interventions.
- Capacity-building is required for STI surveillance and monitoring. This requires strengthening laboratories by investing in human resources for laboratories and fostering the availability of and access to affordable STI diagnostic tests.
- Advocating for funding is essential for developing alternative approaches for managing people with STIs by using rapid point-of-care diagnostic tests.

• For syphilis, since there is routine maternal screening and trend estimation at the country level, modelling should be used more systematically and frequently to establish maternal syphilis trend estimates, together with the WHO congenital syphilis estimation tool to estimate the incidence of congenital syphilis as a basis for validating the elimination of mother-to-child transmission. These elements can be strengthened and scaled up, linked with STI workshops that are often conducted by UNAIDS for regional HIV estimation.

13.2 Research needs in STI case management

There are outstanding questions regarding the role of some organisms and their relevance and need for strategic control that require more research. Some of the key ones are the following.

- The role of overtreatment in developing or accelerating antimicrobial resistance, especially for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *M. genitalium*.
- *M. genitalium*: how important is this organism in pathogenicity and the need for control?
 - The role and impact on sexual and reproductive health, and need for effective control, of M. genitalium in urethritis among men, pelvic inflammatory disease among women and proctitis among women and men.
 - Research on best treatments for people with *M. genitalium*?
- *H. ducreyi*: this pathogen seems to have been controlled, but it is occasionally detected in some settings through infrequent etiological studies.
 - What mechanisms should be put in place to keep vigilance to ensure that the infection does not re-emerge, and if it does, how to detect it and prevent its spread?
 - What is the most feasible way of determining whether *H. ducreyi* has been eliminated?
- *C. trachomatis* genovar L1–L3: there seems to be a resurgence of lymphogranuloma venereum, especially among men who have sex with men, causing rectal infections.
 - Are there specific clinical manifestations that should alert the health-care provider to this infection?
 - What is the burden of this infection among men who have sex with men and people engaging in anal sex?
 - What are the long-term consequences of lymphogranuloma venereum if not treated?
 - How can the diagnosis of lymphogranuloma venereum be made more affordable and improved?
- Validation studies and cost-effectiveness studies of the various recommended flow charts, considering important outcomes, such as pelvic inflammatory disease and the development of antimicrobial resistance.
- Studies on the prevalence and effective treatment of people with anorectal and pharyngeal infections and the role of pooled sampling.

Overall, real rapid low-cost point-of-care tests for diagnosing *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* need to be developed.

Y THU

REFERENCES

ACHANN

- Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S, Korenromp E, Low N, Unemo M, Abu-Raddad LJ et al. Chlamydia, gonorrhoea, trichomoniasis and syphilis: global prevalence and incidence estimates, 2016. Bull World Health Organ, 2019;97:548–562.
- Korenromp EL, Rowley J, Alonso M, Mello MB, Wijesooriya NS, Mahiané SG et al. Global burden of maternal and congenital syphilis and associated adverse birth outcomes – estimates for 2016 and progress since 2012. PLoS One. 2019;14:e0211720.
- Wijesooriya NS, Rochat RW, Kamb ML, Turlapati P, Temmerman M, Broutet N et al. Global burden of maternal and congenital syphilis in 2008 and 2012: a health systems modelling study. Lancet Global Health. 2016;4:e525–33.
- 4. De Sanjosé S, Diaz M, Castellsagué X, Clifford G, Bruni L, Muñoz N et al. Worldwide prevalence and genotype distribution of cervical human papillomavirus DNA in women with normal cytology: a meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7:453–9.
- 5. Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012;380:2197–223.
- 6. Decker M, Miller E, McCauley H, Tancredi D, Levenson R, Waldman J et al. Intimate partner violence and partner notification of sexually transmitted infections among adolescent and young adult family planning clinic patients. Int J STD AIDS. 2011;22:345–7.
- Wasserheit JN. Epidemiological synergy: interrelationships between human immunodeficiency virus infections and other sexually transmitted diseases. Sex Transm Dis. 1992;19:61–77.
- 8. Sexton J, Garnett G, Røttingen J-A. Metaanalysis and metaregression in interpreting study variability in the impact of sexually transmitted diseases on susceptibility to HIV infection. Sex Transm Dis. 2005;32:351–7.
- 9. Glynn JR, Biraro S, Weiss HA. Herpes simplex virus type 2: a key role in HIV incidence. AIDS. 2009;23:1595–8.
- 10. Johnson LF, Lewis DA. The effect of genital tract infections on HIV-1 shedding in the genital tract: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sex Trans Dis. 2008;35:946–59.
- 11. Cohen MS. Classical sexually transmitted diseases drive the spread of HIV-1: back to the future. J Infect Dis. 2012;206:1–2.
- Jenness SM, Weiss KM, Goodreau SM, Gift T, Chesson H, Hoover KW et al. Incidence of gonorrhea and chlamydia following human immunodeficiency virus preexposure prophylaxis among men who have sex with men: a modeling study. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;65:712–8.
- Prevention and control of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) in the era of oral preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for HIV: technical brief. Geneva; World Health Organization; 2018 (https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/prep/prevention-sti-prep/en, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 14. Defining sexual health. Report of a technical consultation on sexual health, 28–31 January 2002, Geneva. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006 (https://www.who.int/ reproductivehealth/publications/sexual_health/defining_sh/en, accessed 22 March 2021).

The

TATAT

THE ACTION OF A CONTRACT

- Global health sector strategy on sexually transmitted infections, 2016–2021. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-RHR-16.09, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 16. Wi TE, Ndowa FJ, Ferreyra C, Kelly-Cirino C, Taylor MM, Toskin I et al. Diagnosing sexually transmitted infections in resource-constrained settings: challenges and ways forward. J Int AIDS Soc. 2019;22 (Suppl. 6):e25343.
- 17. Wi T, Lahra MM, Ndowa F, Bala M, Dillon J-AR, Ramon-Pardo P, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae: global surveillance and a call for international collaborative action. PLoS Med. 2017;14:e1002344.
- 18. Unemo M, Golparian D, Eyre DW. Antimicrobial resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae and treatment of gonorrhea. Methods Mol Biol. 2019;1997:37–58.
- Unemo M, Lahra MM, Cole M, Galarza P, Ndowa F, Martin I et al. World Health Organization Global Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (WHO GASP): review of new data and evidence to inform international collaborative actions and research efforts. Sex Health. 2019;16:412–25.
- 20. Ndowa FJ, Ison CA, Lusti-Narasimhan M. Gonococcal antimicrobial resistance: the implications for public health control. Sex Transm Infect. 2013;89(Suppl. 4):iv1–2.
- 21. Horner PJ. Azithromycin antimicrobial resistance and genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection: duration of therapy may be the key to improving efficacy. Sex Transm Infect. 2012;88:154–6.
- 22. Wang S, John Papp , Stamm W, Peeling R, Martin D, Holmes K. Evaluation of antimicrobial resistance and treatment failures for Chlamydia trachomatis: a meeting report. Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2005, 191:917–23.
- 23. WHO handbook for guideline development, 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/145714, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 24. WHO guidelines for the treatment of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-guidelines-for-the-treatment-of-neisseria-gonorrhoeae, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 25. WHO guidelines for the treatment of Chlamydia trachomatis. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-guidelines-for-the-treatment-of-chlamydia-trachomatis, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 26. WHO guidelines for the treatment of Treponema pallidum (syphilis). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-guidelines-for-the-treatment-of-treponema-pallidum-(syphilis), accessed 22 March 2021).
- 27. WHO guidelines for the treatment of genital herpes simplex virus. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/978924154987, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 28. WHO guidelines on syphilis screening and treatment for pregnant women. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550093, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 29. UNAIDS, WHO. Sexually transmitted diseases: policies and principles for prevention and care. Geneva: UNAIDS; 1999 (https://data.unaids.org/publications/irc-pub04/una97-6_en.pdf, accessed 22 March 2021).

The

MANANA

- Hawkes S, Morison L, Foster S, Gausia K, Chakraborty J, Peeling RW et al. Reproductive tract infections in women in low-income, low-prevalence situations: assessment of syndromic management in Matlab, Bangladesh. Lancet. 1999;354:1776–81.
- 31. Wasserheit JN, Harris JR, Chakraborty J, Kay BA, Mason KJ. Reproductive tract infections in a family planning population in rural Bangladesh. Stud Fam Planning. 1989;20:69–80.
- 32. Balamurugan SS, Bendigeri N D. Community-based study of reproductive tract infections among women of the reproductive age group in the urban health training centre area in Hubli, Karnataka. Indian J Community Med. 2012;37:34–8.
- Nandan D, Gupta YP, Krishnan V, Sharma A, Misra SK. Reproductive tract infection in women of reproductive age group in Sitapur/Shahjahanpur District of Uttar Pradesh. Indian J Public Health. 2001;45:8–13.
- Htun Y, Morse SA, Dangor Y, Fehler G, Radebe F, Trees DL et al. Comparison of clinically directed disease specific, and syndromic protocols for the management of genital ulcer disease in Lesotho. Sex Transm Infect. 1998;74(Suppl. 1):S23–8.
- 35. Dangor Y, Ballard RC, Exposto L, Filomena DA, Fehler G, Miller SD et al. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of genital ulcer disease. Sex Trans Dis. 1990;17:184–9.
- 36. Richard P. DiCarlo, & Martin, D. The clinical diagnosis of genital ulcer disease in men. Clin Infect Dis. 1997;25:292–8.
- Brief sexuality-related communication: recommendations for a public health approach. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/9789241549004, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 38. Peeling RW. Applying new technologies for diagnosing sexually transmitted infections in resource-poor settings. Sex Transm Infect. 2011;87:ii28–30.
- Benzaken AS, Galban EG, Antunes W, Dutra JC, Peeling RW, Mabey D, Salama A. Diagnosis of gonococcal infection in high risk women using a rapid test. Sex Transm Infect. 2006;82(Suppl. 5):v26–8.
- Alary M, Gbenafa-Agossa C, Aïna G, Ndour M, Labbé AC, Fortin D et al. Evaluation of a rapid point-of-care test for the detection of gonococcal infection among female sex workers in Benin. Sex Transm Infect. 2006;82(Suppl. 5):v29–32.
- 41. Gift TL, Pate MS, Hook EW 3rd, Kassler WJ. The rapid test paradox: when fewer cases detected lead to more cases treated: a decision analysis of tests for Chlamydia trachomatis. Sex Transm Dis. 1999;26:232–40.
- 42. Gaydos C, Hardick J. Point of care diagnostics for sexually transmitted infections: perspectives and advances. Expert Rev Antiinfect Ther. 2014;12:657–72.
- 43. Cristillo AD, Bristow CC, Peeling R, Van Der Pol B, de Cortina SH, Dimov IK, et.al. Pointof-care sexually transmitted infection diagnostics: proceedings of the STAR sexually transmitted Infection – clinical trial group programmatic meeting. Sex Transm Dis. 2017;44:211–8.
- 44. Herbst de Cortina S, Bristow CC, Davey JD, Klausner JD. A systematic review of point of care testing for Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, and Trichomonas vaginalis. Infect Dis Obstet Gyncol. 2016;2016:4386127-17.
- 45. Jafari Y, Peeling R, Shivkumar S, Claessens C, Joseph L, Pai N. Are Treponema pallidum specific rapid and point-of-care tests for syphilis accurate enough for screening in resource limited settings? Evidence from a meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2013;8:e54695.

17

M

- 46. Gliddon H, Peeling R, Kamb M, Toskin I, Wi T, Taylor M. A systematic review and metaanalysis of studies evaluating the performance and operational characteristics of dual point-of-care tests for HIV and syphilis. Sex Transm Infect. 2017;93(Suppl. 4):S3–15.
- 47. Laboratory Quality Stepwise Implementation Tool. Lyon. World Health Organization; 2005 (https://www.who.int/ihr/lyon/hls_lqsi/en, accessed 22 March 2021).
- Unemo M, Ballard R, Ison C, Lewis D, Ndowa F, Peeling R, editors. Laboratory diagnosis of sexually transmitted infections including human immunodeficiency virus. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/85343/9789241505840_ eng.pdf;jsessionid=9CC5FDF5142E1760B4E9306CD7490102?sequence=1, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 49. Mindel A, Dallabetta G, Gerbase A, Holmes K, ed. Syndromic approach to STD management. Sex Transm Infect. 1998;74(4):Suppl. 1.
- 50. Kingston MA, Bansal D, Carlin EM. "Shelf life" of Trichomonas vaginalis. Int J STD AIDS. 2003;14:28–9.
- 51. Willems H, Ahmed SS, Liu J, Xu Z, Peters BM. Vulvovaginal candidiasis: a current understanding and burning questions. J Fungi. 2020;6:27.
- 52. Marrazzo J, Sobel J, Hillier S. Vaginal infections. In: Morse SA, Ballard RC, Holmes KK, Moreland AA, eds. Atlas of sexually transmitted diseases and AIDS. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders, 2010:76–93.
- 53. Achkar JM, Fries BC. Candida infections of the genitourinary tract. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2010;23:253–73.
- 54. Paavonen J and Brunham RC. Bacterial vaginosis and desquamative inflammatory vaginitis. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:2246–54.
- 55. Cohen CR, Lingappa JR, Baeten JM, Ngayo MO, Spiegel CA, Hong T et al. Bacterial vaginosis associated with increased risk of female-to-male HIV-1 transmission: a prospective cohort analysis among African couples. PLoS Med. 2012;9:e1001251.
- 56. Haahr T, Zacho J, Brauner M, Shathmigha K, Skov Jensen J, Humaidan P. Reproductive outcome of patients undergoing in vitro fertilisation treatment and diagnosed with bacterial vaginosis or abnormal vaginal microbiota: systematic PRISMA review and meta-analysis. Int J Obstet Gynaecol. 2019;126:200–7.
- Kapiga S, Kelly C, Weiss S, Daley T, Peterson L, Leburg C, Ramjee G. Risk factors for incidence of sexually transmitted infections among women in South Africa, Tanzania, and Zambia: results from HPTN 055 study. Sex Transm Dis. 2009;36:199–206.
- 58. Ghanem M, Radcliffe K, Allan P. The role of urethral samples in the diagnosis of gonorrhoea in women. Int J STD AIDS. 2004;15:45–7.
- Unemo M, Papp JR. Infections caused by Chlamydia trachomatis. In: Morse SA, Ballard RC, Holmes KK, Moreland AA, eds. Atlas of sexually transmitted diseases and AIDS. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2010:40–63.
- 60. Muralidhar S, Talwar R, Anil Kumar D, Kumar J, Bala M, Khan N, Ramesh V. Genital ulcer disease: how worrisome is it today? A status report from New Delhi, India. J Sex Transm Dis. 2013;2013:203636.
- 61. Magdaleno-Tapial J, Hernández-Bel P, Valenzuela-Oñate C, Ortiz-Salvador JM, García-Legaz-Martínez M, Martínez-Domenech Á et al. Genital infection with herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 in Valencia, Spain: a retrospective observational study. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2020;111:53–8.

THINK HUNDHUNDHUN

- 62. Johnston C, Ashley Morrow R, Moreland A, Wald A. Genital herpes. In: Morse SA, Ballard RC, Holmes KK, Moreland AA, eds. Atlas of sexually transmitted diseases and AIDS. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders, 2010:169–85.
- 63. Gjestland T. The Oslo study of untreated syphilis; an epidemiologic investigation of the natural course of the syphilitic infection based upon a re-study of the Boeck-Bruusgaard material. Acta Derm Venereol Suppl (Stockh). 1955;35(Suppl. 34):3–368.
- 64. Cox D, Ballard RC. Syphilis. In: Morse SA, Ballard RC, Holmes KK, Moreland AA, eds. Atlas of sexually transmitted diseases and AIDS. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2010:111–40.
- 65. Lewis DA. Diagnostic tests for chancroid. Sex Transm Infect. 2000;76:137-41.
- 66. Raffe S, Soni S. Diagnostic tests for sexually transmitted infections. Medicine. 2018;46:277–82.
- 67. Mungati M, Machiha A, Mugurungi O, Tshimanga M, Kilmarx PH, Nyakura J et al. The etiology of genital ulcer disease and coinfections with Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae in Zimbabwe: results from the Zimbabwe STI etiology study. Sex Transm Dis. 2018;45:61–8.
- 68. Prabhakar P, Narayanan P, Deshpande GR, Das A, Neilsen G, Mehendale S et al. Genital ulcer disease in India: etiologies and performance of current syndrome guidelines. Sex Transm Dis. 2012;39:906–10.
- 69. Looker KJ, Elmes JAR, Gottlieb SL, Schiffer JT, Vickerman P, Turner KME et al. Effect of HSV-2 infection on subsequent HIV acquisition: an updated systematic review and metaanalysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2017;17:1303–16.
- 70. Minichiello V, Scott J, Callander D. A new public health context to understand male sex work. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:282.
- Muraguri N, Tun W, Okal J, Broz D, Raymond HF, Kellogg T et al. HIV and STI prevalence and risk factors among male sex workers and other men who have sex with men in Nairobi, Kenya. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;68:91–6.
- Van Gerwen OT, Jani A, Long DM, Austin EL, Musgrove K, Muzny CA. Prevalence of sexually transmitted infections and human immunodeficiency virus in transgender persons: a systematic review. Transgender Health. 2020;5:90–103.
- Yared N, Horvath K, Fashanu O, Zhao R, Baker J, Kulasingam S. Optimizing screening for sexually transmitted infections in men using self-collected swabs – a systematic review. Sex Transm Dis. 2018;45:294–300.

ANNEX 1. STI GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT GROUP

STI Guideline Development Group

Ilya Abellanosa-Tacan STI Physician Social Hygiene Clinic Cebu City Philippines

Laith Abu-Raddad

Professor of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Biomathematics Research Core Department of Public Health Weill Cornell Medical College – Qatar Cornell University Education City Doha Oatar

Yaw Adu-Sarkodie School of Medical Sciences Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology Bantama Kumasi Ghana

Chris Akolo Deputy Director Technical, Linkages Project FHI 360 Washington, DC USA

Andrew Amato European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control Stockholm Sweden

Mircea Betiu Nicolae Testemițanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy Chișinău Republic of Moldova

T

MAN

John Changalucha

National Institute for Medical Research Mwanza Medical Research Centre Mwanza United Republic of Tanzania

Rizwana Chaudhri Obstetrics and Gynecology Department Islamabad Specialists Clinic Islamabad Pakistan

Xiang-Sheng Chen Deputy Director National Center for STD Control Chinese CDC and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Institute of Dermatology Nanjing China

Amina El Kettani Direction de l'Epidémiologie Service des MST-sida Ministry of Health Rabat Morocco

Patricia Garcia Dean, School of Public Health and Administration Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia Lima Peru

William M. Geisler Clinical Associate Director and Medical Scientist Training Program Professor of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, AL USA

HANN P

Edward W. Hook III Director, UAB Division of Infectious Diseases University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, AL USA

Rossaphorn Kittyaowamarn

Department of Diseases Control Bureau of AIDS, TB and STIs, Thailand Ministry of Public Health Nonthaburi Thailand

Jeffrey D. Klausner

Professor of Medicine and Public Health UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine and Fielding School of Public Health Los Angeles, CA USA

Ranmini Kularatne

Head, STI Section Centre for HIV & STIs National Institute for Communicable Diseases Johannesburg, South Africa

David Lewis

Western Sydney Sexual Health Centre Marie Bashir Institute for Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity Sydney Medical School University of Sydney Sydney, Australia

Nicola Low

Epidemiology and Public Health Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine University of Berne Berne Switzerland

Philippe Mayaud

Clinical Research Department Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine London United Kingdom

Daniel McCartney

Research and Technical Support International Planned Parenthood Federation London United Kingdom

Nelly Mugo

Kenya Medical Research Institute Nairobi Kenya

Saiqa Mullick

Director, Implementation Science Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute Johannesburg South Africa

Francis Ndowa Harare

Zimbabwe

Kees Rietmeijer

Medical Director Denver STD Prevention Training Center Denver Public Health Department Denver, CO USA

Pachara Sirivongrangson

Senior Advisor and Programme Manager Department of Diseases Control Bureau of AIDS, TB and STIs, Thailand Ministry of Public Health Nonthaburi Thailand

Katayoun Tayeri

HIV&AIDS specialist Iranian Research Center of HIV&AIDS Iranian Institute for Reduction of High Risk Behaviors Tehran University of Medical Sciences National HIV/AIDS Care & Treatment Adviser Ministry of Health Tehran Islamic Republic of Iran

Ann Natalia Umar

National STI Program Manager HIV AIDS and STI, Subdirectorate Ministry of Health Indonesia

Magnus Unemo

Department of Laboratory Medicine Microbiology Örebro University Hospital Örebro Sweden Noor Mohamed Usman STI Physician Chennai India

Bea Vuylsteke Institute of Tropical Medicine Antwerp Belgium

Judith Wasserheit Professor of Global Health and Medicine Department of Global Health Adjunct Professor of Epidemiology University of Washington Seattle, WA USA

Observers

Laura Bachmann Division of Sexually Transmitted Diseases Prevention Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, GA USA

Cecilia Ferreyra Medical Officer Antimicrobial Resistance FIND Geneva Switzerland

Fernando Pascual Martinez Senior Access Manager Global AMR Research and Development Partnership Foundation Geneva Switzerland

Tim Sladden Senior Adviser Sexual & Reproductive Health Branch UNFPA New York, NY USA

External Review Group

Anupong Chitwarakorn NGO clinic physician Silom Clinic Thailand

H.J.C. de Vries Amsterdam Sexual Health Clinics Amsterdam Netherlands

Hans Benjamin Hampel University Hospital Zurich Department of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology University of Zurich Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute Zurich Swtierland

Kausar Jabeen Aga Khan Foundation Pakistan

Monica Lahra Division of Bacteriology WHO Collaborating Centre for Sexually Transmitted Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance Department of Microbiology Prince of Wales Hospital New South Wales Australia

Ahmed Latif Public Health Consultant Northern Territory Australia

Ioannis Mameletzis Consultant, Key Populations Ukraine and Sri Lanka

Angelica Espinosa Miranda Ministry of Health STI, AIDS and Viral Hepatitis Department Brazil Koleka Mlisana Head of Medical Microbiology University of KwaZulu-Natal Durban South Africa

Lori Newman National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Department of Health and Human Services National Institutes of Health Washington, DC USA

Catherine Ngugui Head, National AIDS and STI Control Programme Ministry of Health Kenya

Lilani Rajapaksa Consultant Venereologist Coordinator, HIV Care Services, Elimination of Mother-to-child Transmission of HIV and Syphilis Programme National STD AIDS Control Programme Sri Lanka

Reshmie Ramautarsing Physician Thai Red Cross AIDS Research Centre Bangkok Thailand

Danvic Rosadiño Senior Operations Manager and Data Protection Officer LoveYourself, Inc. Mandaluyong City Philippines

Janet Wilson President International Union of STIs Consultant in Genitourinary Medicine and HIV Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust Leeds General Infirmary Leeds United Kingdom

WHO Steering Committee (headquarters)

Ian Askew Director Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Research

Theresa Babovic Technical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Rachel Baggaley Coordinator, Testing, Prevention and Populations Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Nathalie Broutet Medical Officer Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Research

Venkatraman Chandra-Mouli Scientist Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Research

Meg Doherty Director Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Nathan Ford Medical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Sami Gottlieb Medical Officer Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Research

Cadi Irvine Consultant Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

James Kiarie Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Research

Niklas Luhmann Technical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

YOHAN

Virginia MacDonald

Technical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Francis McConville

Technical Officer Department for Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Health and Ageing

Yamuna Mundade

Programme Manager Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Carmem Pessoa-Silva

Unit Head Surveillance, Evidence & Laboratory Strengthening

Ajay Rangaraj

Technical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Melanie Taylor

Medical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Igor Toskin

Scientist Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Research

Annette Vester

Technical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Marco Vitoria

Medical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Lara Vojnov Technical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Teodora Wia

Medical Officer STI Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

Mayada Youseff-Fox

Technical Officer Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and Sexually Transmitted Infections Programmes

WHO Steering Committee (regional offices)

Massimo Ghidinelli

Unit Chief HIV, Hepatitis, TB and Sexually Transmitted Diseases WHO Regional Office for the Americas

Joumana Hermez

Regional Adviser Hepatitis, AIDS & STDs Sexually Transmitted Diseases WHO Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean

Naoko Ishikawa

Coordinator HIV, Hepatitis and STI WHO Regional Office for the Western Pacific

Hugues Lago

Coordinator HIV/TB/Hepatitis/STI Programmes Integrated Communicable and Noncommunicable Diseases Cluster WHO Regional Office for Africa

Bharat Rewari

Medical Officer, HIV/STI/Hepatitis WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia

Nicole Seguy

Joint Tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS & Hepatitis Programme WHO Regional Office for Europe

Methodologist

Y THUR

Nancy Santesso, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario Canada

Systematic Review Team

Angela Barbara, Tejan Baldeh, Meha Bhatt, Stephanie Duda, Laura Fullerton, Anila Qasim, Rosa Stalteri, Matthew Ventresca and Holger Schünemann: McMaster University, Michael G. DeGroote Cochrane, Centre, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada Eric Chow and Jason Ong: Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

ALKONON

Modeller

Katy Turner, University of Bristol, United Kingdom
ANNEX 2. DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

STI Guideline Development Group members

THO

	1. Employment and consulting	2. Research support	3. Investment interests	4. Intellectual property	5. Public statements and positions	6. Tobacco products	Conflicts and management plan ^ª
Ilya Abellanosa-Tacan (Cebu City, Philippines)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Laith Abu-Raddad (Weill Cornell Medical College, Qatar)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Yaw Adu-Sarkodie (Sax) (Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Chris Akolo (FHI 360, Washington, DC, USA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Andrew Amato (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Mircea Betiu (Nicolae Testemițanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Republic of Moldova)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
John Changalucha (National Institute for Medical Research, United Republic of Tanzania)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Xiang-Sheng Chen (National Center for STD Control of Chinese CDC and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Institute of Dermatology, Nanjing, China)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Amina El Kettani (Ministry of Health, Morocco)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Patricia Garcia (Ministry of Health, Peru)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation

Name	1. Employment and consulting	2. Research support	3. Investment interests	4. Intellectual property	5. Public statements and positions	6. Tobacco products	Conflicts and management plan ^a
William M. Geisler (University of Alabama, Birmingham, USA)	Ceased in 2018: consulting and travel from Hologic Inc, = US\$ 9000; from Roche = US\$ 10,000		0	0	0	0	Declare. None are active. Full participation
Edward W. Hook III (University of Alabama, Birmingham, USA)	Adviser to GARDP (Global AMR Research and Development Partnership, not for profit organization established by WHO and DNDI) = US\$ 12 000	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Rossaphorn Kittyaowamarn (Ministry of Public Health, Thailand)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Jeffrey D. Klausner (UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine and Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, CA, USA)	Scientific advisory board participant, Danaher Diagnostics, parent company of Cepheid = US\$ 6000	United States National Institutes of Health R01 and R21 research awards related to syphilis, gonorrhoea, STIs in pregnant women (US\$ 5 million over multiple years)	0	0	0	0	Declare and allowed partial participation
Ranmini Kularatne (National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Johannesburg, South Africa)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
David Lewis (University of Sydney, Australia)	AUD 780 from GSK (attending a meeting)	0	0	0	0	0	Finance not significant, full participation.
Nicola Low (Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Berne, Switzerland)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation

HIM

	1. Employment and consulting	2. Research support	3. Investment interests	4. Intellectual property	5. Public statements and positions	6. Tobacco products	Conflicts and management plan ^a
Philippe Mayaud (London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Daniel McCartney (International Planned Parenthood Federation, United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Nelly Mugo (Kenya Medical Research Institute, Kenya)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Saiga Mullick (Population Council, South Africa)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Francis Ndowa (Harare, Zimbabwe)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Kees Rietmeijer (Denver Public Health Department, CO, USA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Pachara Sirivongrangson (Ministry of Public Health, Thailand)	Consulting work for GARDP = US\$ 10 000	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Katayoun Tayeri (Ministry of Health, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Magnus Unemo (Örebro University Hospital, Sweden)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Noor Mohamed Usman (Chennai, India)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Ann Natalia Umar (Ministry of Health, Indonesia)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Bea Vuylsteke (Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Judith Wasserheit (University of Washington, USA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation

	1. Employment and consulting	2. Research support	3. Investment interests	4. Intellectual property	5. Public statements and positions	6. Tobacco products	Conflicts and management plan ^a
Observers							
Laura Bachmannn (United States Centres for Disease Control snd ² revention)	0	0	0	0	United States Government employee	0	Nil
Cecilia Ferreyra (FIND)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Nil
Tim Sladden (UNFPA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Nil

*Of the financial declarations made, none was considered to be related to the subject matter of these guidelines since formulating recommendations related to the use of antiretroviral drugs was not within the scope of these guidelines.

External Review Group members

×

Name	1. Employment and consulting	2. Research support	3. Investment interests	4. Intellectual property	5. Public statements and positions	6. Tobacco products	Conflicts and management plan ^a
Anupong Chitwarakorn (Silom Clinic, Thailand)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
H.J.C. de Vries (Amsterdam, Netherlands)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Hans Benjamin Hampel (University of Zurich, Switzerland)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Monica Lahra (New South Wales, Australia)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Ahmed Latif (Public Health Consultant, Australia)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Ioannis Mameletzis (Consultant, Ukraine)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation

Name	1. Employment and consulting	2. Research support	3. Investment interests	4. Intellectual property	5. Public statements and positions	6. Tobacco products	Conflicts and management plan ^a
Angelica Espinosa Miranda (Ministry of Health, Brazil)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Koleka Mlisana (University of KwaZulu Natal, South Africa)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Lori Newman (National Institutes of Health, Washington DC, USA)	0	0	0	0	United States Government employee.	0	Full participation
Catherine Ngugui (Ministry of Health, Kenya)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Lilani Rajapaksa (National STD AIDS Control Programme, Sri Lanka)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Reshmie Ramautarsing (Bangkok, Thailand)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Danvic Rosadiño (Mandaluyong City, Philippines)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation
Janet Wilson (IUSTI, Leeds, United Kingdom)	0	0	0	0	0	0	Full participation

HUNDHUH

74

A THOMAS

7

ANNEX 3. EVIDENCE-TO-DECISION TABLE: URETHRAL DISCHARGE

Should current WHO syndromic management be recommended versus laboratory diagnosis, no treatment or treat all to identify STIs among men with urethral discharge or persistent or recurrent urethral discharge?

Population:

Men with urethral discharge

Intervention and comparator:

Current WHO syndromic approach versus laboratory diagnosis (or no treatment or treat all)

Purpose of the approach:

To identify men for treatment of STIs

Linked treatments:

Treatments for infections caused by *N.* gonorrhoeae, *C. trachomatis*, *T. vaginalis* and *M. genitalium*

Anticipated outcomes:

Number of people identified correctly as having or not having STI; number of people identified incorrectly as having or not having STI; consequences of appropriate or inappropriate treatment; patient and provider acceptability, feasibility, equity and resource use

Setting:

Outpatient

Perspective: Population level

Subgroups:

High- or low-prevalence settings; settings with limited versus established laboratory capacity

Background:

Syndromic management refers to a strategy for identifying and treating STIs based on specific syndromes (symptoms identified by a patient) and signs (clinically observed signs of infection) associated with clearly defined causes. Although etiological diagnosis is preferred, it is not always accessible or affordable.

Fig. A3.1 provides clinical guidelines for the syndromic management of urethral discharge and persistent or recurrent urethral discharge in the 2003 WHO publication *Guidelines for the management of sexually transmitted infections*.

Assessment

	Judgement		Research e	vidence	
Problem	Is the problem a priority? O No O Probably no O Probably yes • Yes O Varies O Don't know	STIs are important increased risk of H High cost of mol Molecular-based t treatment if availa	because of their mag IV. STIs have health, s ecular STI testing ests enable etiological ble but are expensive	nitude, potential com ocial and economic co diagnosis to guide a and not available in r	plications and onsequences. opropriate nany settings.
	How accurate is the test?	We conducted a sy	stematic review for th	ne following.	
	 O Very inaccurate Inaccurate O Accurate O Very accurate 	Diagnostic accurad studies found Diagnostic accurad urethral discharge	cy of syndromic manag cy of syndromic manag – no studies found	gement for <i>M. genitali</i> gement for recurrent o	<i>ium –</i> no or persistent
	O Varies	Diagnostic accurac <i>C. trachomatis</i>	cy of syndromic manag	gement for <i>N. gonorrh</i>	oeae and/or
	O Don't know	• Flow chart 1 (W	HO algorithm 1) = hist	ory and risk assessme	nt
		 Flow chart 2 (W examination (for the second s	HO algorithm 2) = hist r example, milking ure	ory, risk assessment a thra)	nd genital
		 Flow chart 3 (W examination (fo for Gram staining) 	HO algorithm 3) = hist r example, milking ure ng and microscopy	ory, risk assessment, g thra), and urethral disc	jenital charge samples
ıracy		We found six studi (1–6). See Table A3	ies (1570 participants) 3.1.	in the general popula	ation of men
	Following are the sensitivity and specificity of the flow charts and a hypothetical point-of-care test, and the molecular assay (GeneXpert) test. The pooled accuracy data are of low certainty because of few events.				
st accur		Table A3.1. GRADE summary of findings table			
ц.		(see absolute effects for true and false			
		positives ar	id negatives ii	1 lable A3.3)	
		Flow chart	Sensitivity (%, 95% confidence interval)	Specificity (%, 95% confidence interval)	Certainty of evidence
		1. History, risk	94.6 (91–97)	41.1 (32–51)	Low
		2. History, risk, examination	85.2 (80–89)	66.5 (62–71)	Low
		3. History, risk, examination, microscopy	91.7 (88–94)	4.5	Low
		4. Hypothetical point-of-care testing	80	90	_
		5. GeneXpert®	95	98	High

	Judgement		Research evidence	
ects	How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects of syndromic approach?	We conducted a systematic re <i>C. trachomatis</i> in men with ur that the odds of <i>N. gonorrhoe</i> urethral discharge is 10 times	view of risk factors for <i>N.</i> ethral discharge. We found <i>ae</i> or <i>C. trachomatis</i> infec the odds among men wit	<i>gonorrhoeae</i> and/or d 62 studies that showed tion among men with h no urethral discharge.
effe	Trivial			
ble	O Small	Table A3.2. Pooled	l risk of <i>N. gono</i>	<i>orrhoeae</i> and/or
	O Moderate	<i>C. trachomatis</i> by	risk factor	
ă	O Large			
	O Varies	Risk factor	Pooled adjusted	Pooled unadjusted
	O Don't know		odds ratio (95%	odds ratio (95%
	How substantial are the		confidence interval)	confidence interval)
	undesirable anticipated	Overall	6.58 (1.76–24.51)	10.79 (4.40–26.43)
	ellects?	Any urethritis symptoms	_	9.40 (4.40-20.08)
	O Moderate	Dysuria and/or urethral discharge	-	12.99 (2.68–62.93)
	• Small	Dysuria only	2.10 (1.40–3.15)	2.02 (1.34–3.05)
	O Irivial O Varies	Urethral discharge only	9.73 (1.94–48.74)	15.00 (4.67–48.17)
ible effects	O Don't know	Another systematic review an men with persistent or recurr of <i>M. genitalium</i> infection an is 20 times the odds among n discharge (Jensen, supplemen	nalysed the association of ent urethral discharge an- nong men with persistent nen without persistent or ntary material).	<i>M. genitalium</i> among d showed that the odds of recurrent urethritis recurrent urethral
ndesira		Based on the sensitivity and s calculated true positive, false	specificity of the algorithm negative, true negative a	ns and tests, we Ind false positive.
5		The following were identified	as desirable effects and	undesirable effects:
		 potential consequences of cure, side-effects, partner r resistance, couple difficulti 	true positive could inclue notification, reduced trans es, costs;	le appropriate treatment, smission of STI and HIV,
		 potential consequences of possible, psychological ber 	true negative could inclu nefit;	de alternative diagnoses
		 potential consequences of persistent symptoms, comp counselling, no partner not 	false negative could inclu plications, STI and/or HIV tification; and	ude cure still possible, transmission, no
		 potential consequences of treatment, side-effects, and 	false positive could inclu- timicrobial resistance, cou	de inappropriate Iple difficulties, costs.

Y DY LY

74

THI.

What is the overall certainty of the evidence of test accuracy? O Very low O Very low O Moderate O High O No included studies Moderate	false		
O Moderate O High O No included studies Prevalence of gonorrhoeae or trachom 10% 40%	positives and negatives based on the sensitivity and specificity of syndromic approaches Prevalence of <i>Neisseria</i>		
10% 40%	Neisseria Chlamydia atis		
	60%		
History and risk			
True positive 10 38	57		
False negative – missed treatment 0 2	3		
True negative 37 25	16		
False positive – unnecessary treatment 53 35	24		
History, risk and examination			
True positive 9 34	51		
False negative – missed treatment 1 6	9		
True negative 60 40	27		
False positive – unnecessary treatment 30 20	13		
History, risk, examination and microscopy			
True positive 9 37	55		
False negative – missed treatment 1 3	5		
True negative 4 3	2		
False positive – unnecessary treatment 86 57	38		
Point-of-care testing (80% or 90%)			
True positive 8 32	48		
False negative – missed treatment 2 8	12		
True negative8154	36		
False positive – unnecessary treatment 9 6	4		
GeneXpert® (95%, 98%)			
True positive 10 38	57		
False negative – missed treatment 0 2	3		
True negative 88 59	39		
False positive – unnecessary treatment 2 1	1		

	Judgement	Research evidence
Certainty of the evidence of the effects of management	What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects of the management that is guided by the test results? O Very low O Low Moderate O High O No included studies	
Certainty of effects	What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects of the test? O Very low O Low Moderate O High O No included studies	
Values	Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes? O Important uncertainty or variability O Possibly important uncertainty or variability Probably no important uncertainty or variability O No important uncertainty or variability	The Guideline Development Group placed greater value on avoiding missed cases despite possible unnecessary treatment for some cases.
Balance of effects	 Does the balance between desirable and undesirable effects favour the intervention or the comparison? Favours the comparison Probably favours the comparison Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison Probably favours the intervention Favours the intervention Varies Don't know 	The undesirable effects of a syndromic approach (such as missed cases) were greater than treating all or treating according to molecular testing; and the desirable effects (such as correct treatment) of a syndromic approach were none to trivial compared with treating all or molecular testing. Therefore, the balance of benefits and harm favoured using molecular testing or treating all.

	Judgement	Research evidence
Resources required	How large are the resource requirements (costs)? O Large costs O Moderate costs Negligible costs and savings O Moderate savings O Large savings O Varies O Don't know	Therapy for all positives (<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> or <i>C. trachomatis</i>) was 1000 mg azithromycin + ceftriaxone 250 mg intramuscularly = US\$ 1.66 Therapy for negatives was 1000 mg azithromycin = US\$ 0.95 Costs of flow chart 1, 2 = US\$ 0 Costs of flow chart 3 = US\$ 1 Costs of point-of-care test = US\$ 3 GeneXpert costs: US\$ 16 Estimated costs of treatment for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> or <i>C. trachomatis</i> with antimicrobial resistance: US\$ 25
Certainty of evidence of required resources	What is the certainty of the evidence of resource requirements (costs)? O Very low O Low O Moderate O High • No included studies	
Cost-effectiveness	Does the cost- effectiveness of the intervention favour the intervention or the comparison? • Favours the comparison • Probably favours the comparison • Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison • Probably favours the intervention • Favours the intervention • Varies • No included studies	 Basic modelling was conducted using the costs (of tests and treatments) and accuracy of the management strategies. History, risk assessment and examination (with or without microscopy) appear to be lower (~US\$ 2500 for 1000 men with urethral discharge) than the use of low-cost point-of-care tests (~US\$ 4000) or GenXpert (~US\$ 17 000) at any prevalence. Treating all with urethral discharge costs ~ US\$ 1660, slightly more than using history and risk assessment. Therefore, with little difference in costs between treating all and syndromic management, but no missed cases when treating all, cost–effectiveness favoured treating all (the comparison). Average cost for a correct treatment was US\$ 3.86 for a syndromic approach compared with US\$ 32.83 for an etiological approach (<i>4</i>). Average cost for a correct treatment was US\$ 3.15 for a syndromic approach compared with US\$ 323.48 for an etiological approach (<i>3</i>).
Equity	What would be the impact on health equity? O Reduced O Probably reduced Probably no impact O Probably increased O Increased O Varies O Don't know	

	Judgement	Research evidence
Acceptability	Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders? O No Probably no O Probably yes O Yes O Varies	By men (8,9): The syndromic approach is acceptable by men with urethral discharge; staff were competent; better care than by healers; felt treated with respect. 83% of patients in the United Republic of Tanzania reporting satisfaction with STI services that use syndromic management (9). The STI Guideline Development Group also commented that patients would prefer immediate relief of symptoms rather than waiting for the results. By clinicians (8,10–12): Variability in implementation of cundromic approach from 20% to 70%
	O Don't know	receiving correct treatment; may not apply the approach as they are uncertain about efficacy.
Feasibility	Is the intervention feasible to implement? O No O Probably no Probably yes O Yes O Varies O Don't know	A review found nine studies addressing feasibility (7,12–19): Men may delay seeking care for urethral discharge due to unawareness, knowledge or beliefs, disappointment in health care or when female practitioners provide care. About half of the clinicians in some countries do not know how to treat urethral discharge according to the guidelines. Training was found to increase awareness and knowledge about treatment.

THEFT

MANAN

Y-K

Summary of judgements

				Judgement			
Problem	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
Test accuracy	Very inaccurate	Inaccurate	Accurate	Very accurate		Varies	Don't know
Desirable effects	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
Undesirable effects	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
Certainty of the evidence of test accuracy	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Certainty of the evidence of the effects of management	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Certainty of effects	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	No important uncertainty or variability			
Balance of effects	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	Don't know
Resources required	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Cost– effectiveness	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	No included studies
Equity	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
Acceptability	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
Feasibility	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Conclusions

Should current WHO syndromic management be recommended versus laboratory diagnosis, no treatment or treat all to identify STIs among men with urethral discharge or persistent or recurrent urethral discharge?

Type of	0	0	0	0	•			
recommendation	Strong recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation for either the intervention or the comparison	Conditional recommendation for the intervention	Strong recommendation for the intervention			
Recommendation	Recommendation	s for the manager	nent of urethral discha	rae	1			
	For people with syn on the results of qu or laboratory capac	nptom of urethral dis ality-assured molecu ity, we recommend s	scharge from the penis, ma lar assays. However, in set syndromic treatment to en	anagement is recom ttings with limited or isure treatment on th	mended to be based r no molecular tests ne same day of visit.			
	Good practice inclu	udes:						
	 taking a medica 	l and sexual history	and assessing the risk of	STIs;				
	 performing a ph 	ysical examination	of the genital and anal ar	eas; and				
	offering HIV and	d syphilis testing and	other preventive service	s as recommended i	n other guidelines.			
	Settings with qual management syste	ity-assured molecula em and results availa	ar testing in a laboratory able on the same day of t	with a fully operation the visit.	onal quality			
	We recommend th	e following.						
	1. Perform molecu Neisseria gonor	llar assays such as n rhoeae and Chlamy	ucleic-acid amplification dia trachomatis.	test (NAAT) to conf	irm or exclude			
	 Treat according negative, treat f or <i>Trichomonas</i> 	to the test results o or non-gonococcal <i>vaginalis</i>).	n the same day. If urethr and non-chlamydial ureth	al discharge is prese nritis (such as <i>Myco</i>)	ent but tests are plasma genitalium			
	 When treatmen recommend syn test results to sin 	t based on molecula dromic treatment o upport managing th	ar assays is not feasible o f infection with <i>N. gonori</i> e partner when tests are	n the same day of t <i>hoeae</i> and <i>C. trach</i> e available.	he visit, we omatis and use the			
	 Treat people wires (such as NAAT) and <i>T. vaginalis</i> 	I. Treat people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge based on a repeat molecular assay (such as NAAT) after 21 days, testing for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> , <i>C. trachomatis</i> as well as <i>M. genitalium</i> and <i>T. vaginalis</i> and test for antimicrobial-resistant <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> .						
	Settings in which s molecular testing.	Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no nolecular testing.						
	We suggest the following.							
	1. Treat people wh <i>C. trachomatis</i> t	. Treat people who have urethral discharge confirmed on examination for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> to ensure same-day treatment.						
	2. Treat people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge for treatment failure based on WHO guidelines and review.							
	Good practice inclu	udes:						
	• if symptoms per	rsist at review, checl	king partner notification	and treatment histo	ry; and			
	• for people with recurrent or persistent urethral discharge, referring people to a centre with laboratory capacity to diagnose <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> , <i>C. trachomatis</i> , <i>M. genitalium</i> and <i>T. vaginalis</i> and to test for antimicrobial-resistant <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>M. genitalium</i> .							
Justification	Adding microsc	opy did not improve	the sensitivity and speci	ficity of the flow ch	art.			
	 Studies show va laboratory testing 	ariability in the implenge, and a simple ma	ementation of syndromic nagement approach cou	approaches based ld lead to better imj	on symptoms or plementation.			
	• Performing mol <i>M. genitalium</i> a	ecular assay tests fo nd basing treatmen	r <i>N. gonorrhoeae, C. trac</i> t on these results leads t	<i>homatis</i> and <i>T. vagii</i> o the most people t	<i>nalis</i> and/or reated correctly.			
	 In a population urethral dischar of people would proportion is ac all would ensur the chance of co 	with 60% prevalen- ge, treating all for Λ d be unnecessarily to ceptable, as are hig e that people with Λ pomplications and fu	ce of <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>I. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. tra</i> reated. The Guideline Dev her proportions in lower- <i>I. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. tra</i> of ther transmission	l <i>C. trachomatis</i> am <i>chomatis</i> would me relopment Group ag prevalence settings, <i>chomatis</i> are treate	ong those with an that 40% greed that this because treating d, thereby reducing			

7

7

LITH

Fig. A3.1. Current WHO syndromic approach to the management of urethral discharge



 Ask patient to return in 7 days if symptoms persist

YMY

Fig. A3.2. Current WHO syndromic approach to the management of persistent or recurrent urethral discharge



Y.M

1 M

References

- 1. Bhavsar C, Patel RM, Marfatia Y. A study of 113 cases of genital ulcerative disease and urethral discharge syndrome with validation of syndromic management of sexually transmitted diseases. Indian J Sex Transm Dis AIDS. 2014;35:35–9.
- 2. Chandeying V, Skov S, Tabrizi SN, Kemapunmanus M, Garland S. Can a two-glass urine test or leucocyte esterase test of first-void urine improve syndromic management of male urethritis in southern Thailand? Int J STD AIDS. 2000;11:235–40.
- 3. Liu H, Jamison D, Li X, Ma E, Yin Y, Detels R. Is syndromic management better than the current approach for treatment of STDs in China? Evaluation of the cost–effectiveness of syndromic management for male STD patients. Sex Transm Dis. 2003;30:327–30.
- Tsai CH, Lee TC, Chang HL, Tang LH, Chiang CC, Chen KT. The cost–effectiveness of syndromic management for male sexually transmitted disease patients with urethral discharge symptoms and genital ulcer disease in Taiwan. Sex Transm Infect. 2008;84:400–4.
- 5. Wang Q, Yang P, Zhong M, Wang G. Validation of diagnostic algorithms for syndromic management of sexually transmitted diseases. Chin Med J (Engl). 2003;116:181–6.
- Yu MC, Li LH, Lu TH, Tang LH, Tsai CH, Chen KT. Aetiology of sexually transmitted disease (STD) and comparison of STD syndromes and aetiological diagnosis in Taipei, Taiwan. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2005;11:914–8.
- 7. Leichliter JS, Paz-Bailey G, Friedman AL, Habel MA, Vezi A, Sello M et al. "Clinics aren't meant for men": sexual health care access and seeking behaviours among men in Gauteng province, South Africa. Sahara J. 2011;8:82–8.
- 8. Kohler PK, Marumo E, Jed SL, Mema G, Galagan S, Tapia K et al. A national evaluation using standardised patient actors to assess STI services in public sector clinical sentinel surveillance facilities in South Africa. Sex Transm Infect. 2017;93:247–52.
- 9. Grosskurth H, Mwijarubi E, Todd J, Rwakatare M, Orroth K, Mayaud P, et al. Operational performance of an STD control programme in Mwanza Region, Tanzania. Sexually Transmitted Infections. 2000;76(6):426-36.
- 10. Khan AA, Khan A. Sexually transmitted infection care in Pakistan: the providers' perspective. J Pak Med Assoc. 2012;62:941–5.

- Iipinge SN, Pretorius L. The delivery and quality of sexually transmitted infections treatment by private general practitioners in Windhoek Namibia. Glob J Health Sci. 2012;4:156–71.
- Weaver MR, Pillay E, Jed SL, De Kadt J, Galagan S, Gilvydis J et al. Three methods of delivering clinic-based training on syndromic management of sexually transmitted diseases in South Africa: a pilot study. Sex Transm Infect. 2016;92:135–41.
- Hoffman CM, Fritz L, Matlakala N, Mbambazela N, Railton JP, McIntyre JA et al. Community-based strategies to identify the unmet need for care of individuals with sexually transmitted infection-associated symptoms in rural South Africa. Trop Med Int Health. 2019;24:987–93.
- 14. Aaron K, Jordan S, Schwebke J, Van Der Pol B, Hook E. Continued sexual activity following onset of urethritis symptoms in men. Sex Transm Dis. 2018;45(Suppl. 2):S38.
- 15. Ham DC, Hariri S, Kamb M, Mark J, Ilunga R, Forhan S et al. Quality of sexually transmitted infection case management services in Gauteng Province, South Africa: an evaluation of health providers' knowledge, attitudes, and practices. Sex Transm Dis. 2016;43:23–9.
- Alemayehu A, Godana W. Knowledge and practice of clinicians regarding syndromic management of sexually transmitted infections in public health facilities of Gamo Gofa Zone, South Ethiopia. J Sex Transm Dis. 2015;2015:310409.
- Hussain MF, Khanani MR, Siddiqui SE, Manzar N, Raza S, Qamar S. Knowledge, attitudes & practices (KAP) of general practitioners (GPS) regarding sexually transmitted diseases (STDS) and HIV/AIDS in Karachi, Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc. 2011;61:202–5.
- Adhikari LM, Thapa SB, Sherchan L, Adhikari C. Effectiveness of syndromic STI case management/RH training in knowledge and practice of auxiliary health workers. J Universal Coll Med Sci. 2014;2:34–7.
- Garcia PJ, Carcamo CP, Garnett GP, Campos PE, Holmes KK. Improved STD syndrome management by a network of clinicians and pharmacy workers in Peru: the PREVEN Network. PLoS One. 2012;7.

Table A3.4. Studies included in the diagnostic accuracy of syndromic approaches to urethral discharge

X

		-			-	-	-	
Study	Country	Design	u	STI prevalence	Setting	Population (age group)	Flow chart description(s)	Reference test(s)
Bhavsar et al. (1)	India	Cross-sectional	17	N. gonorrhoeae: 88.2	Hospital skin and venereal disease outpatient department	General population men (15–70 years)	History, risk assessment and genital examination	<i>N. gonorrhoeae:</i> Gram stain
Chandeying et al. (2)	Thailand	Cross-sectional	129	N. gonorrhoeae: 32.6; C. trachomatis: 23.3;	STI units	General population men (mean and median age = 30 years)	History, risk assessment and genital examination plus microscopy	<i>N. gonorrhoeae:</i> culture and/or PCR <i>C. trachomatis:</i> PCR
Liu et al. <i>(3)</i>	China	Cross-sectional	347	N. gonorrhoeae: 61.1 C. trachomatis: 23.6 N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis: 69.2	STD clinics	General population men (18–83 years)	History and risk assessment	N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis: PCR
Tsai et al. (4)	Taiwan	Cross-sectional	335	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> or <i>C. trachomatis:</i> 40.6	STD clinic, genitourinary outpatient clinic	General population men (17–50 years)	History, risk assessment and genital examination	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> or <i>C.</i> <i>trachomatis:</i> PCR
Wang et al. (5)	China	Cross-sectional	325	N. gonorrhoeae: 64.3 C. trachomatis: 16.3 N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis: 72.6	Urban STD clinics	General population men (16–63 years)	History, risk assessment and genital examination plus microscopy for Gram staining	<i>N. gonorrhoeae:</i> Gram stain + culture <i>C. trachomatis:</i> PCR
Yu et al. <i>(6)</i>	Taiwan	Cross-sectional	307	N. gonorrhoeae: 10.1 C. trachomatis: 14.3	STD Control Center clinic	General population men (16–50 years)	History, risk assessment and genital examination	<i>N. gonorrhoeae/</i> <i>C.trachomatis:</i> PCR microscopy plus culture

×

ANNEX 4. EVIDENCE-TO-DECISION TABLE: VAGINAL DISCHARGE

Should other syndromic management algorithms be used to identify and treat women for common sexually transmitted infections rather than the current WHO algorithms based on risk or speculum examination?

Population:

Women presenting to clinics with vaginal discharge symptoms

Intervention and comparator:

Other syndromic management algorithms versus current WHO algorithms

Purpose of the test:

To identify and treat cervical infections caused by *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* and vaginal infections caused by *Trichomonas vaginalis* and bacterial vaginosis

Role of the test:

Syndromic management may consist of different components: history and risk assessment, speculum examination, vaginal samples for Gram staining and microscopy and/or point-of-care testing

Linked treatments:

Treatments for vaginal infections caused by *T. vaginalis*, bacterial vaginosis and/or *Candida albicans* and/or treatment for cervical infections caused by *N. gonorrhoeae* and/or *C. trachomatis* with combination of ceftriaxone and azithromycin dual treatment

Anticipated outcomes:

Critical: treatment rate (true positive), overand undertreatment (false positive and false negative), true negatives, treatment side-effects, antimicrobial resistance, identification of other reproductive diseases

Important: reproductive health outcomes, maternal outcomes, infant and child outcomes

Other: coverage, patient and provider acceptability, partner notification and treatment

Setting:

Outpatient; community

Perspective: Population level

Subgroups:

To consider pregnant women and key populations: transgender persons, female sex workers, people living with HIV (immunocompromised)

Background:

Syndromic management refers to a strategy for identifying and treating STIs based on specific syndromes (symptoms identified by a patient) and signs (clinically-observed signs of infection) associated with clearly defined causes.

Cervical infections include *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* and vaginal infections include *Trichomonas vaginalis*, bacterial vaginosis and also with candidiasis, which is part of the resident flora.

When tests are not available or costly, different clinical flow charts or algorithms to aid clinicians in the syndromic management of people with symptoms of STI have been recommended.

The last clinical guidelines for the syndromic management of vaginal discharge from WHO were published in the 2003 WHO guidelines for the management of sexually transmitted infections (see Fig. A4.1 and A4.2 for current algorithms)

Assessment

	Judgement	Research evidence	e			
Problem	Is the problem a priority? O No O Probably no O Probably yes O Yaries O Don't know	Cervical infections Untreated <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> in inflammatory disease, which could lead to com- ovarian abscess, perihepatitis, ectopic pregnance pain and/or permanent damage to reproductive Untreated <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> infection could also spontaneous abortion and cause ophthalmia ne Vaginal infections <i>T. vaginalis</i> and bacterial vaginosis are curable in tract. If untreated, <i>T. vaginalis</i> infection could lead cancer, infertility and placental membrane ruptur and bacterial vaginosis infection could lead to m and increased susceptibility to and ability to trar Antimicrobial resistance Increasing concern about <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> treatur globally, with high rates of resistance to penicil quinolones. Resistance to newer medications (a treatment failures and reduced suscentibility of	nfection can lea plications such cy, infertility and organs causin lead to prematu conatorum. Affections of the d to endometric re, leading to pr iscarriage, prete issmit other STIs ment has been lin, tetracycline izithromycin) ar cenhalosnoring	ad to pelvic as tubo- d chronic g infertility. Ire delivery or reproductive osis, cervical eterm delivery, erm delivery such as HIV. documented is and nd reports of s (a last-line		
		treatment for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>) raise concern the become untreatable.	at <i>N. gonorrhoe</i>	ae could		
	How accurate is the test? O Very inaccurate O Inaccurate O Accurate O Very accurate O Varies O Don't know	We updated one review (1) up to September 20 diagnostic accuracy of different algorithms to in cervical infections <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. tra</i> infections bacterial vaginosis and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i> Cervical infections The sensitivity and specificity of different steps synthesized when appropriate and calculated b specificity together for some algorithms (such a Table A4.1. Sensitivity and spec cervical infections	119 that assessed dentify women <i>chomatis</i> and a s. in the algorithr y adding sensit is WHO algorith ccificity of	ed the who have Iso for vaginal ns were ivity and ms). tests for		
acy		To identify <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i>				
accur			Sensitivity	Specificity		
est a		Treat all	100%	0%		
		Risk assessment (2)	03	12		
		Genital exam (3)	78	20		
		Speculum (4)	73	56		
		Gram stain and microscopy (5)	52	73		
		Speculum or microscopy	87	41		
		WHO algorithm by risk (low prevalence)	90	34		
		WHO algorithm by risk (high prevalence)	100	0		
		WHO algorithm by speculum (low prevalence)	49	68		
		WHO algorithm by speculum (high prevalence)	78	20		

udgement

Hypothetical sensitivity and specificity of point-of-care tests for N. gonorrhoeae

Parameter	Point-of-care test a	Point-of-care test c
Sensitivity	0.80	0.95
Specificity	0.90	0.98

Vaginal infections

Table A4.2 shows the sensitivity and specificity of testing and syndromic approaches to detect bacterial vaginosis and/or *T. vaginalis* from the update of the review (see Table A4.4 for a summary of the included studies).

Table A4.2. GRADE summary of findings table for bacterial vaginosis and *T. vaginalis* flow charts

Approach	Number of studies	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Certainty of the evidence
Treat all with conditions	-	100	0	-
History, risk assessment	9	56.2 (54.5–57.9)	71.0 (69.4–72.6)	Moderate
Plus speculum exam	8	74.8 (74.0–75.6)	53.2 (52.5–54.0)	Moderate
Lab (wet mount, gram stain)	2	91.7 (89.2–94.2)	100 (99.9–100)	Moderate
Local adaptation	5	53.1 (50.5–55.6)	85.8 (84.7–86.9)	Moderate

Moderate certainty of evidence due to some concern with risk of bias of the included studies; the results were precise with no inconsistency.

The review by Zemouri et al. (1) also reported prevalence: *T. vaginalis* ranged from 0.9% in Colombia to 17.3% in Uganda; bacterial vaginosis ranged from 39% in Colombia to 47.7% in Uganda.

The Guideline Development Group agreed that the sensitivity and specificity should have increased when adding additional steps in order to not miss women with infection; however, the increases did not occur and could not be explained by the different populations in the studies, setting or other factors.

The use of pH was also compared with treating all women with discharge and treating women with confirmed excess discharge (6). The sensitivity was greater, and specificity was reduced slightly (*T. vaginalis*) and translated into small differences in the numbers of people missed or treated unnecessarily.

Anomalication Anomalication <th co<="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Test acc</th><th>uracy</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th>	<th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Test acc</th> <th>uracy</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				Test acc	uracy					
ecificity and predictive value of clinical diagnosis for <i>T vaginalis</i> infection and bacterial Baterial vaginosis Ing women of reproductive age presenting with symptoms in Mysore, India Sensitivity Specificity Positive value (%) Value (%) Value (%) Value (%) Value (%) Value (%) Value (%) Value (%) Value (%) 76.4 25.6 17.2 84.3 57.3 24.7 9.9 98.9 23.1 92.0 93.1 73.5 61.1 16.4 82.8 57.8 64.5 11.5 93.1 37.5 61.1 16.4 82.8 57.8 64.5 14.5 92.0										Judgement	
Bacterial national Bacterial national Sensitivity Specificity Negative Negative <th>d 2</th> <th>ecificity al Ing women</th> <th>nd predictiv of reprodu</th> <th>re value of c ctive age p</th> <th>clinical diag resenting w</th> <th>gnosis for <i>T.</i> vith symptor</th> <th>' <i>vaginalis</i> ii ms in Mysor</th> <th>nfection an re, India</th> <th>d bacterial</th> <th></th>	d 2	ecificity al Ing women	nd predictiv of reprodu	re value of c ctive age p	clinical diag resenting w	gnosis for <i>T.</i> vith symptor	' <i>vaginalis</i> ii ms in Mysor	nfection an re, India	d bacterial		
SensitivitySecriticityNegative value (%)SecrificityPositive value (%)Negative value (%)SecrificityPositive value (%)Negative value (%)SecrificityPositive value (%)Negative value (%)SecrificityPositive value (%)Negative value (%)Secrificity value (%)Negative value (%)Secrificity value (%)Positive value (%)Negative value (%)Secrificity value (%)Negative value (%)Negative value (%)Negative value (%)Negative value (%)Negative value (%)Negative value (%)Negative 			Bacterial	vaginosis			T. vaginali	<i>is</i> infection			
76.425.617.284.373.324.79.989.243.164.219.684.857.864.515.593.123.666.512.581.137.864.511.990.895.812.718.293.791.111.510.492.095.812.718.293.791.111.510.492.095.812.718.293.791.111.510.492.037.561.116.482.855.663.314.592.020.883.620.583.942.285.725.093.020.883.620.192.277.846.514.194.579.250.124.492.277.846.514.194.523.689.932.193.335.690.329.192.613.997.247.484.653.3100.0100.094.913.970.121.485.751.170.716.492.840.370.124.293.382.943.514.195.683.347.024.293.382.943.514.195.6		Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive predictive value (%)	Negative predictive value (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive predictive value (%)	Negative predictive value (%)		
43.164.219.684.857.864.515.593.123.666.512.581.137.864.511.990.895.812.718.293.791.111.590.895.812.718.293.791.111.590.895.812.718.293.791.111.590.895.812.718.293.791.111.592.037.561.116.482.855.663.314.592.720.883.620.583.942.285.725.093.079.250.124.492.277.846.514.194.579.250.124.492.277.846.514.194.513.997.247.483.335.690.329.192.693.013.997.214.493.335.690.3100.0100.094.913.997.214.485.751.170.716.492.613.970.121.485.751.170.716.492.883.347.024.293.382.943.514.195.6		76.4	25.6	17.2	84.3	73.3	24.7	9.9	89.2		
23.66 66.5 12.5 81.1 37.8 68.7 11.9 90.8 95.8 12.7 18.2 93.7 91.1 11.5 10.4 92.0 95.8 12.7 18.2 93.7 91.1 11.5 10.4 92.0 37.5 61.1 16.4 82.8 55.6 63.3 14.5 92.0 20.8 83.6 20.5 83.9 42.2 85.7 25.0 93.0 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 13.9 97.2 93.3 35.6 90.3 29.1 92.6 93.0 13.9 97.2 93.3 35.6 90.3 100.0 100.0 94.9 13.9 13.4 84.6 53.3		43.1	64.2	19.6	84.8	57.8	64.5	15.5	93.1	Re	
95.8 12.7 18.2 93.7 91.1 11.5 10.4 92.0 37.5 61.1 16.4 82.8 55.6 63.3 14.5 92.7 37.5 61.1 16.4 82.8 55.6 63.3 14.5 92.7 20.8 83.6 20.5 83.9 42.2 85.7 25.0 93.0 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 79.2 89.9 32.1 93.3 35.6 90.3 29.1 92.6 13.9 97.2 47.4 84.6 53.3 100.0 100.0 94.9 13.9 70.1 21.4 85.7 51.1 70.7 16.4 92.8 83.3 47.0 24.2 93.3 82.9 43.5 14.1 95.6		23.6	66.5	12.5	81.1	37.8	68.7	11.9	90.8	sea	
37.5 61.1 16.4 82.8 55.6 63.3 14.5 92.7 20.8 83.6 20.5 83.9 42.2 85.7 25.0 93.0 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 23.6 89.9 32.1 93.3 35.6 90.3 29.1 92.6 13.9 97.2 47.4 84.6 53.3 100.0 100.0 94.9 13.9 70.1 21.4 85.7 51.1 70.7 16.4 92.8 83.3 47.0 24.2 93.3 82.9 43.5 14.1 95.6		95.8	12.7	18.2	93.7	91.1	11.5	10.4	92.0	ch e	
20.8 83.6 20.5 83.9 42.2 85.7 25.0 93.0 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 13.9 89.9 32.1 93.3 35.6 90.3 29.1 92.6 13.9 97.2 47.4 84.6 53.3 100.0 100.0 94.9 40.3 70.1 21.4 85.7 51.1 70.7 16.4 92.8 83.3 47.0 24.2 93.3 82.9 43.5 14.1 95.6		37.5	61.1	16.4	82.8	55.6	63.3	14.5	92.7	vide	
79.2 50.1 24.4 92.2 77.8 46.5 14.1 94.5 23.6 89.9 32.1 93.3 35.6 90.3 29.1 94.5 13.9 89.9 32.1 93.3 35.6 90.3 29.1 92.6 13.9 97.2 47.4 84.6 53.3 100.0 100.0 94.9 40.3 70.1 21.4 85.7 51.1 70.7 16.4 92.8 83.3 47.0 24.2 93.3 82.9 43.5 14.1 95.6		20.8	83.6	20.5	83.9	42.2	85.7	25.0	93.0	nce	
23.6 89.9 32.1 93.3 35.6 90.3 29.1 92.6 13.9 97.2 47.4 84.6 53.3 100.0 100.0 94.9 40.3 70.1 21.4 85.7 51.1 70.7 16.4 92.8 83.3 47.0 24.2 93.3 82.9 43.5 14.1 95.6		79.2	50.1	24.4	92.2	77.8	46.5	14.1	94.5		
13.9 97.2 47.4 84.6 53.3 100.0 100.0 94.9 40.3 70.1 21.4 85.7 51.1 70.7 16.4 92.8 83.3 47.0 24.2 93.3 82.9 43.5 14.1 95.6		23.6	89.9	32.1	93.3	35.6	90.3	29.1	92.6		
40.3 70.1 21.4 85.7 51.1 70.7 16.4 92.8 83.3 47.0 24.2 93.3 82.9 43.5 14.1 95.6		13.9	97.2	47.4	84.6	53.3	100.0	100.0	94.9		
83.3 47.0 24.2 93.3 82.9 43.5 14.1 95.6		40.3	70.1	21.4	85.7	51.1	70.7	16.4	92.8		
		83.3	47.0	24.2	93.3	82.9	43.5	14.1	95.6		

	Judgement	Research evidence
evidence acy	What is the overall certainty of the evidence of test accuracy?	
he e ccur	O Very low	
of t st a	O Low	
nty f te	 Moderate 	
o	O High	
Ğ	O No included studies	
	What is the evidence	Effects of treatment interventions
	of effects of the management that is guided by the test results?	We modelled different flow charts to identify and manage women coming to the clinic with vaginal discharge (see the supplementary materials for a description of modelling of the cost and effectiveness of different approaches to diagnose
	O Very low	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> among women with vaginal discharge).
	O Low	We modelled the effects of treatment based on the following:
	Moderate	Dual treatment N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis: azithromycin and ceftriaxone
	O High	Treatment for T. vaginalis and bacterial vaginosis: metronidazole
	O No included studies	All symptomatic women (with vaginal discharge, itching, etc.) are treated for <i>T. vaginalis</i> and bacterial vaginosis

 Table A4.4. Assumptions in the model

Treatment effects	
Proportion completing treatment when indicated	100
Pelvic inflammatory disease	
Proportion of women with untreated gonorrhoea or chlamydia developing (pelvic inflammatory disease)	0.3
Proportion of women with pelvic inflammatory disease requiring and accessing outpatient services	0.15
Proportion of women with pelvic inflammatory disease requiring and accessing hospital services	0.02
Proportion of women with untreated pelvic inflammatory disease becoming infertile or having an ectopic pregnancy	0.25
Partner management and reinfection	
Proportion of treated women receiving partner treatment	0.8
Number of partners receiving treatment per woman	0.2
Proportion of women reinfected among those whose partner is treated	0.3
Proportion of women reinfected among those whose partner is not treated	0.6

Antimicrobial resistance

In the base case, we assume no additional treatment costs due to antimicrobial resistance infections directly. We investigate the case in which all antibiotic prescriptions incur a cost – "antimicrobial resistance tax" – based on wider costs of antibiotic resistance (future treatment costs of resistant infection, increased morbidity and mortality associated with antimicrobial resistance in general and costs of developing new treatments). The tax was added to individuals treated, whether appropriately or inappropriately.

Y D

	Judgement	Research evide	nce	
Certainty of the evidence of the effects of management	What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects of the management that is guided by the test results? O Very low O Low • Moderate O High O No included studies			
Desirable effects	How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects of syndromic approach? O Trivial O Small O Moderate O Large Varies O Don't know	The diagnostic test accuracy and treatment data on important outcomes. We modelled the effects among women with di <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> infections b the literature: Parameter Prevalence of gonorrhoea or chlamydia among women with vaginal discharge See Table A4.5 for the different approaches	a were used to m ifferent prevalend ased on a system Scenario 1 5% s that were mo	iodel the effects te of natic review of Scenario 3 20% delled and
Undesirable effects	How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects? O Large O Moderate O Small O Trivial • Varies O Don't know	Table A4.6 for the assessment of the magn syndromic approach. The benefits and harm have been assessed as b (light green), and harm (yellow) or most harm (itude of the eff est (dark green) red).	or least benefit
Values	Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes? O Important uncertainty or variability O Possibly important uncertainty or variability O Probably no important uncertainty or variability O No important uncertainty or variability	The Guideline Development Group identified the critical: treatment rate (true positive), overtreat undertreatment (false negative), true negative antimicrobial resistance, identification of other The Guideline Development Group placed high of pelvic inflammatory disease due to missed overtreatment, which can lead to an increase in Reproductive health outcomes, maternal outcomes are important. Other considerations: coverage, patient and principation and treatment.	he following out trment (false pos s, treatment side reproductive dir test value on the cases but also co n antimicrobial r omes and infant ovider acceptabi	comes as itive) and -effects, seases incidence nsidered esistance. and child lity and partner

Y-A

T

The

	Judgement	Research evidence			
	How large are the resource requirements (costs)? O Large costs	Table A4.7. Costs used in the cost- model	-effectiveness		
	O Negligible costs and savings	Costs of flow charts	Cost in US dollars		
	O Moderate savings	Risk assessment	0.00		
	O Large savings	Speculum exam	1.00		
	 Varies 	Speculum and Gram stain	1.50		
	O Don't know	Point-of-care test: lower sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%	3.00		
		Flow chart 4, 5, 6: point-of-care test (best sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 98%)	16.00		
		Treatment and outcome costs			
ired		Dual treatment (chlamydia and gonorrhoea)	1.66		
equi		Treatment for T. vaginalis and bacterial vaginitis	0.10		
Ces I		Partner treatment	0.12		
Resour		Average outpatient costs per case of pelvic inflammatory disease	4.00		
		Average cost of hospitalization	45.00		
		Average costs to woman to access health services	1.00		
		Social costs of infertility and ectopic pregnancy	500.00		
		Cost of antimicrobial resistance			
		Тах	5.00		
		Costs of flow charts from literature since 2010 Staffing costs are the largest component of providing m	nobile health services to		
		South African rural communities; screening and treatme	ent of STI had marginal		
		The cost of a syndromic approach to treat symptoms of a nongovernmental sexual health clinic in Bulgaria was treated (assessment of risk factors, speculum examinati were used) (2).	vaginal discharge at €24.08 per person on and microscopy		

	Judgement		Resear	ch ev	idence		
		Table A4.8. (model	Costs used	in t	he cost	-effec	tiveness
p		SI	Treatment	Dose per day	Treatment duration	Drugs, per dose (US dollars)	Drugs and service delivery (US dollars)
require		Gonorrhoea	Ceftriaxone 250 mg	1	1 day	0.57	10.71
sources		Chlamydia and mycoplasma	Azithromycin 500 mg	2	1 day	0.38	10.95
Res		Trichomoniasis	Metronidazole 500 mg	4	1 day	0.01	10.05
			Diagnostic test	t			
		Gonorrhoea and chlamydia	NAAT: assuming 2016, from US\$ collection at prir secondary and to	a prio 20 as nary l ertiary	ce reduction of 2016 (sp evel; testing v care faciliti	starting ecimen i in ies)	12.00
		Trichomoniasis	Wet mount (poir	nt of c	are)		4.00
Certainty of evidence of required resources	What is the certainty of the evidence of resource requirements (costs)? O Very low • Low O Moderate O High O No included studies	The estimated avera was US \$205. This e costs (e.g., lost proc	age tool cost per e stimate does not luctivity). Uwusu-l	episod incluc Eduse	e for wome le intangible i 2010).	n treated w	ith acute NG and indirect
Cost-effectiveness	 Does the cost-effectiveness of the intervention favour the intervention or the comparison? Favours the comparison Probably favours the comparison Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison Probably favours the intervention or the comparison Probably favours the intervention Favours the intervention Varies No included studies 	The Guideline Devel than the WHO algor Low prevalence (5 Favourable choices: • treat all women of specificity (90%) • treat based on per assessment or co • treat based on per assessment or co • treat based on per assessment or co • treat based on per missed cases and the overtreatment, and disease and missed	lopment Group far rithms based on b 5% <i>N. gonorrhoe</i> who are positive u rapid point-of-car oint-of-care tests a oinfirmed vaginal d choices: ositive speculum a ositive speculum a using speculum is perefore most pelv the WHO algorith cases but modera	voure alance aae an using re test amon lischa amon s chea vic infl m by ute to	d the follow e of benefits nd <i>C. tracho</i> lower sensit is; and g women wi rge by genit g women wi rge by genit g all women appest but res ammatory of risk has trivit high overtres	ing algorith and harm omatis) ivity (80%) ho have pos al examinat no have pos al examinat sults in the lisease and ial pelvic in eatment, wh	and costs. and costs. and sitive risk tion. sitive risk tion; and highest moderate flammatory nich results

	Judgement	Research evidence
Cost-effectiveness		 Higher prevalence (20% <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i>) Favourable choices: treat based on positive speculum or microscopy among women who have positive risk assessment or confirmed vaginal discharge by genital examination; treat based on positive speculum or microscopy among all women; and treat based on positive risk assessment or confirmed vaginal discharge by genital examination. Favourable but slightly costly: treat based on confirmed vaginal discharge by genital examination. Favourable but slightly high pelvic inflammatory disease (but not costly): treat all women who are positive on a low-sensitivity and -specificity point-of-care test; and treat all women who are positive on a speculum exam. The Guideline Development Group agreed that the approach should be similar
		across prevalence settings – and therefore a common approach was chosen that balanced the benefits, harm and costs in both settings.
Equity	What would be the impact on health equity? O Reduced O Probably reduced O Probably no impact O Probably increased O Increased Varies O Don't know	 From a systematic review of literature up to February 2020 Issues of who is seeking care by symptoms and tested Providers were significantly more likely to test symptomatic African-American women for STI than symptomatic white women (10). Treatment seeking for vaginal discharge was disproportionately high among poor women in Mumbai, India and associated with problematic husbands, spousal abuse, tension and stress and higher perceived empowerment (11). A remote area of South Africa with poor access to health-care services has a large burden of untreated symptomatic and asymptomatic STIs, demonstrating the importance of out-of-facility STI services through a mobile clinic (12). Studies indicate a relationship between socioeconomic status and STIs. A higher prevalence of chlamydia was found in patients in the public health sector versus private in Brazil (13). A higher prevalence of chlamydia was found among illiterate women versus women with higher education and in women living in rural areas versus urban areas (14). An inverse association was found between education and chlamydia and gonorrhoea among young women in the United States of America. Black women who were enrolled in or had graduated from college had significantly higher predicted probabilities of having chlamydia or gonorrhoea than white females with less than a high school diploma (15). The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis, <i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>T. vaginalis</i> is significantly higher among non-Hispanic African-Americans versus white Americans (16–19). Working women and spouses of unskilled workers had a higher risk of infection than homemakers and the spouses of semiskilled or skilled workers (20). Female adolescents in juvenile detention facilities had high chlamydia test positivity (21–24).

TATA

	Judgement	Research evidence
	Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders? O No	Clinicians Half were willing to follow the syndromic approach (25); 80% always conduct examination, and no preference for watching and waiting, symptomatic therapy, empiric antibiotics or referral (26).
	O Probably no Probably ves	Awareness of the consequences of no treatment increased the willingness to use the syndromic approach (25,26).
	O Yes O Varies O Don't know	A South African study in 50 public health facilities found that most facilities had STI guidelines available, and 64% had STI treatment flow charts posted. Assessment using standardized patient actors showed that the syndromic management according to the guidelines was provided in only 61% of cases. Only 19% received all predefined essential STI services, with significant gaps in treatment for women (<i>27</i>). Similar gaps in provider knowledge and practice have been identified in Gujarat (<i>28</i>) and Ethiopia (<i>29</i>).
		Patients
		Wide variability in seeking treatment – 15–87% (30–37).
		Reasons for not seeking health care:
		• formal advice not needed or rely on self-treatment (70% from Jiang et al. (38); Ilankoon et al. (39));
		• stigma (40,41);
		• Discomfort or fear (5–8% from Ekabua et al. (42); 33% from Rosenheck et al. (31));
ptability		• lack of awareness of symptoms or considered natural phenomena (64% from Sharma et al. (<i>35</i>); 34% from Hoffman et al. (<i>41</i>); approximately 50% from Tayerih et al. (<i>37</i>));
Acce		 disappointment in care due to persistent (23%) or recurrent (15%) symptoms after previous treatment (41);
		 disappointment with health services in general during previous visit(s) for any reason (10% in Hoffman (41));
		• costs (67% from Miller et al. (43); 89% from Jayapalan et al. (44); Tayerih et al. (37));
		 geographical access or transport (57% from Miller et al. (43); 86% from Jayapalan et al. (44));
		• lack of privacy (60% from Miller et al. (43); 67% from Jayapalan et al. (44));
		 lack of free medicine (71% from Jayapalan et al. (44)); and
		• lack of confidentiality (12% from Jayapalan et al. (44); 13% from Leichliter et al. (45)).
		In a study in India, 11 of 42 (26%) reported that, although they went to the hospital, they could not disclose their symptoms (<i>35</i>).
		Vaginal discharge was reported by 49% of female sex workers in central Brazil; but 42% had not sought treatment at health-care facilities (46).
		Of 986 female sex workers in Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, 7.8% reported having at least one episode of STI in the past six months. About two thirds would either self-medicate or adopt a wait-and-see approach, and about one third attended a private doctor or a doctor across the border. Only 26% reported attending a public STI clinic and 25% an NGO testing centre in the past year (<i>47</i>).

THE ACTION OF

 $\Box H$

	Judgement	Research evidence
Acceptability		In Utter Pradesh, India, 84 (88.4%) of women living in urban slums sought treatment for their STIs or reproductive tract infection problems from quacks. Very few women had treatment from a government health facility (6.3%) or from a private health facility (5.3%) (48).
		In a study of pregnant women attending antenatal care in Sudan, 14.3% of the participants declined gynaecological examinations; probably because most (13.8%) perceived speculum examinations as a painful procedure. A total of 11.6% of the patients were embarrassed by their vaginal discharge and thus refused to be examined. Others (7.1%) were in doubt and feared receiving a positive result. In general, the reason for rejecting any of the examinations is because most women thought being tested was an endeavour too great for diseases they know they do not have (<i>49</i>).
		College students in India had good knowledge about the prevention and transmission of STIs; however, not many were aware of the clinical features and complications of STIs. Only about 40% of students knew that vaginal discharge was a symptom of STIs (<i>50</i>).
		Among women seeking health care for the presence of symptoms, the length of delay varied greatly, with some people seeking health care immediately and others waiting for several months. Studies report that 39–45% of women waited longer than seven days to see a health-care provider (51,52).
Feasibility	Is the intervention feasible to implement?	A syndromic management programme in Kenya effectively reduced the incidence of STIs from its initiation (1990–1994) to 2000. The incidence increased in 2001 when the programme was terminated (53).
	 Probably no Probably yes Yes Varies Don't know 	A syndromic management programme applied in 25 rural primary health- care units in the United Republic of Tanzania treated 12 895 people with STI syndromes in 2 years. The programme was used by 50–75% of symptomatic people (54).
		An integrated network of physicians, midwives and pharmacy workers trained in STI syndromic management (the PREVEN Network) was developed and evaluated as part of a national urban community randomized trial of STI prevention in Peru. Training pharmacy workers linked to a referral network of clinicians proved feasible and acceptable. High turnover was challenging but was overcome. By the end of the intervention, the Network included 792 pharmacies and 597 clinicians. Pharmacies reported more cases of STIs than did clinicians. Evaluations by simulated patients showed significant and substantial improvements in the management of people with STI syndromes at pharmacies in the 10 intervention cities but not in the 10 control cities (55).
		The implementation of STI management guidelines was evaluated in Pakistan. Guideline adherence was associated with the sex of the patient, the type of health facility, the availability of male and female doctors, the age of the patient (dichotomized at 25 years) and diagnosis. Women attending the rural health facility for STI treatment had 0.87 times the chance of guidelines being followed compared with the urban health facility (<i>56</i>).
		A syndromic management approach for vaginal discharge was effectively used by nurses to diagnose vaginal infections within a primary care setting (57).
		A medical record review of reproductive health services in Karachi found that the health-care providers – doctors and midwives – had difficulties in using the syndromic management algorithm (58).

TATA

Summary of judgements

TATA

THOM

	Judgement						
Problem	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
Test accuracy	Very inaccurate	Inaccurate	Accurate	Very accurate		Varies	Don't know
Desirable effects	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
Undesirable effects	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
Certainty of the evidence of test accuracy	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Certainty of the evidence of the effects of management	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Certainty of effects	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	No important uncertainty or variability			
Balance of effects	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	Don't know
Resources required	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Cost– effectiveness	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	No included studies
Equity	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
Acceptability	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
Feasibility	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

140

 $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}$

Conclusions

Should other syndromic management algorithms be used to identify and treat women for common sexually transmitted infections rather than the current WHO algorithms based on risk or speculum examination?

Type of recommendation O O O Conditional recommendation against the intervention O Conditional recommendation for either the intervention Conditional recommendation or the comparison Conditional memonation Strong recommendation Recommendation against the intervention Recommendation for the management of vaginal discharge. Conditional recommendation Strong recommendation Recommendation of Quality-assured molecular assays for Ng gonorhoese and/or C. trachomatis and/or I. vaginals in settings in which treatment based on the results of molecular assay in the same visit is not feasible or that have limited or no molecular testing, we suggest treatment based on testing with quality- assured rapid point-of-care tests or on syndromic treatment. For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, good practice includes: • taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of S11s; • performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excoriations; • bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and • Offering HIV and synhilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>							
recommendation against the intervention Conditional recommendation against the intervention Conditional recommendation or the comparison Conditional recommendation Strong recommendation Recommendation Recommendation for the management of vaginal discharge or the comparison Conditional recommendation Strong recommendation Recommendations for the management of vaginal discharge, or <i>C trachomatis</i> and/or <i>I. vaginalis</i> on the same visit We suggest treatment based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays for N gonorrhoeae and/or C. trachomatis and/or I. vaginalis. In settings in which treatment based on the results of molecular assay in the same visit is not feasible or that have limited or no molecular testing, we suggest treatment based on the results of people with symptom of vaginal discharge, good practice includes: • taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs; • performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excoriations; • bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and • offering HV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laborat	Type of	0	0	0	0	•	
 Recommendations Recommendations for the management of vaginal discharge For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, we recommend treatment for <i>N</i> gonorhoeae and/ or <i>C</i> trachomatis and/or <i>T</i> vaginalis on the same visit. We suggest treatment based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays for N gonorhoeae and/or <i>C</i>. trachomatis and/or <i>T</i> vaginalis. In settings in which treatment based on the results of molecular assay in the same visit is not feasible or that have limited or no molecular testing, we suggest treatment based on testing with quality- assured rapid point-of-care tests or on syndromic treatment. For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, good practice includes: taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs; performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excoriations; bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit We recommend treating N gonorhoeae and/or <i>C</i> trachomatis and/or <i>T</i> vaginalis based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①). We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as cu	recommendation	Strong recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation for either the intervention or the comparison	Conditional recommendation for the intervention	Strong recommendation for the intervention	
 For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, we recommend treatment for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/ or <i>C. trachomatis</i> and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i> on the same visit. We suggest treatment based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i>. In settings in which treatment based on the results of molecular assay in the same visit is not feasible or that have limited or no molecular testing, we suggest treatment based on testing with quality- assured rapid point-of-care tests or on syndromic treatment. For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, good practice includes: taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs; performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excortiations; bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results and/or T. vaginalis based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①). We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the ersults of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid bic. Settings in which same	Recommendation	Recommendatio	ns for the manage	ement of vaginal disch	narge		
 For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, good practice includes: taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs; performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excoriations; bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit We recommend treating N. gonorrhoeae and/or C. trachomatis and/or T. vaginalis based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①). We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis (Algorithm ②). If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk or infection with N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis and performation who are at high risk or infection with N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis and treat tors N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis with or a		For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, we recommend treatment for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and or C. <i>trachomatis</i> and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i> on the same visit. We suggest treatment based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays for N. gonorrhoeae and/or C. trachomatis and/or T. vaginalis. I settings in which treatment based on the results of molecular assay in the same visit is not feasib or that have limited or no molecular testing, we suggest treatment based on testing with quality-assured rapid point-of-care tests or on syndromic treatment.				gonorrhoeae and/ sed on the results d/or T. vaginalis. In visit is not feasible ting with quality-	
 taking a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs; performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excoriations; bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit We recommend treating <i>N. gonorthoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i> based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①). We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm ②). If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perfor		For people with symptom of vaginal discharge, good practice includes:					
 performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excoriations; bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit We recommend treating N. gonorrhoeae and/or C. trachomatis and/or T. vaginalis based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①). We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis (Algorithm ②). If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis and residence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection		 taking a medica 	al and sexual histor	y and assessing the risk	of STIs;		
 bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit We recommend treating <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i> based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①). We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available. Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm ②). If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm ③a). 		 performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and external vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge or vulval erythema and excoriations; 					
 offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit 1. We recommend treating <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i> based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①). 2. We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available. 3. We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available. Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing 1. We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm ②). 2. If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm ③a). 		 bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pair with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) to assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glov and offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit 					
 Settings in which treatment is based on quality-assured molecular assays in a laboratory with a fully operational quality management system and results available on the same day of the visit 1. We recommend treating <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i> based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①). 2. We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available. 3. We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available. Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing 1. We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm ②). 2. If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm ③)a). 							
 We recommend treating <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i> based on the results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vaginal swab or on a urine specimen (Algorithm ①). We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available. Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm ②). If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm ③a). 							
 We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available. Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm ②). If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm ③a). 		1. We recommend treating <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> and/or <i>T. vaginalis</i> based results of quality-assured molecular assays on a self-collected, or clinician-collected, vagi swah or on a urine specimen (Algorithm (1))				<i>alis</i> based on the lected, vaginal	
 3. We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available. Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing 1. We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm ②). 2. If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm ③a). 		 We suggest treating for bacterial vaginosis if vaginal discharge is present (for example, tenacious or thin) or based on the results of microscopy, if available. 					
 Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing 1. We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm 2). 2. If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm 3)a). 		3. We suggest treating for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available.					
 We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm 2). If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm 3a). 		Settings in which molecular testing	same-day treatmen	ne-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no			
2. If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm ③a).		1. We suggest treating based on a quality-assured rapid test with a minimum sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 90%, if available, to confirm or exclude infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm ②).					
		2. If the availability of a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay is limited, we suggest performing a speculum examination and treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if there is evidence of cervicitis and perform a low-cost rapid test or molecular assay for people with a negative speculum examination who are at high risk of infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> and treat based on the test results (Algorithm ③a).					

Recommendation	 If a rapid test is not available, we suggest treating people who have signs of cervicitis on speculum examination for infection with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> (Algorithm ③). If a rapid test is not available and a speculum examination is not feasible or acceptable, we suggest treating people for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> all persons at high risk of STIs and all persons who have vaginal discharge on genital examination (Algorithm ④). We suggest treating people for bacterial vaginosis and <i>T. vaginalis</i> if vaginal discharge is present or based on the results of microscopy, if available. We suggest treating people for candidiasis, where indicated by type of discharge (such as curd-like with vaginal itching) or by the results of microscopy, if available. Good practice includes. For people with recurrent or persistent vaginal discharge, good practice includes referring to a center with laboratory canacity to diagnose infection with <i>N. genorrhoeae G. trachomatic</i>.
	<i>M. genitalium</i> and <i>T. vaginalis</i> and bacterial vaginosis and to test for antimicrobial-resistant <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>M. genitalium</i> (if there is a test) or for a specialist's assessment (STI expert and physician or a gynaecologist), when no such testing is available in primary health care centres.
Justification	Bacterial vaginosis and/or T. vaginalis
	Although microscopy was the most accurate with no false treatments and less than 1% of cases missed, the costs of implementing microscopy in settings that currently do not have facilities outweighs the costs of treating everyone with confirmed vaginal discharge for bacterial vaginosis and <i>T. vaginalis</i> and the harm to people unnecessarily treated (about 40%). We considered the effects of screening for bacterial vaginosis or <i>T. vaginalis</i> using pH testing compared with confirmed vaginal discharge and found that the differences in people missed and people unnecessarily treated were negligible, since the costs of treatment are relatively low.
	Porforming molecular assay tests for N gonorrhoose C trachomatic or T yaginalis and basing
	treatment on these results leads to the most people treated correctly when treatment is provided on the same day.
	• Using a low-cost rapid point-of-care test with 80% sensitivity and 90% specificity will lead to fewer missed and falsely treated people than other syndromic approaches and no treatment.
	• Performing a speculum examination and treating people with cervicitis and then microscopy for people who were negative on speculum examination may also lead to fewer missed cases and falsely treated people than using a rapid point-of-care test (at a minimum of 80% sensitivity and 90% specificity) for everyone. Alternatively, if a rapid point-of-care test is used for the people with a negative speculum examination, there would be even fewer missed cases and falsely treated people.
	• Treating based only on the results of a speculum examination will still result in similar pelvic inflammatory disease cases and similar costs to a rapid point-of-care test, although the number of people treated unnecessarily would be slightly higher when using speculum examination.
	• If everyone at high risk (including with risk factors in high prevalence settings) and/or people with confirmed vaginal discharge are treated, the costs may be higher than strategies with rapid point-of-care tests or speculum examination, but there are large beneficial reductions in the number of pelvic inflammatory disease cases and, compared with treating everyone, there are fewer unnecessarily treated people.

Fig. A4.1. WHO algorithm based on risk

HTHLEHOHO



THI

ADALAAN A

M

Fig. A4.2. WHO algorithm based on speculum (and microscopy for bacterial vaginosis and *T. vaginalis*)



References

- 1. Zemouri C, Wi TE, Kiarie J, Seuc A, Mogasale V, Latif A et al. The performance of the vaginal discharge syndromic management in treating vaginal and cervical infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0163365.
- 2. Cornier N, Petrova E, Cavailler P, Dentcheva R, Terris-Prestholt F, Janin A et al. Optimising the management of vaginal discharge syndrome in Bulgaria: cost–effectiveness of four clinical algorithms with risk assessment. Sex Transm Infect. 2010;86:303–9.
- 3. Tolosa JE, Rodriguez A, Muller E, Ruiz-Parra A, Nunez-Forero L, Moyano L et al. Accuracy of syndromic diagnosis and management for vaginal discharge and cervicitis in women of reproductive age in Bogota, Colombia. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2012;119(S3):729.
- 4. Haberland N, Winikoff B, Sloan N, Coggins C, Elias C. Case finding and case management of chlamydia and gonorrhea infections among women: What we do and do not know. New York: Population Council; 1999 (https://knowledgecommons.popcouncil.org/departments_sbsr-rh/496, accessed 22 March 2021).
- 5. Sloan NL, Winikoff B, Haberland N, Coggins C, Elias C. Screening and syndromic approaches to identify gonorrhea and chlamydial infection among women. Stud Fam Plann. 2000;31:55–68.
- 6. Madhivanan P, Krupp K, Hardin J, Karat C, Klausner JD, Reingold AL. Simple and inexpensive point-of-care tests improve diagnosis of vaginal infections in resource constrained settings. Trop Med Int Health. 2009;14:703–8.
- Korenromp EL, Wi T, Resch S, Stover J, Broutet N. Costing of national STI program implementation for the global STI control strategy for the health sector, 2016–2021. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0170773.
- 8. Schnippel K, Lince-Deroche N, van den Handel T, Molefi S, Bruce S, Firnhaber C. Cost evaluation of reproductive and primary health care mobile service delivery for women in two rural districts in South Africa. PLoS One 2015;10:e0119236.
- Owusu-Edusei Jr K, Gift TL, Chesson HW. Treatment cost of acute gonococcal infections: Estimates from employer-sponsored private insurance claims data in the United States, 2003–2007. Sex Transm Dis. 2010;37:316–8.
- 10. Goyal MK, Hayes KL, Mollen CJ. Racial disparities in testing for sexually transmitted infections in the emergency department. Acad Emerg Med. 2012;19:6047.
- 11. Kostick KM, Schensul SL, Jadhav K, Singh R, Bavadekar A, Saggurti N. Treatment seeking, vaginal discharge and psychosocial distress among women in urban Mumbai. Cult Med Psychiatry. 2010;34:529–47.
- Hoffman CM, Fritz L, Matlakala N, Mbambazela N, Railton JP, McIntyre JA, et al. Community-based strategies to identify the unmet need for care of individuals with sexually transmitted infection-associated symptoms in rural South Africa. Trop Med Int Health. 2019;24:987–93.
- 13. Lobo CD, Quixabeira DC, Albuquerque GS, Tavares JR, Neto JS. Detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* by real-time PCR of a sample of the female population of Maceió, Alagoas, Brazil referred to the private and public health services. J Am Soc Cytopathol. 2012;1:S50.
- 14. Ali MK, Shia JS. Detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in genitourinary specimens in Iraq women by real time PCR assay. Res J Pharm Biol Chem Sci. 2018;9:799–808.

1

X
- 15. Annang L, Walsemann KM, Maitra D, Kerr JC. Does education matter? Examining racial differences in the association between education and STI diagnosis among black and white young adult females in the U.S. Public Health Reports. 2010;125(Suppl. 4):110–21.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: CDC Grand Rounds: chlamydia prevention: challenges and strategies for reducing disease burden and sequelae. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2011;60:370–3.
- 17. Gaydos CA, Hsieh YH, Barnes M, Quinn N, Agreda P, Jett-Goheen M et al. *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in women who submit self-obtained vaginal samples after internet recruitment. Sex Transm Dis. 2011;38:828–32.
- 18. Lazenby GB, Soper DE, Nolte FS. Correlation of leukorrhea and *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51:2323–7.
- Cartwright CP, Pherson AJ, Harris AB, Clancey MS, Nye MB. Multicenter study establishing the clinical validity of a nucleic-acid amplification-based assay for the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2018;92:173–8.
- 20. Durai V, Varadharajan S, Muthuthandavan AR. Reproductive tract infections in rural India – a population-based study. J Family Med Prim Care. 2019;8:3578–83.
- Spaulding AC, Miller J, Trigg BG, Braverman P, Lincoln T, Reams PN et al. Screening for sexually transmitted diseases in short-term correctional institutions: summary of evidence reviewed for the 2010 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines. Sex Transm Dis. 2013;40:679–83.
- 22. Satterwhite CL, Newman D, Collins D, Torrone E. Chlamydia screening and positivity in juvenile detention centers, United States, 2009–2011. Women Health. 2014;54:712–25.
- Burghardt NO, Chow JM, Steiner A, Bauer HM. Trends in chlamydia screening, test positivity, and treatment among females in California juvenile detention facilities, 2003– 2014. Sex Transm Dis. 2016;43:12–7.
- 24. Torrone E, Beeston T, Ochoa R, Richardson M, Gray T, Peterman T, Katz KA. Chlamydia screening in juvenile corrections: even females considered to be at low risk are at high risk. J Correct Health Care. 2016;22:21–7.
- Ward K, Butler N, Mugabo P, Klausner J, McFarland W, Chen S et al. Provision of syndromic treatment of sexually transmitted infections by community pharmacists: a potentially underutilized HIV prevention strategy. Sex Transm Dis. 2003;30:609–13.
- 26. Anderson MR, Karasz A. How do clinicians manage vaginal complaints? An Internet survey. MedGenMed. 2005;7:61.
- 27. Kohler PK, Marumo E, Jed SL, Mema G, Galagan S, Tapia K et al. A national evaluation using standardised patient actors to assess STI services in public sector clinical sentinel surveillance facilities in South Africa. Sex Transm Infect 2017; 93:247–52.
- Sharma R, Prajapati S, Patel B, Kumar P. Evaluation of skill-oriented training on enhanced syndromic case management (ESCM) of reproductive tract infections/sexually transmitted infections (RTI/STIs) of care providers from three-tier health-care system of Gujarat. Indian J Community Med. 2016;41:183–9.
- 29. Alemayehu A, Godana W. Knowledge and practice of clinicians regarding syndromic management of sexually transmitted infections in public health facilities of Gamo Gofa Zone, South Ethiopia. J Sex Transm Dis. 2015;2015:310409.

30. Jiang Z, Wang D, Hong Q, Cherry N, Cheng J, Chai J et al. Use of health services by women with gynecological symptoms in rural China. World Health Popul. 2010;11:23–37.

THATAA

- 31. Rosenheck R, Ngilangwa D, Manongi R, Kapiga S. Treatment-seeking behavior for sexually transmitted infections in a high-risk population. AIDS Care. 2010;22:1350–8.
- Samanta A, Ghosh S, Mukherjee S. Prevalence and health-seeking behavior of reproductive tract infection/sexually transmitted infections symptomatics: a cross-sectional study of a rural community in the Hooghly district of West Bengal. Indian J Public Health. 2011;55:38–41.
- Hegde S, Agrawal T, Ramesh N, Sugara M, Joseph P, Singh S et al. Reproductive tract infections among women in a peri-urban under privileged area in Bangalore, India: knowledge, prevalence, and treatment seeking behavior. Ann Trop Med Public Health. 2013;6:215–20.
- Girish HO, Kumar A, Balu PS. A study on STI morbidity pattern and STI treatment seeking behavior among female sex workers of Davangere city, Central Karnataka. Int J Life Sci Biotechnol Pharma Res. 2014;3:254–60.
- Sharma D, Goel NK, Thakare MM. Prevalence of reproductive tract infection symptoms and treatment-seeking behavior among women: a community-based study. Indian J Sex Transm Dis AIDS. 2018;39:79–83.
- Tanton C, Geary RS, Clifton S, Field N, Heap KL, Mapp F et al. Sexual health clinic attendance and non-attendance in Britain: findings from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal-3). Sex Transm Infect. 2018;94:268–76.
- Tayerih K, Jozani ZB, Golchehregan H, Rostam-Afshar Z, Taj L, Nasab SA et al. Woman's sexual health knowledge and needs assessment in behavioral clinics and shelters in Tehran. J Fam Reprod Health. 2019;13:26–34.
- 38. Jiang Q, Qin Q, Zhang X. HIV, syphilis, and condom use among female drug users in Maanshan, China. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2010;110:158–9.
- Ilankoon MPS, Goonewardena CSE, Fernandopulle RC, Rasika Perera PP. Women's knowledge and experience of abnormal vaginal discharge living in estates in Colombo District, Sri Lanka. Int J Women Health Reprod Sci. 2017;5:90–6.
- 40. Arrindell D, Barclay L, Boxt J. The forgotten STI: a survey of knowledge about trichomoniasis. Sex Transm Infect. 2013:89(Suppl. 1):A323.
- 41. Hoffman CM, Mbambazela N, Sithole P, Morre SA, Dubbink JH, Railton J et al. Provision of sexually transmitted infection services in a mobile clinic reveals high unmet need in remote areas of South Africa: a cross-sectional study. Sex Transm Dis. 2019;46:206–12.
- 42. Ekabua JE, Agan TU, Eklaki CU, Ekanew El. Adjuncts to case assessment of vaginal discharge syndrome in pregnant women. Asian Pac J Trop Med. 2010;3:63–5.
- Miller MK, Dowd MD, Harrison CJ, Mollen CJ, Selvarangan R, Humiston SG. Prevalence of 3 sexually transmitted infections in a pediatric emergency department. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2015;31:107–12.
- 44. Jayapalan S. Healthcare-seeking preferences of patients with sexually transmitted infection attending a tertiary care center in South Kerala. Indian J Sex Transm Dis. 2016;37:157–61.
- Leichliter JS, Copen C, Dittus PJ. Confidentiality issues and use of sexually transmitted disease services among sexually experienced persons aged 15-25 years – United States, 2013–2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017;66:237–41.
- 46. de Matos MA, Caetano KA, Franca DD, Pinheiro RS, de Moraes LC, Teles SA. Vulnerability to sexually transmitted infections in women who sell sex on the route of prostitution and sex tourism in central Brazil. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2013;21:906–12.

M

Y CHANNE

M

Y THE HONO

 $\langle \rangle$

- 47. Lee K Wong KH. What do female sex workers do if they have genital symptoms? Sex Transm Infect. 2011;87(Suppl. 1):A129.
- 48. Kumar A. A health seeking behavior of the women of urban slums of Puducherry regarding reproductive tract infections. Indian J Public Health Res Devel. 2017;8:152–6.
- 49. Abdelrahim NA, Ahmed HI, Fadl-Elmula IM, Bayoumi MA, Homeida MM. Sexually transmitted infections other than HIV/AIDS among women of low socio-economic class attending antenatal clinics in Khartoum, Sudan. Int J STD AIDS. 2017;28:781–7.
- 50. Subbarao NT, Akhilesh A. Knowledge and attitude about sexually transmitted infections other than HIV among college students. Indian J Sex Transm Dis. 2017;38:10–4.
- 51. Malek AM, Chang CC, Clark DB, Cook RL. Delay in seeking care for sexually transmitted diseases in young men and women attending a public STD clinic. Open AIDS J. 2013;7:7–13.
- 52. Denison HJ, Woods L, Bromhead C, Kennedy J, Grainger R, Jutel A et al. Healthcareseeking behaviour of people with sexually transmitted infection symptoms attending a sexual health clinic in New Zealand. N Z Med J. 2018;131:40–9.
- 53. Cheluget B, Joesoef MR, Marum LH, Wandera C, Ryan CA, Decock KM et al. Changing patterns in sexually transmitted disease syndromes in Kenya after the introduction of a syndromic management program. Sex Transm Dis. 2004;31:522–5.
- 54. Grosskurth H, Mwijarubi E, Todd J, Rwakatare M, Orroth K, Mayaud P et al. Operational performance of an STD control programme in Mwanza Region, Tanzania. Sex Transm Infect. 2000;76:426–36.
- 55. Garcia PJ, Carcamo CP, Garnett GP, Campos PE, Holmes KK. Improved STD Syndrome Management by a Network of Clinicians and Pharmacy Workers in Peru: the PREVEN Network. PLoS ONE. 2012;7:e47750.
- 56. Khan MA, Javed W, Ahmed M, Walley J, Munir MA. Sexually transmitted disease syndromic case management through public sector facilities: development and assessment study in Punjab Pakistan. Ann Glob Health. 2014;80:486–92.
- 57. Kisa S, Taskin L. Validity of the symptomatic approach used by nurses in diagnosing vaginal infections. J Clin Nurs. 2009;18:1059–68.
- Mahmood MA, Saniotis A. Use of syndromic management algorithm for sexually transmitted infections and reproductive tract infections management in community settings in Karachi. J Pak Med Assoc. 2011;61:453–7.
- 59. Banneheke H, Fernandopulle R, Gunasekara U, Barua A, Fernando N, Wickremasinghe R. Can *Trichomonas* immunochromatographic test increase the validity and reliability of WHO syndromic algorithm for vaginal discharge as a screening tool for trichomoniasis? Ann Trop Med Public Health. 2016;9:43–7.
- 60. Barry MS, Ba Diallo A, Diadhiou M, Mall I, Gassama O, Ndiaye Gueye MD et al. Accuracy of syndromic management in targeting vaginal and cervical infections among symptomatic women of reproductive age attending primary care clinics in Dakar, Senegal. Trop Med Int Health. 2018;23:541–8.
- 61. Das A, Prabhakar P, Narayanan P, Neilsen G, Wi T, Kumta S et al. Prevalence and assessment of clinical management of sexually transmitted infections among female sex workers in two cities of India. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2011;2011:494769.
- 62. Desai VK, Kosambiya JK, Thakor HG, Umrigar DD, Khandwala BR, Bhuyan KK. Prevalence of sexually transmitted infections and performance of STI syndromes against aetiological diagnosis, in female sex workers of red light area in Surat, India. Sex Transm Infect. 2003;79:111–5.

THE

TATA

Y

HIMPHONE

LTH

74

× s

- 63. Francis SC, Ao TT, Vanobberghen FM, Chilongani J, Hashim R, Andreasen A et al. Epidemiology of curable sexually transmitted infections among women at increased risk for HIV in northwestern Tanzania: inadequacy of syndromic management. PLoS One. 2014;9:e101221.
- 64. Garcia PJ, Chavez S, Feringa B, Chiappe M, Li W, Jansen KU et al. Reproductive tract infections in rural women from the highlands, jungle, and coastal regions of Peru. Bull World Health Organ. 2004;82:483–92.
- 65. Lima TM, Teles LM, de Oliveira AS, Campos FC, Barbosa Rde C, Pinheiro AK et al. [Vaginal discharge in pregnant women: comparison between syndromic approach and examination of clinical nursing practice]. Rev Esc Enferm USP. 2013;47:1265–71.
- 66. Moherdaui F, Urquia M, Castro de Midecne L, Morales G. Validation of STI flowcharts for the syndromic management of vaginal discharge and lower abdominal pain in Honduras. Rev Med Hondur. 2005;6:263.
- 67. Molaei B, Mohmmadian F, Tadayon P, Gholami H, Kiani M, Rashtchi V. Comparative evaluation of accuracy and compatibility level of different diagnostic methods for bacterial vaginosis. Kuwait Med J. 2018;50:205–12.
- Msuya SE, Uriyo J, Stray-Pedersen B, Sam NE, Mbizvo EM. The effectiveness of a syndromic approach in managing vaginal infections among pregnant women in northern Tanzania. East Afr J Public Health. 2009;6:263–7.
- 69. Onyekonwu CL, Olumide YM, Oresanya FA, Onyekonwu GC. Vaginal discharge: aetiological agents and evaluation of syndromic management in Lagos. Niger J Med. 2011;20:155–62.
- Romoren M, Velauthapillai M, Rahman M, Sundby J, Klouman E, Hjortdahl P. Trichomoniasis and bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy: inadequately managed with the syndromic approach. Bull World Health Organ. 2007;85:297–304.
- Tann CJ, Mpairwe H, Morison L, Nassimu K, Hughes P, Omara M et al. Lack of effectiveness of syndromicmanagement in targeting vaginal infections in pregnancy in Entebbe, Uganda. Sex Transm Infect. 2006;82:285–9.
- 72. Vallely LM, Toliman P, Ryan C, Rai G, Wapling J, Gabuzzi J et al. Performance of syndromic management for the detection and treatment of genital *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Trichomonas vaginalis* among women attending antenatal, well woman and sexual health clinics in Papua New Guinea: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2017;7:e018630.

5	
2	
D	
5	
2	
0	
Ξ.	
20	
Ň	
-	
<u></u>	
D	
5	
ğ	
9	
S	
Ë	
.0	
H	
e e	
Ţ	
-=	
3	
Ë	
. 20	
g	
2	
Б	
>	
÷.	
10	
Ξ.	
Ğ	
d	
S	
nd S	
and s	
y and s	
vity and s	
tivity and s	
sitivity and s	
nsitivity and s	
sensitivity and s	
f sensitivity and s	
of sensitivity and s	
w of sensitivity and s	
ew of sensitivity and s	
view of sensitivity and s	
review of sensitivity and s	
e review of sensitivity and s	
he review of sensitivity and s	
the review of sensitivity and s	
in the review of sensitivity and s	
d in the review of sensitivity and s	
led in the review of sensitivity and s	
uded in the review of sensitivity and s	
cluded in the review of sensitivity and s	
ncluded in the review of sensitivity and s	
s included in the review of sensitivity and s	
es included in the review of sensitivity and s	
dies included in the review of sensitivity and s	
udies included in the review of sensitivity and s	
Studies included in the review of sensitivity and s	
. Studies included in the review of sensitivity and s	(S)
4 Studies included in the review of sensitivity and s	alis)
14.4. Studies included in the review of sensitivity and s	inalis)
3 A4.4. Studies included in the review of sensitivity and s	ginalis)
nle A4.4. Studies included in the review of sensitivity and s	vaginalis)
able A4.4. Studies included in the review of sensitivity and s	. vaginalis)

74

1

udy	Country	Design	u	Prevalence (%)	Setting	Population	Flow chart	Reference test
S	ri Lanka	Cross- sectional	100	T. vaginalis: 6.0	STI clinics, well- woman clinics, gynaecology clinics, institutional health clinics	General population women (15–45 years)	WHO syndromic algorithm flow chart 1 + clinical and speculum examination; WHO flow chart 1 + clinical and speculum examination + <i>Trichomonas</i> immunochromatographic test	<i>T. vaginalis</i> . culture
S	enegal	Cross- sectional	276	N. gonorrhoeae: 1.1 C. trachomatis: 4.7 N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis: 5.4 Bacterial vaginosis (GV): 39.5 T. vaginalis: 2.5 Bacterial vaginosis and T. vaginalis: 40.2	Hospitals, primary health facilities	General population women (18–49 years)	WHO syndromic algorithm: symptoms, history, risk assessment, bimanual and speculum examination	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> : NAAT Bacterial vaginosis: Nugent scoring <i>T. vaginalis</i> : wet mount microscopy
	sulgaria	Cross- sectional	424	N. gonorrhoeae: 0.7 C. trachomatis: 9.2 T. vaginalis: 2.9 Either C. trachomatis or N. gonorrhoeae: 9.5	Sexual health clinic	Non-pregnant women	WHO 1, 2, 3, MSF 1	N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis: NAAT Bacterial vaginosis and T. vaginalis: microscopy

ATAAA

YD

Reference test	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis:</i> NAAT <i>I. vaginalis:</i> PCR Bacterial vaginosis: Nugenť's criteria	N. gonorrhoeae: Culture and Gram staining C. trachomatis: Pace 2 C. trachomatis assay. T. vaginalis: wet mount	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> : PCR <i>T. vaginalis</i> : culture Bacterial vaginosis: Nugent's criteria	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> : PCR	<i>T. vaginalis</i> : Wet mount	<i>I. vaginalis:</i> wet mount Bacterial vaginosis: Amsel criteria.
Flow chart	WHO 1, 2, NACO 3	NACO 2	WHO 2	Peruvian algorithm 1	WH0 2	WHO 1
Population	Sex workers	Sex workers	HIV-negative women	General population	Married women	Pregnant women
Setting	STI clinic for sex workers	Red-light district	Women working in bars, hotels.	Mothers' Club	Maternal health clinic	Antenatal care
Prevalence (%)	N. gonorrhoeae: 14.1 C. trachomatis: 17.1 T. vaginalis: 31.1 Bacterial vaginosis: 71 Either N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis: 26.1	N. gonorrhoeae: 15.3 C. trachomatis: 8.5 T. vaginalis: 14.4	N. gonorrhoeae: 4 C. trachomatis: 12 T. vaginalis: 19	N. gonorrhoeae: 1.2 C. trachomatis: 6.8	T. vaginalis: 14	<i>T. vaginalis:</i> 3.8 Bacterial vaginosis: 27.9
u	335	118	966	754	300	104
Design	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional
Country	Taiwan	India	United Republic of Tanzania	Peru	Turkey	Brazil
Study	Tsai et al. (4)	Desai et al. (62)	Francis et al. (63)	Garcia et al. (64)	Kisa et al. (57)	Lima et al. (65)
Algorithm	History, risk assessment	Local adaptation	+ speculum exam	History, risk assessment + speculum exam	History, risk assessment	History, risk assessment

Reference test	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> : Gram <i>C. trachomatis:</i> immunofluorescence <i>T. vaginalis:</i> microscopy	Bacterial vaginosis: Amsel criteria + Nugent score <i>T. vaginalis</i> : wet mount microscopy	<i>T. vaginalis</i> : wet mount Bacterial vaginosis: Amsel Nugent.	<i>N. gonorrhoeae:</i> Culture <i>C. trachomatis</i> : Elisa <i>T. vaginalis</i> : wet mount Bacterial vaginosis: Nugents criteria	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis:</i> LCR <i>T. vaginalis:</i> wet-mount Bacterial vaginosis: Nugent's criteria
Flow chart	WHO 1, 2, 3	History; History + bimanual and speculum examination (clinical diagnosis)	Tanzanian STI case management 2	Nigerian national algorithm (2b)	WHO 2
Population	General population	General population married women (18-49 years)	Pregnant women	General population	Pregnant women
Setting	General health clinic	Hospital gynaecological outpatient department	Antenatal care	STI clinic	Antenatal care
Prevalence (%)	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis:</i> 5.9 <i>T. vaginalis:</i> 6.8 Bacterial vaginosis: 27.4	Bacterial vaginosis (GV): 14.0 <i>T. vaginalis:</i> 10.0	<i>T. vaginalis</i> : 5 Bacterial vaginosis: 20.9 Either: 23.9	N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis: 12.8 Bacterial vaginosis and T. vaginalis: 57.4	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> : 3 <i>C. trachomatis</i> : 8 <i>T. vaginalis</i> : 18.8 Bacterial vaginosis: 38.1
u	933	100	2645	195	703
Design	Cross- sectional	Prospective	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional
Country	Honduras	Islamic Republic of Iran	United Republic of Tanzania	Nigeria	Botswana
Study	Moherdaui et al. <i>(66)</i>	Molaei et al. (67)	Msuya et al. (68)	Onyekownu et al. <i>(69)</i>	Romoren et al. <i>(70)</i>
Algorithm	+ speculum exam Lab (wet mount, gram stain)	History, risk assessment + speculum exam	Local adaptation	Local adaptation	History, risk assessment

×

JH JH

THI

Reference test	<i>T. vaginalis:</i> inoculation culture media kit and wet mount Bacterial vaginosis: Nugent's criteria.	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> : PCR <i>T. vaginalis</i> : wet mount Bacterial vaginosis: Nugent's criteria	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> : real- time PCR <i>T. vaginalis</i> : real-time PCR
Flow chart	Nigerian national algorithm 2	WHO 1	WHO history + risk factors (antenatal clinic); WHO history + risk factors + genital examination (well-woman and sexual health clinics)
Population	Pregnant women	General population	General population women (18–59 years)
Setting	Antenatal care	General health clinic	Antenatal clinics, well-woman clinics, sexual health clinics
Prevalence (%)	<i>T. vaginalis</i> : 17.3 Bacterial vaginosis: 47.7	<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> : 1.2 <i>C. trachomatis</i> : 9 <i>T. vaginalis</i> : 0.9 Bacterial vaginosis: 39	<i>N. gonorrhoeae:</i> 12.5 <i>C. trachomatis:</i> 16.9 <i>T. vaginalis:</i> 18.0
u	250	1266	1764
Design	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional
Country	Uganda	Colombia	Papua New Guinea
Study	Tann et al. (71)	Tolosa et al. <i>(3)</i>	Vallely et al. (72)
Algorithm	History, risk assessment	History, risk assessment	History, risk assessment + speculum exam

DHAN

1

ADALAADA

JANA

Table A4.5. Different flow charts and approaches modelled to manage gonorrhoea and/or chlamydial infection among women with vaginal discharge

No t	reatment
Trea	t all
1a:	Risk assessment, then treat high-risk women for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis
2a:	Speculum examination then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive (presence of signs of cervicitis – mucupus)
3a:	Speculum examination, treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive, and if negative perform microscopy (Gram stain) and if positive for presence of gram-negative diplococci or pus cell >20/hpf) treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i>
4:	Speculum examination, treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive, and if negative perform point-of- care test and if positive test, treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i>
5:	Microscopy (Gram stain) then treat for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis if positive
6:	Risk assessment and/or genital examination then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if risk assessment positive (context specific such as age) and/or genital examination positive (presence of vaginal discharge)
7:	Risk assessment and/or genital examination, if positive then perform speculum examination then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if speculum positive
8:	Risk assessment and/or genital examination, if positive perform speculum examination then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if speculum positive, if negative speculum perform microscopy then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive
9:	Risk assessment and/or genital examination, if positive then perform low-cost point-of-care test then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive point-of-care test
10a:	Risk assessment then perform low-cost point-of-care test in women at high risk, then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/ or <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive point-of-care test
11a:	Perform low-cost point-of-care test then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive point-of-care test
12:	Risk assessment and/or genital examination, if positive then perform high-cost point-of-care test then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive point-of-care test
13a:	Risk assessment then perform high-cost point-of-care test among women at high risk, then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive point-of-care test
14a:	Perform high-cost point-of-care test then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and/or <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive point-of-care test
15:	WHO risk: low prevalence: risk assessment and/or speculum examination then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> if positive risk assessment and/or speculum; high prevalence: treat all for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i>
16:	WHO spec: low prevalence: genital examination, if positive for discharge then risk assessment, if high risk then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> ; high prevalence: genital examination, if positive then treat for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i>

HOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHO

	Certainty of t	the evide	ince (refe	er to the t	table abc	(əvc													
	No treatment	Treat all	<u>1</u>	2a	3a	4	5a	9	2	∞	0	10a	11a	12	13a	14a	15	16	
Sensitivity/specificity	0/100	100/0	63/60	73/56	87/41	95/50	52/73	92/12	92/12 73/76	92/12	92/12	63/60	06/08	92/12 05/00	63/60	95/98	L:90/34 u.100/0	L:49/68	
5% prevalence									0//0/	0//41	06/00	06/00		06/06	06/06		U//U	U2/0/.П	
Infected and treated correctly	0	50	32	37	44	48	26	46	34	43	35	24	38	43	30	46	45	25	N a
Uninfected and treated unnecessarily	0	950	380	418	561	475	257	836	371	561	159	72	180	33	15	38	627	304	loderate
Infected and not treated	50	0	19	14	7	m	24	4	17	7	15	26	12	7	20	m	2	26	e (due e risk
Uninfected and not treated	950	0	570	532	390	475	694	114	580	390	791	878	770	917	935	912	323	646	e to s of bi
Cases of pelvic inflammatory disease	15	0	9	4	2	-	7	-	5	2	5	0	4	2	9	-	2	œ	ome cor as of stu
Cost per person for antimicrobial resistance US\$ 5	2.03	8.09	4.11	5.26	6.68	~5.38	4.79	7.30	~4.38	~5.79	4.82	3.09	5.25	15.08	7.83	16.89	6.67	3.72	ncern Idies)
20% prevalence																			
Infected and treated correctly	0	200	126	146	174	200	104	184	134	174	139	95	151	172	118	187	200	156	N
Uninfected and treated unnecessarily	0	800	320	352	472	800	216	704	312	472	134	61	152	28	13	32	800	640	loderate with ris
Infected and not treated	200	0	74	54	26	0	96	16	66	26	61	105	49	28	82	13	0	44	e (due sk of
Uninfected and not treated	800	0	480	448	328	0	584	96	488	328	666	739	648	772	787	768	0	160	e to s bias
Cases of pelvic inflammatory disease	60	0	22	16	∞	0	29	5	20	œ	18	31	15	œ	25	4	0	13	ome cor of studie
Cost per person for antimicrobial resistance US\$ 5	7.75	8.09	6.50	7.15	7.76	~6.76	7.83	7.81	~7.07	~7.58	7.20	6.66	7.31	16.90	11.38	18.27	60.6	8.14	ncern es)

X

Dark green: best benefit; light green: less benefit; yellow: harm; red: most harm. Estimates from modelling for *N. gonorrhoeae* or *C. trachomatis*

ANNEX 5. EVIDENCE-TO-DECISION TABLE: LOWER ABDOMINAL PAIN

Should the current WHO syndromic management be recommended versus laboratory diagnosis, no treatment or treat all to identify pelvic inflammatory disease caused by STIs among women with lower abdominal pain?

Population:

Women presenting with lower abdominal pain

Intervention and comparator:

Intervention: current WHO syndromic approach versus comparison: laboratory diagnosis (or no treatment or treat all)

Purpose of the test:

To identify women for treatment of STIs related to pelvic inflammatory disease

Linked treatments:

Treatment for infections caused by *C. trachomatis, N. gonorrhoeae, T. vaginalis* and anaerobic infections

Anticipated outcomes:

Number of people identified correctly as having or not having STI and/or pelvic inflammatory disease; number of people identified incorrectly as having or not having STI and/or pelvic inflammatory disease; consequences of appropriate or inappropriate treatment; patient and provider acceptability, feasibility, equity and resource use

Setting:

Outpatient

Perspective:

Population level

Subgroups:

Pregnant women, sex workers and heterosexual women (general population).

Background:

Syndromic management refers to a strategy for identifying and treating STIs based on specific syndromes (symptoms identified by a patient) and signs (clinically observed signs of infection) associated with clearly defined causes. Although etiological diagnosis is preferred, it is not always accessible or affordable.

Individuals presenting with lower abdominal pain syndrome could suggest the presence of acute pelvic inflammatory disease that requires immediate attention. Lower abdominal pain is a vague symptom and can be caused by myriad potential diseases, including pelvic inflammatory disease with consequent risk of chronic pelvic pain, tubal factor infertility and ectopic pregnancy. Pelvic inflammatory disease represents a spectrum of disease with a wide range of severity and results from an infection from the cervix or vagina entering into the endometrium, fallopian tubes and/or contiguous structures. Pelvic inflammatory disease is a polymicrobial infection and can be caused by an STI or by dysbiosis of the vaginal microbiome. The likely causes of lower abdominal pain could change depending on the age of the woman.

WHO published clinical guidelines for the syndromic management of lower abdominal pain syndrome in 2003 (Fig. A5.1).

Assessment

	Judgement	Research evidence
Problem	Is the problem a priority? O No O Probably no O Probably yes • Yes O Varies O Don't know	There is currently no objective test for pelvic inflammatory disease, and symptoms can vary widely from severe to none. Clinical diagnosis involves bimanual examination of the cervix and uterus to detect tenderness among women presenting with acute lower pelvic pain, fever and vaginal or cervical discharge. The procedure is uncomfortable, invasive and subjective, thereby presenting a significant barrier to clinicians and women. Pelvic inflammatory disease cases could be missed and may increase women's risk of ectopic pregnancy and infertility. Laparoscopic examination is considered the gold standard for diagnosing pelvic inflammatory disease (or endometrial biopsy. transvaginal sonography, magnetic resonance imaging techniques or Doppler studies) but, because of their impracticality as a screening tool, until more accurate diagnostics are available, clinicians are advised to have a low threshold for syndromic management for suspected cases of pelvic inflammatory disease. High cost of molecular STI testing There is a need for cheaper platforms, near-patient or point-of-care tests for <i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and potentially for <i>M. genitalium</i> . Antimicrobial resistance There is increasing concern about the treatment of people with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> , since high rates of resistance to penicillin, tetracycline and guipalone have have and course.
		quinolone have been documented globally. Resistance to commonly used first- line medications (azithromycin) and reports of treatment failure or reduced susceptibility in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> to cephalosporin (a last-line treatment for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>) raise concern that <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> could become untreatable.
accuracy	How accurate is the test? O Very inaccurate Inaccurate O Accurate O Very accurate	We systematically reviewed the literature, searching up to September 2019. In summary, we identified five studies that assessed the diagnostic accuracy of lower abdominal pain syndromic management to detect any STI (Table A5.1), five studies for genital chlamydia (Table A5.2) and four studies for genital gonorrhoea (Table A5.3) and three studies for genital trichomoniasis (Table A5.4).
Test a	O Varies O Don't know	For detection of any STI (chlamydia, gonorrhoea or trichomoniasis), five studies provided eight estimates for pooling. The pooled sensitivity for detecting chlamydia, gonorrhoea or trichomonas using a syndromic management approach (lower abdominal pain) is 30.0% (95% CI: 17.7–46.0%), and pooled specificity is 73.3% (95% CI: 56.3–85.4%).

	Judgement		Research	evidence	
		Table A5.5. abdominal	GRADE summ pain and any S	ary of findings STI	s table for
		Test result	Number of results per 1000 people tested (95% confidence interval)	Number of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)
			Prevalence of 5% typically seen in:		
		True positives	15 (9–23)	3908 (5)	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$
		False negatives	35 (27–41)		High
		True negatives	696 (535–811)	3908 (5)	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
		False positives	254 (139–415)		Moderate ^{a,b}
Test accuracy		a Most studies show b The threshold for confidence interva- for false positives Accuracy of crite syndromic manago of the United Sta The value of variou disease has been s (PEACH Study) (1). Table A5.6. Clinical Sign	ved consistent results. unnecessary treatment als cross that threshold ria for pelvic inflam gement flow chart (a tes Centers for Dise is clinical characteristi tudied among 651 wo Diagnostic tes is of pelvic in	was high (about 75%), and there is therefore s matory disease in the also similar to the m case Control and Pre cs to identify pelvic ini- imen in the United Sta st characteris flammatory di	and the some imprecision e WHO inimal criteria vention) flammatory tes of America ctics of sease
		characteristic	(95% confi interval)	dence (95% co interva	onfidence I)
		Abdominal tende	rness 93.9 (90.6–9	96.3) 7.4 (4.8-	-10.7)
		Cervical motion tenderness	91.6 (88.0–9	12.6 (9.	1–16.7)
		Uterine tenderne	ss 94.2 (91.0–9	96.6) 5.3 (3.1-	-8.2)
		Adnexal tenderne	ess 95.5 (92.6–9	97.5) 3.8 (2.1-	-6.5)
		Minimal criteria o United States Cer for Disease Contr Prevention	of the 83.3 (78.7–8 nters ol and	21.8 (17	.5–26.5)
		Source: Peipert et a	l. (1).		

74

10

X

THINK

Judgement			Research	evidence		
	Table A5. diagnosi	.7. Evalu ng endo	ation of metritis	support	ive crite	ria for
	Clinical characteristic	Sensitivity in % (95% confidence interval)	Specificity in % (95% confidence interval)	Positive likelihood ratio	Negative likelihood ratio	Measure of separation (95% confidence interval) ^ª
	Abnormal cervical or vaginal discharge	79.7 (74.6–84.2)	29.8 (24.8–35.2)	1.14	0.681	1.67 (1.15–2.43)
	Elevated body temperature (>38°C)	11.1 (7.8–15.2)	94.7 (91.7–96.9)	2.09	0.939	2.25 (1.23–4.13)
	Elevated leukocyte count (≥10 000 cells)	41.1 (35.1–47.3)	76.1 (70.6–81.0)	1.72	0.774	2.22 (1.54–3.22)
	Positive bacterial results ^b	56.0 (50.2–61.6)	81.6 (77.0–85.6)	3.04	0.539	5.64 (3.94–8.06)

a Positive likelihood ratio/negative likelihood ratio.

b Polymerase chain reaction testing for N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis.

Table A5.8. GRADE summary of findings table forthe minimal criteria of the United States Centersfor Disease Control and Prevention (tenderness)and detection of pelvic inflammatory diseasebased on sensitivity 83.8% and specificity 21.8%

Test result	Number of results per 1000 people tested (95% CI)	Number of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)
	Prevalence of 5% typically seen in:		
True positives	42 (39–44)	651	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
False negatives 8 (6–11)		(1)	Moderate ^a
True negatives	207 (166–252)	651	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
False positives	743 (698–784)	(1)	Moderate ^a

CI: confidence interval.

a Most studies showed consistent results.

Single study sensitivity: 0.84 (95% CI: 0.79-0.87)

Single study specificity: 0.22 (95% CI: 0.17-0.27)

	Judgement		Res	earch eviden	се			
		Other criteria to i A study of 189 wom from a hospital outp symptoms and signs confirmation of pelvi	dentify pelvid en clinically dia patient setting in s – tenderness o ic inflammatory	: inflammator ignosed with po n Sweden repor if pelvic organs disease was no	ry disease elvic inflar rted the se on biman ot conduct	e nmatory (ensitivity (jual exam red for the	disease of vario 1. Lapar ese wor	us oscopic nen <i>(2)</i> .
		Table A5.9.	Predictio	n of lapa	roscoj	pically	y	
		diagnosed P	ID: sensit	ivity and	specif	ficity of	of sig	gns
		and sympto	ms, likeli	hood rati	os ani	d port	-tes	t
		probabilities	s (pretest	: probabi	lity =	79%)		
			(%) /		Laparoso diagnoso	copically ed PID	*0	ability
		<u>م</u> ۲	sitivity	cificity	tent 194)	ent 129)	od rati	t prob
		gns an mpton	Sen	Spe	Pres (n=4	Abs (n='	keliho ositive	st-tes
		iš ži	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	No (%)	No (%)	E E	2
		Vaginal discharge	74 (69.99–77.90)	24 (16.95–32.34)	366 (74)	98 (76)	0.98	0.79
		Fever	47 (42.49–51.47)	64 (55.43–72.58)	234 (47)	47 (36)	1.30	0.83
		Vomiting	14 (11.03–17.34)	88 (81.55–93.34)	68 (14)	16 (12)	1.11	0.81
		Menstrual irregularity	45 (40.49–49.45)	57 (48.36–66.03)	223 (45)	56 (43)	1.04	0.80
Test accuracy		Ongoing bleeding	25 (21.24–29.17)	77 (68.49–83.73)	124 (25)	29 (22)	1.12	0.81
		Urinary symptoms	35 (30.81–39.41)	64 (55.43–72.58)	173 (35)	46 (36)	0.98	0.79
		Proctitis symptoms	10 (7.43–12.90)	92 (86.21–96.22)	50 (10)	10 (8)	1.31	0.83
		Tenderness of pelvic organs on bimanual examination	99 (97.65–99.67)	0.007 (<0.001–2.84)	489 (99)	128 (99)	1.00	0.79
		Palpable adnexal mass or swelling	52 (47.52–56.51)	70 (61.06–77.54)	258 (52)	39 (30)	1.73	0.84
		Erythrocyte sedimentation rate ≥15mm in 1 st hour	81 (77.23–84.34)	33 (25.28–42.17)	402 (81)	86 (66)	1.22	0.82
		*Likelihood ratio interp probability), 5-10 and 0 rarely important).	oretation: >10 and 0.1-0.2 (moderate	l <0.1 (large diffe), 2-5 and 0.5-0.2	erence betw ? (small), 1-:	een pretes 2 and 0.05	st and po -1 (smal	ost-test I and
		For detection of chla pain to detect chlam chlamydia using a sy 48.0% (95% Cl: 24.0	amydia only, fou nydia were avai yndromic mana 0–73.0), and po	ir estimates for lable to pool. Th gement approa poled specificity	the accur ne pooled ach (lower is 61.7%	acy of lov sensitivity abdomin (95% CI:	ver abd y for de al pain 41.9–7	lominal tecting) is 78.3).
		For detection of tricl abdominal pain to c sensitivity for detect	homonas only, letect <i>Trichomo</i> ting <i>Trichomona</i>	four estimates nas were avail as using a synd	for the ac able to po romic ma	curacy of ol. The po nagemen	lower ooled t appro	bach
		(lower abdominal pa 60.6% (95% CI: 41.	ain) is 39.7% (9 0–77.4).	15% CI: 19.6–6	5.9), and	pooled sp	pecificit	y IS
		Other infections About half of diagno such as chlamvdia.	osed pelvic infla gonorrhoea or I	ammatory disea <i>M. genitalium</i> i	ase cases	are cause 3). In the	ed by ai remain	n STI iina

such as chlamydia, gonorrhoea or *M. genitalium* infection (*3*). In the remaining cases, a specific cause is unclear, although pelvic inflammatory disease is polymicrobial (*4*). [Sharma 2014] There is evidence linking idiopathic pelvic inflammatory disease to vaginal microbiota dysbiosis, including recent bacterial vaginosis (a dysbiotic condition), and bacterial vaginosis organisms have been detected among women with pelvic inflammatory disease (5–7).

	Judgement	Research evidence
Desirable effects	How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects of syndromic approach? O Trivial O Small • Moderate O Large O Varies O Don't know	Desirable effects Consequences of appropriate treatment (true positive) Immediate treatment of an acute pelvic inflammatory disease may avert adverse consequences such as chronic pelvic pain, ectopic pregnancy and infertility. Consequences of appropriate treatment (true negative) Alternative diagnoses possible Psychological benefit
Undesirable effects	How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects? O Large O Moderate Small O Trivial O Varies O Don't know	Undesirable effects Consequences of missed cases (false negative) Onward transmission of STIs Cost of "wrong" treatment Vulnerability to HIV Pelvic inflammatory disease and its sequelae Loss of confidence in the health system if inappropriately managed Burden of STIs Consequences of unnecessary treatment (false positive) Cost of treatment (side-effects) Potential stigma or relationship strain Antimicrobial resistance (especially <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>) Loss of confidence in the health system if inappropriately managed Delayed management of the true cause of disease When treatment is based on the syndromic approach, most women with pelvic inflammatory disease were identified with pelvic inflammatory disease, and there were few missed cases (8 of 1000 women with abdominal pain) compared with not assessing for pelvic inflammatory disease, although many women were overtreated.
Certainty of the evidence of the Certainty of the evidence effects of management of test accuracy	What is the overall certainty of the evidence of test accuracy? O Very low O Low Moderate O High O No included studies What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects of the management that is guided by the test results? O Very low O Low Moderate O High O No included studies	The evidence for management was based on current WHO recommendations for treating women with pelvic inflammatory disease.

	Judgement	Research evidence
Certainty of effects	What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects of the test? O Very low O Low Moderate O High O No included studies	
Values	Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes? O Important uncertainty or variability O Possibly important uncertainty or variability O Probably no important uncertainty or variability O No important uncertainty or variability	Higher value was placed on missing women with pelvic inflammatory disease based on the consequences of missing treatment for pelvic inflammatory disease (including damage to the reproductive tract). Value (although less) was placed on reducing the risk of onward transmission of STIs. Pelvic inflammatory disease after three years of follow-up: 18% infertility, 0.6% ectopic pregnancy, 29% chronic pelvic inflammatory disease (PEACH study (1))
Balance of effects	 Does the balance between desirable and undesirable effects favour the intervention or the comparison? O Favours the comparison O Probably favours the comparison O Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison O Probably favours the intervention O Probably favours the intervention Favours the intervention Varies O Don't know 	There were few missed cases with a syndromic approach to lower abdominal pain, which was heavily valued. Although many women were treated unnecessarily, little value was placed on the overtreatment due to minimal side-effects. Therefore, assessing for pelvic inflammatory disease and managing syndromically was favoured over no treatment.
Resources required	How large are the resource requirements (costs)? O Large costs O Moderate costs Negligible costs and savings O Moderate savings O Large savings O Varies O Don't know	We did not identify any published cost analysis related to lower abdominal pain syndrome. The average cost of pelvic inflammatory disease = £163 (range £96–960) (8). Average lifetime cost of pelvic inflammatory disease =US\$ 2400 (9). There was little difference in costs between treating all or not treating or assessing for pelvic inflammatory disease, although greater costs if molecular testing was used.

	Judgement	Research evidence
Certainty of evidence of required resources	What is the certainty of the evidence of resource requirements (costs)? O Very low O Low O Moderate O High • No included studies	
Cost-effectiveness	Does the cost- effectiveness of the intervention favour the intervention or the comparison? O Favours the comparison O Probably favours the comparison O Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison O Probably favours the intervention O Favours the intervention O Varies O No included studies	A pharmacist-managed syndromic intervention in Lima, Peru resulted in an estimated cost savings of US\$1.51 per case adequately managed using a societal perspective (10). This was primarily driven by the assumption that pharmacists will prescribe medications that are more effective and less costly compared with pharmacies in the control districts. However, this study did not truly have a societal perspective, only considering the medication cost but no other societal costs (includes women with vaginal discharge, lower abdominal pain – data not disaggregated for pelvic inflammatory disease syndrome). The Guideline Development Group agreed that, based on cost–effectiveness, assessing for pelvic inflammatory disease and managing syndromically is favoured rather than no assessment, treating all or molecular testing.
Equity	What would be the impact on health equity? O Reduced O Probably reduced Probably no impact O Probably increased O Increased O Varies O Don't know	We identified no studies.
Acceptability	Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders? O No O Probably no O Probably yes O Yes O Varies O Don't know	Clinicians We found poor provider adherence to recommended guidelines for diagnosing pelvic inflammatory disease. For example, only 70% of women attending STI clinics in the United States of America (2010–2011) who were diagnosed as having pelvic inflammatory disease met the criteria for pelvic inflammatory disease in accordance with the guidelines of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (11). Patients We did not find any studies discussing the acceptability of syndromic management of lower abdominal pain.

	Judgement	Research evidence
Feasibility	Is the intervention feasible to implement? O No O Probably no O Probably yes • Yes O Varies O Don't know	A randomized controlled trial of the feasibility and acceptability for pharmacy workers to recognize and manage STI syndromes was conducted in Lima, Peru (12). Standardized simulated patients visited the pharmacies in the control and intervention districts and found that pharmacy workers in the intervention districts were significantly better at recognizing and managing the STI syndromes (including pelvic inflammatory disease) – adequate for 61% of pharmacies in the intervention arm versus 19% in the control arm for pelvic inflammatory disease. However, the syndromic approach relies on the patient recognizing the symptoms (to seek consultation with a health-care provider) and the skill of the health-care provider in adequately managing a woman with lower abdominal pain. Pelvic inflammatory disease diagnosis such as laparoscopy, ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging – not available in primary or secondary health care in recognize provider settinge.

XM

Summary of judgements

	Judgement						
Problem	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
Test accuracy	Very inaccurate	Inaccurate	Accurate	Very accurate		Varies	Don't know
Desirable effects	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
Undesirable effects	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
Certainty of the evidence of test accuracy	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Certainty of the evidence of the effects of management	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Certainty of effects	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	No important uncertainty or variability			
Balance of effects	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	Don't know
Resources required	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Cost– effectiveness	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	No included studies
Equity	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
Acceptability	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
Feasibility	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Conclusions

Should the current WHO syndromic management be recommended versus laboratory diagnosis, no treatment or treat all to identify pelvic inflammatory disease caused by STIs among women with lower abdominal pain?

Type of	0	0	0	0	•			
recommendation	Strong	Conditional	Conditional	Conditional	Strong			
	against the	against the	either the intervention	for the	for the intervention			
	intervention	intervention	or the comparison intervention					
Recommendation	Recommendatio	ns for manageme	nt of women with low	ver abdominal pai	n			
	For sexually active inflammatory dise	For sexually active women with symptom of lower abdominal pain, we suggest assessing for pelvic inflammatory disease and treating syndromically.						
	Good practice incl	Good practice includes:						
	 taking a medica 	al and sexual histor	y and assessing the risk	of STIs;				
	 performing a physical examination, including abdominal and pelvic examination, to assess for pelvic inflammatory disease, surgical conditions or pregnancy and vulvovaginal examination to visualize any lesions, overt genital discharge, vulval erythema and excoriations; 							
	 performing a bimanual digital examination of the vagina to (1) assess for cervical motion tenderness or pain with palpation of the pelvic area to exclude pelvic inflammatory disease; and (2) assess for the presence of vaginal discharge and the colour and consistency of the discharge on the glove; and 							
	 offering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other guidelines. 							
	For sexually active clinical examination	women with lowe on (bimanual palpa	r abdominal pain with ei tion):	ther of the following	ng features on			
	 cervical motion 	tenderness; or						
	 lower abdomin 	al tenderness:						
	We suggest the fo	llowing.						
	1. Treat for pelvic	inflammatory disea	se on the same visit.					
	2. Test for infection support partner	n with <i>N. gonorrho</i> management whe	<i>eae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis a</i> n tests are available.	and, if available, <i>M</i> .	<i>genitalium</i> , to			
	 Schedule follow woman has not 	v-up assessment in t improved, refer fo	three days to assess for r further assessment.	clinical improveme	nt, and if the			
	For women with lo referral to surgical	ower abdominal pa l or gynaecological	in with any of the follow assessment:	ing conditions, goo	d practice includes			
	missed or over	due period;						
	 recent delivery, 	abortion or miscar	riage;					
	 abdominal gua 	rding and/or rebou	nd tenderness;					
	 abnormal vagir 	al bleeding in exce	ss of spotting;					
	 abdominal mas 	s; and						
	detection of a s	suspected cervical le	esion.					
Justification	Managing people in moderate bene approach would b settings it may inc	presenting with low fits and little harm of e feasible and acce rrease equity) and in	ver abdominal pain base compared with treating a ptable and would not ne ncur negligible costs.	ed on a syndromic a all or no treatment. egatively affect equ	approach results The syndromic ity (in some			

Fig. A5.1. Current WHO syndromic management guidelines for lower abdominal pain



X

References

- Peipert JF, Ness RB, Blume J, Soper DE, Holley R, Randall H et al. Clinical predictors of endometritis in women with symptoms and signs of pelvic inflammatory disease. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2001;184:856–63.
- 2. Eggert J, Sundquist K, van Vuuren C, Fianu-Jonasson A. The clinical diagnosis of pelvic inflammatory disease reuse of electronic medical record data from 189 patients visiting a Swedish university hospital emergency department. BMC Women's Health. 2006;6:16.
- 3. Goller JL, De Livera AM, Fairley CK, Guy RJ, Bradshaw CS, Chen MY et al. Population attributable fraction of pelvic inflammatory disease associated with chlamydia and gonorrhoea: a cross-sectional analysis of Australian sexual health clinic data. Sex Transm Infect. 2016;92:525–31.
- 4. Sharma H, Tal R, Clark NA, Segars JH. Microbiota and pelvic inflammatory disease. Semin Reprod Med. 2014;32:43–9.
- Wang Y, Zhang Y, Zhang Q, Chen H, Feng Y. Characterization of pelvic and cervical microbiotas from patients with pelvic inflammatory disease. J Med Microbiol. 2018;67:1519–26.
- 6. Ness RB, Hillier SL, Kip KE, Soper DE, Stamm CA, McGregor JA et al. Bacterial vaginosis and risk of pelvic inflammatory disease. Obstet Gynecol. 2004;104:761–9.
- Ness RB, Kip KE, Hillier SL, Soper DE, Stamm CA, Sweet RL et al. A cluster analysis of bacterial vaginosis–associated microflora and pelvic inflammatory disease. Am J Epidemiol. 2005;162:585–90.
- 8. Aghaizu A, Adams EJ, Turner K, Kerry S, Hay P, Simms I et al. What is the cost of pelvic inflammatory disease and how much could be prevented by screening for *Chlamydia trachomatis*? Cost analysis of the Prevention of Pelvic Infection (POPI) trial. Sex Transm Infect. 2011;87:312–7.
- 9. Yeh JM, Hook EW 3rd, Goldie SJ. A refined estimate of the average lifetime cost of pelvic inflammatory disease. Sex Transm Dis. 2003;30:369–78.
- 10. Adams EJ, Garcia PJ, Garnett GP, Edmunds WJ, Holmes KK. The cost–effectiveness of syndromic management in pharmacies in Lima, Peru. Sex Transm Dis. 2003;30:379–87.
- Llata E, Bernstein KT, Kerani RP, Pathela P, Schwebke JR, Schumacher C et al. Management of pelvic inflammatory disease in selected U.S. sexually transmitted disease clinics: Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance Network, January 2010–December 2011. Sex Transm Dis. 2015;42:429–33.
- Garcia P, Hughes J, Carcamo C, Holmes KK. Training pharmacy workers in recognition, management and prevention of, STDs: district-randomized controlled trial. Bull World Health Organ. 2003;81:806–14.

- Wilkinson D, Sturm AW. Value of clinical algorithms to screen for gonococcal and chlamydial infection among women attending antenatal and family planning clinics. S Afr Med J. 1998;88(7 Suppl. 1):900–5.
- Alary M, Laga M, Vuylsteke B, Nzila N, Piot P. Signs and symptoms of prevalent and incident cases of gonorrhea and genital chlamydial infection among female prostitutes in Kinshasa, Zaire. Clin Infect Dis. 1996;22:477–84.
- Meda N, Sangaré L, Lankoandé S, Sanou PT, Compaoré PI, Catraye J et al. Pattern of sexually transmitted diseases among pregnant women in Burkina Faso, west Africa: potential for a clinical management based on simple approaches. Genitourin Med. 1997;73:188–93.
- Piper JM, Korte JE, Holden AE, Shain RN, Perdue S, Champion JD, Newton ER. Development of composite symptom variables for quantitative analysis of genitourinary symptomatology in women. Int J STD AIDS. 2005;16:128–32.
- Vallely LM, Toliman P, Ryan C, Rai G, Wapling J, Gabuzzi J et al. Performance of syndromic management for the detection and treatment of genital *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Trichomonas vaginalis* among women attending antenatal, well woman and sexual health clinics in Papua New Guinea: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2017;7:e018630.
- Wiesenfeld HC, Hillier SL, Krohn MA, Amortegui AJ, Heine RP, Landers DV et al. Lower genital tract infection and endometritis: insight into subclinical pelvic inflammatory disease. Obstet Gynecol. 2002;100:456–63.
- 19. Woods JL, Scurlock AM, Hensel DJ. Pelvic inflammatory disease in the adolescent: understanding diagnosis and treatment as a health care provider. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2013;29:720–5.
- 20. Cohen CR, Manhart LE, Bukusi EA, Astete S, Brunham RC, Holmes KK et al. Association between *Mycoplasma genitalium* and acute endometritis. Lancet. 2002;359:765–6.
- 21. Grio R, Latino MA, Leotta E, Smirne C, Lanza A, Spagnolo E et al. Sexually transmitted diseases and pelvic inflammatory disease. Minerva Ginecolog. 2004;56:141–7.

	me
ł	Jdro
	I Syl
ľ	pair
1	3
ł	nn
	0
1	00
1	3
	Š
	б
1	_
	10
1	S
	5
	any
1	ō
1	tion
	S
	ž
	ă
	•
	E E
	Ð
1	Ö
	g

True negative	170	163	329	254	113	332	306	67
False positive	48	5	217	113	20	106	154	173
False negative	38	18	125	22	279	267	108	36
True positive	13	m	100	œ	106	60	46	109
Pathogen, diagnostic	<i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> <i>C. trachomatis</i> = direct immunofluorescence <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> = culture	<i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> <i>C. trachomatis</i> = direct immunofluorescence <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> = culture	<i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> <i>C. trachomatis</i> = enzyme immunoassay <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> = culture	C. trachomatis and N. gonorrhoeae C. trachomatis = enzyme immunoassay N. gonorrhoeae = culture	<i>C. trachomatis</i> , and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>T. vaginalis</i> <i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> = NAAT (GenProbe) <i>T. vaginalis</i> = culture	C. trachomatis, N. gonorrhoeae and T. vaginalis NAAT	C. trachomatis, N. gonorrhoeae and T. vaginalis NAAT	C. trachomatis, N. gonorrhoeae and T. vaginalis NAAT
How a positive case is defined	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptoms only
Sub- population	100% pregnant women	100% women	100% female sex workers	100% pregnant women	100% ethnic minority women	100% pregnant women	100% women	100% women
Where recruited	Antenatal clinic	Family planning clinic	Unclear	Antenatal care	Public health clinic	Antenatal clinic	Well- woman clinic	Sexual health clinic
Sample size	268	190	771	397	518	765	614	385
Country income level	Upper middle	Upper middle	Low	Low	High	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle
Country	South Africa	South Africa	Zaire	Burkina Faso	United States	Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea
Year of study	1998	1998	1988– 1991	1994	Unclear	2011– 2015	2011– 2015	2011– 2015
Algorithm	Wilkinson & Sturm (13)	Wilkinson & Sturm (13)	Alary et al. (14)	Meda et al. (15)	Piper et al. (16)	Vallely et al. <i>(17)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(17)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(17)</i>

œ۵	
syndrom	
pain	
ominal	
wer abc	
tor lo	
gonorrhoea [.]	
tion of	
.2. Detec	
Table A5.	

e True itive negative	329	27	505	380	86	53
alse Fals egative posi	6 57	86	4 151	4 185	7 236	49
True F. positive n	15 2	19	15 9	15 3	46	6
Diagnostic	Culture	Unclear	PCR	PCR	PCR	PCR
How a positive case is defined	Subclinical pelvic inflammatory disease (endometrial biopsy)	Pelvic inflammatory disease diagnosis according to ICD criteria (symptoms + examination)	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Endometritis (endometrial biopsy)
Subpopulation	Excluded acute pelvic inflammatory disease	100% diagnosed with pelvic inflammatory disease	100% pregnant women	100% women	100% women	100% had pelvic pain (14 days or less)
Where recruited	Hospital, sexual health clinic, ambulatory care sites	General practice, emergency department	Antenatal clinic	Well-woman clinic	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic
Sample size	427	150	765	614	385	115
Country income level	High	High	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle
Country	United States	United States	Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea	Kenya
Year of study	1998– 2000	2013	2011– 2015	2011– 2015	2011– 2015	Unclear
Study	Wiesenfeld et al. (18)	Woods et al <i>(19)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(1 7)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(1 7)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(1 7)</i>	Cohen et al. <i>(20)</i>

Y-A

THAT

×

YCHUHUH

* THE

LANA A

	d)
	E
	2
	8
	2
	_
	Ξ.
	3
	D
	פ
1	
	Ξ
	0
	9
	σ
	<u> </u>
	e e
	\leq
	_
	0
	-
	3
	8
	Ξ
	5
1	
	3
	÷
	Ö
	0
13	
	C
	<u>e</u>
	G
6	
(n.
L	с.
	പ
	Ē
	H
ł	

True negative	286	19	454	387	87	55	3434
False positive	46	86	136	181	220	54	1505
False negative	44	14	145	27	16	2	38
True positive	27	31	30	19	62	4	49
Diagnostic	PCR	Unclear	PCR	PCR	PCR		LCR using Abbot LCx system
How a positive case is defined	Subclinical pelvic inflammatory disease (endometrial biopsy)	Pelvic inflammatory disease diagnosis according to ICD criteria (symptoms + examination)	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Pelvic inflammatory disease (endometrial biopsy)	Symptomatic for pelvic inflammatory disease
Subpopulation	Excluded acute pelvic inflammatory disease	100% diagnosed with pelvic inflammatory disease	100% pregnant women	100% women	1 00% women	100% had pelvic pain (14 days or less)	
Where recruited	Hospital, sexual health clinic, ambulatory care sites	General practice, emergency department	Antenatal clinic	Well-woman clinic	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic	Hospital
Sample size	403	150	765	614	385	115	5026
Country income level	High	High	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	High
Country	United States	United States	Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea	Kenya	Italy
Year of study	1998– 2000	2013	2011– 2015	2011– 2015	2011– 2015	Unclear	1997– 2001
Study	Wiesenfeld et al. (18)	Woods et al. <i>(19)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(1 7)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(1 7)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(17)</i>	Cohen et al. <i>(20)</i>	Grio et al. (21)

	d)
	Ĕ
1	
	Ă
	S
1	alt
1	2
	na
1	Ξ
÷	80
1	ab
	Ъ
	N
1	Ž
	2
5	SIS
1	ğ
	O
	E
1	č
1	Ē
	5
3	
	<u>e</u>
	Ū.
	÷
L	ט. י
	E E
	Ĩ
ł	0

()					
True negative	319	457	346	89	3774
False positive	60	137	176	242	1697
False negative	35	142	68	14	22
True positive	14	29	24	40	23
Diagnostic	Culture				LCR using Abbot LCx system
How a positive case is defined	Subclinical pelvic inflammatory disease (endometrial biopsy)	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Symptomatic for pelvic inflammatory disease
Subpopulation	Excluded acute pelvic inflammatory disease Women 15–30 years old	100% pregnant women	100% women	100% women	100% women
Where recruited	Hospital, sexual health clinic, ambulatory care sites	Antenatal clinic	Well-woman clinic	Sexual health clinic	Hospital
Sample size	428	765	614	385	5516
Country income level	High	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	High
Country	United States	Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea	Italy
Year of study	1998– 2000	2011– 2015	2011– 2015	2011– 2015	1997 <i>-</i> 2001
Study	Wiesenfeld et al. (18)	Vallely et al. <i>(17)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(1 7)</i>	Vallely et al. <i>(1 7)</i>	Grio et al. (21)

ACHONICH

X

Y.

ACHOHOMON .

ANNEX 6. EVIDENCE-TO-DECISION TABLE: GENITAL ULCER DISEASE

Should current WHO syndromic management be recommended versus laboratory diagnosis, no treatment or treat all to identify sexually transmitted infections among people with anogenital ulcers?

Population:

Individuals presenting with anogenital ulcers

Intervention and comparator:

Intervention: syndromic management approach versus comparison: laboratory diagnosis (or no treatment or treat all)

Purpose of the approach:

To identify individuals for treatment of STIs

Linked treatments:

Treatments for infections caused by genital herpes simplex virus, *Treponema pallidum* (syphilis), lymphogranuloma venereum and *Hemophilus ducreyi* (chancroid)

Anticipated outcomes:

Number of people identified correctly as having or not having STI; number of people identified incorrectly as having or not having STI; consequences of appropriate or inappropriate treatment; patient and provider acceptability; and feasibility, equity and resource use

Setting: Outpatient

Perspective: Population level

Subgroups:

High- or low-prevalence settings; settings with limited versus established laboratory capacity

Background:

Syndromic management refers to a strategy for identifying and treating STIs based on specific syndromes (symptoms identified by a patient) and signs (clinically observed signs of infection) associated with clearly defined causes. Although etiological diagnosis is preferred, it is not always accessible or affordable.

Fig. A6.1 provides clinical guidelines for the syndromic management of genital ulcer syndrome in the 2003 guidelines for the management of sexually transmitted infections (1).

The Guideline Development Group agreed to update this approach for anogenital ulcers; ulcers are a break in the skin or mucosa and may present as ulcers, sores, or vesicles. Genital ulcers refer to those located on the genital or anorectal areas and may be painful or painless.

Assessment

	Judgement	Research evidence	Additional considerations
Problem	Is the problem a priority? O No O Probably no O Probably yes O Yes O Varies O Don't know	STIs are important because of their magnitude, potential complications and increased risk of HIV. STIs have health, social and economic consequences. The consequences of STIs (such as HSV and syphilis) disproportionately affect women and newborn children. For example, women acquiring primary HSV in the third trimester of pregnancy may result in congenital herpes, leading to neurocognitive problems, developmental delays or death of infants. Congenital syphilis can also cause serious morbidity or death among infants. Presentation of genital ulcer disease is a major challenge for clinicians to distinguish STI-related versus non-STI-related causes. Many individuals keep having sex even in the presence of a genital ulcer. It has been proposed that timely diagnosis of STIs could	
		reduce HIV incidence.	
		Molecular based tests enable etiological diagnosis to guide appropriate treatment (such as multiplex PCR test for HSV and syphilis) but are expensive and not available in many settings.	
est accuracy	How accurate is the test? O Very inaccurate Inaccurate O Accurate O Very accurate O Varies O Don't know	 We conducted a systematic review (2–4), searching up to September 2019, of the sensitivity and specificity of a syndromic management approach to identify multiple STIs related to anogenital ulcers. In summary, we identified four articles that assessed the diagnostic accuracy of the clinical diagnosis of a pathogen causing genital ulcer disease to detect any STI (Table A6.1), 15 studies for herpes (Table A6.2), 15 studies for syphilis (Table A6.3) and 13 studies for chancroid (Table A6.4). We found no studies on detecting lymphogranuloma venereum. For detecting herpes from a clinical diagnosis of herpes, 15 studies provided 20 estimates for pooling. The pooled sensitivity for detecting herpes using a syndromic management approach is 40.4% (95% CI: 23.0–60.6%), and pooled specificity is 88.0% (95% CI: 75.3–94.6%). For detection of syphilis using clinical diagnosis of syphilis among individuals with genital ulcer disease, 15 studies provided 22 estimates for pooling. The pooled sensitivity for detecting syphilis is 64.4% (95% CI: 44.8–80.2%) and pooled specificity as 8.0% (95% CI: 67.0–92.9%). 	
Te		The global distribution, incidence and prevalence of causal agents of genital ulcer disease varies widely by geographical region and population subgroup. This is important, since the positive and negative predictive values depend on the prevalence of pathogens. Other considerations related to the accuracy of tests We found that the accuracy of the syndromic approach depends	
		on clinician skill and experience, clinical setting (STI centre versus primary care) and patient characteristics (membership of subpopulation(s)). The positive and negative predictive values may be worse among	
		non-STI clinic attendees (because of lower prevalence of STIs among "general populations") (24).	
		people living with HIV and those without HIV (12).	

	Judgement		Research evidence				
effects	How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects of syndromic approach?Desirable effects and undesirable effectsO TrivialThe potential consequences of true positive could include appropriate treatment, cure, side-effects, partner notification, reduced transmission of STIs and HIV, resistance, couple difficulties and costs. The potential consequences of true negative could include alternative diagnoses possible and psychological benefit. The potential consequences of false negative could include cure still possible, persistent symptoms, complications, STI and/or HIV transmission, no counselling and no partner notification.O Large O Varies O Don't knowThe potential consequences of false positive could include inappropriate treatment, side-effects, antimicrobial resistance, couple difficulties and costs.GRADE summary of findings table for clinical diagnosis of STIs, we calculated the number of people appropriately treated (true positive), the number of missed cases (false negative) and the number of people treated unnecessarily or overtreated (false positive).					The Guideline Development Group agreed that the desirable effects of syndromic management (few unnecessarily treated) were small compared with treating all. The Guideline Development Group also agreed that the undesirable effects (number of missed cases)	
esirable	Treatment of peo	ple with HSV bas	sed on a	clinical o	diagnosis		were moderate compared with
Δ	Pooled sensitivity: 0. Test result	40 (95% CI: 0.23 to 0.6 Number of re patients tes Prevalence 30%	1) esults per ted (95% Prevaler	Pooled sp 100 CI) nce 70%	Number of participants (studies)	Certainty of the Evidence	particular for syphilis (due to the consequences of transmission).
	True positives	Typically seen in 12 (7 to 18)	Typically seen in		(studies)		Overtreatment may be
	False negatives	18 (12 to 23)	42 (28 to 54)		(15)	High ^a	acceptable if high morbidity
	True negatives	62 (53 to 66)	26 (23	to 28)	2667	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$	and mortality
	False positives	8 (4 to 17)	4 (2	to 7)	(15)	Highª	cases requires
	CI: Confidence inter Explanations ^a Some heterogeneit	val y but confidence ir	ntervals n	ot wide.			controlling the STI in the population (such as syphilis).
	GRADE summary of findings table for clinical diagnosis and syphilis Treatment of people with syphilis based on a clinical diagnosis						
	Pooled sensitivity: 0.	64 (95% CI: 0.45 to 0.8	0)	Pooled s	pecificity: 0.84 (95% Cli	: 0.67 to 0.93)	potential for STI-related
	Number of results per 100 patients tested (95% CI) Number of participants Certainty of the Evidence Prevalence 5% Typically seen in Prevalence 10% Typically seen in (studies) (GRADE)					stigma due to low specificity of syndromic management.	
	True positives	3 (2 to 4)	6 (4	to 8)	2667	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$	Underdiagnosis
	False negatives	2 (1 to 3)	4 (2	to 6)	(15)	Moderate ^a	of herpes may not be a problem
	True negatives	80 (64 to 88)	75 (60	to 84)	2667	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$	(except for pregnant women)
False positives 15 (7 to 31) 15 (6 to 30) (15) Moderate ^a CI: Confidence interval Explanations a						since adequate treatment with antiviral agents may not be easily accessible or too expensive in resource-limited settings.	



	Judgement	Research evidence	Additional considerations
Certainty of effects	What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects of the test? O Very low O Low Moderate O High O No included studies		
Values	Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes? O Important uncertainty or variability O Possibly important uncertainty or variability Probably no important uncertainty or variability O No important uncertainty or variability	The Guideline Development Group placed greater value on not missing cases than on unnecessary treatment.	
Balance of effects	Does the balance between desirable and undesirable effects favour the intervention or the comparison? • Favours the comparison • Probably favours the comparison • Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison • Probably favours the intervention • Probably favours the intervention • Favours the intervention • Varies • Don't know	Treating all was favoured since there were no missed cases in people presenting with ulcers, and there was little value placed on unnecessarily treating people.	

THAT HANNON

AND

THO

	Judgement	Research evidence					со	Additional Insiderations	
Resources required	How large are the resource requirements (costs)? O Large costs O Moderate costs Negligible costs and savings O Moderate savings O Large savings O Large savings O Varies O Don't know	Need for better training for nurses working in primary health care settings in Botswana <i>(28)</i> . Etiological diagnosis requires training, infrastructure, time and money.							
l resources	What is the certainty of the evidence of resource requirements (costs)2	Korenromp (29 STI or syndrome) reported the unit cost of Treatment dose Dru per day per dos		Drugs, per dose	tment. Treatment duration (days)	Drugs p treatme	er ent	Drugs + service delivery
required	O Very low O Low	Herpes Syphilis	Acyclovir 400 Benzathine PC	mg N	3 1	7	US\$ 0.04 US\$ 0.44	1 1	US\$ 11.05 US\$ 11.65
lence of I	 Moderate High No included studies 	Chancroid	2.4 M Azithromycin 500 mg		2	1	US\$ 0.38	3	US\$ 10.95
/ of evi		STI	I	Tas		Cost	Service		Total
tainty				ics			delivery		
ů		Syphilis, nerpes, chancroid Syphilis		mP0 Rap	LR id test	?? US\$ 0.50	?? US\$ 3.00		US\$ 3.50
Cost-effectiveness	Does the cost- effectiveness of the intervention favour the intervention or the comparison? • Favours the comparison • Probably favours the comparison • Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison • Probably favours the intervention • Probably favours the intervention • Favours the intervention • Varies • No included studies	SymmsNapid test053 0.00053 0.00Overall, the Guideline Development Group agreed that, although there are few differences between the costs of treating all, not treating and syndromic management, the costs of more cases missed with syndromic management made treating all the more cost-effective.Adams et al. (30) examined the cost-effectiveness of syndromic management (including genital ulcer disease) in pharmacies in Lima, Peru. They reported an overall cost saving of US\$ 1.51 per adequately managed case, from a societal perspective.The mean cost per syphilis treated for syndromic management of genital ulcer disease cases in China was US\$ 13.54 in 2003 (6).Cost-effectiveness analysis in Cambodia: cost per genital ulcer disease case = US\$ 43.21 (USD, 2002) for men from the general population, US\$ 43.56 for women from the general population and US\$ 44.05 for female sex workers.US\$ 10.15 per syndrome treated in the United Republic of Tanzania (in 1993) – no disaggregated data for genital ulcer disease (31).The average cost per STI treated in a primary care setting in the Central African Republic (including 7% with genital ulcer disease) was US\$ 3.90 (in 1993) (32).China, Taiwan: US\$ 14.30 (in 2005) for the cost of correctly treating synhilis using a syndromic approach versus US\$ 21.58 for an etiological approach (33). The authors conclude that, in Taiwan, China, syndromic management was more cost-effective than etiological diagnosis in terms of cost per person with STI treated (health-care							

	Judgement	Research evidence	Additional considerations
Cost-effectiveness		A modelling study to evaluate the incremental cost–effectiveness ratio of the WHO 2003 genital ulcer disease algorithm versus the 1994 genital ulcer disease algorithm reports that the incremental cost–effectiveness ratio for treating HSV-2 ranged from US\$ 0.50 to US\$ 8.50 depending on the prevalence of genital ulcer disease causes (<i>Haemophilus ducreyi</i> , true positive, HSV-2) (<i>34</i>). Syndromic management is likely to be cost-saving in rural South Africa, considering its potential impact on reducing HIV incidence (<i>35</i>). In Côte d'Ivoire, the mean drug cost per cure = US\$ 4.50 (in 1994) and mean direct cost per cure = US\$ 4.90 (<i>36</i>).	
Equity	What would be the impact on health equity? O Reduced O Probably reduced Probably no impact O Probably increased O Increased O Varies O Don't know	The cost of antiviral agents might be prohibitive for some people or in some settings. The cost of STI management – including consultation, drugs and tests – might also be prohibitively high for some subpopulations. Partner notification processes in resource-limited settings are poorly described and largely non-existent.	Diagnostic test for ulcers (such as M-PCR) are costly, technically sophisticated, time-consuming and thus rarely affordable, available or accessible in resource-limited settings. If a diagnostic is used, the patient might need to return to discuss the results.
Acceptability	Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders? O No O Probably no Probably yes O Yes O Varies O Don't know	Clinicians Pharmacy and clinicians offered syndromic management in Peru – community randomized controlled trial (37). More than 90% of 100 clinicians from Pakistan were willing to attend educational sessions and follow the national STI treatment protocols (38). Concerns about how general practitioners treat people with genital ulcer disease in Namibia (39). Difficulties in providing syndromic STI management noted among health-care providers (doctors and midwives) in Karachi (40). Patients 83% of patients in the United Republic of Tanzania reported satisfaction with STI services using syndromic management (41). For algorithms that required follow-up (such as that in Rwanda) (42), 50% failed to return for follow-up.	May be difficulties from health-care providers in communicating or discussing sensitive issues related to sex. Symptomatic patients may not disclose their symptoms for a variety of reasons (fear of stigma, lack of access, etc) Immediate relief of symptoms may be preferred rather than waiting for test results

THEFT

THEFT

ANDY

	Judgement	Research evidence	Additional considerations
Feasibility	Is the intervention feasible to implement? O No O Probably no Probably yes O Yes O Varies O Don't know	Etiological diagnosis requires skilled personnel and sophisticated equipment and is expensive and time-consuming. Successful use of syndromic management Syndromic management for genital ulcer disease has been implemented in many resource-limited settings with variable success: Ethiopia (<i>43</i> ,44), Kenya (24,45), Malawi (46,47), Peru (23), United Republic of Tanzania (<i>48</i>), Peru (<i>49</i>), India (50–53), United Republic of Tanzania (<i>48</i>), Peru (<i>49</i>), India (50–53), United Republic of Tanzania (<i>41</i>), Zambia (<i>22</i>), Namibia (<i>39</i>), China (<i>6</i>), Malawi (<i>54</i>), Zimbabwe (<i>55</i>), Karachi (<i>40</i>), South Africa (<i>25</i> ,56–59), Bangladesh (<i>53</i>), Burkina Faso (<i>54</i>), Brazil (<i>60</i>), Central African Republic (<i>32</i>), Rwanda (<i>42</i>), Côte d'Ivoire (<i>36</i>), Swaziland (<i>61</i>), Gambia (<i>62</i>) and Mozambique (<i>63</i>).	Syndromic management often provided at primary care level (including pharmacies) in low- and middle- income countries without clinical examination. A syndromic algorithm may
		Standardized simulated patients visited pharmacies in the United Republic of Tanzania but found challenges for pharmacies to adequately manage genital ulcer disease syndromes (48). Pharmacy staff in Gambia were willing to offer syndromic management, but none of the simulated patients with genital ulcer disease would be treated appropriately (64). Rural clinics in South Africa – only 9% were correctly managed using a syndromic management approach (no disaggregated data for ganital ulcer disease) (65)	be preferable to nothing, enabling health-care providers to make a diagnosis rapidly without special skills or sophisticated laboratory
		A survey of 43 doctors working in South Africa found that 23% had correct knowledge about managing genital ulcer disease (66). Only 9% of patients in South Africa received comprehensive syndromic management (67). None of the 50 general practitioners interviewed in Namibia could manage genital ulcer disease properly according to the syndromic	If syndromic management is to be scaled up, it is essential that adequate training and supervision is
		management guidelines (<i>39</i>). Interviews with health-care workers from 240 health-care facilities in six countries in western Africa found suboptimal STI management, with effective treatment given to only 14% of the patients (<i>68</i>). Community pharmacies see many potential STIs, but none of the 85 head pharmacists from South Africa correctly identified the treatment for genital ulcers (<i>69</i>). Nurses in Rwanda could deliver STI syndromic management in	Ongoing need for regular updating of syndromic management protocols in accordance with changing trends of STIs.
		 Country towns (42). Syndromic management protocol followed for 70% of genital ulcer disease cases presenting to Male Health Clinic in India (52). Interviews with 120 GPs and 244 occupational health nurses working in the private sector in South Africa in 1997 (59): 14% of GPs reported effective treatment for genital ulcer disease. Training A mixed-methods study of 250 clinicians in Ethiopia, including the use of mystery patients, found that only 13% were trained in the syndromic management of STIs (70), highlighting the need for training and supervision. Sixteen nurses from primary health centres in Nigeria were trained to manage STIs using a syndromic approach, demonstrating its acceptability and feasibility (62). Doctors and paramedics in India were successfully trained for syndromic case management (71). Surveillance of STIs Change in the causes of genital ulcer disease over time in Malawi 	Need ongoing evaluation of the quality of the services offering syndromic management, such as adherence to the algorithms. Intermittent etiological diagnosis to track changes in the underlying epidemiology of STIs causing the syndromes and thus whether the antibiotics prescribed need
		(1992–1999) <i>(52)</i> .	to be changed.

TH
Summary of judgements

TATA

THOM

74

10

				Judgement	Judgement					
Problem	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			
Test accuracy	Very inaccurate	Inaccurate	Accurate	Very accurate		Varies	Don't know			
Desirable effects	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know			
Undesirable effects	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know			
Certainty of the evidence of test accuracy	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies			
Certainty of the evidence of the effects of management	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies			
Certainty of effects	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies			
	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	No important uncertainty or variability						
Balance of effects	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	Don't know			
Resources required	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know			
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies			
Cost– effectiveness	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	No included studies			
Equity	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know			
Acceptability	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			
Feasibility	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know			

 $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}$

Conclusions

Should current WHO syndromic management be recommended versus laboratory diagnosis, no treatment or treat all to identify sexually transmitted infections among people with anogenital ulcers?

Type of	•	0	0	0	0					
recommendation	Strong recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation against the	Conditional recommendation for either the intervention	Conditional recommendation for the	Strong recommendation for the intervention					
		Intervention								
Draft	Recommendatio	ns for manageme	nt of genital ulcer dis	ease, including an	orectal ulcers					
	based on quality-a molecular tests or on the same day o	esent with genital i assured molecular a laboratory capacity if the visit.	ssays of the ulcer. Howe , we recommend syndro	al ulcers), we recon ver, in settings with mic treatment to en	nmend treatment I limited or no nsure treatment					
	Good practice incl	udes:								
	 taking a medica 	a medical and sexual history and assessing the risk of STIs;								
	 performing a pl 	ming a physical examination of the genital and anal areas;								
	 offering HIV an guidelines; and 	ering HIV and syphilis testing and other preventive services as recommended in other idelines; and								
	 providing analg 	jesics for pain.								
	Settings with qual management syste	ity-assured molecul em and results avai	lar testing in a laborator lable on the same day of	y with a fully opera f the visit	tional quality					
	For people with co	onfirmed anogenita	ulcers, we recommend	to:						
	1. Perform molecu virus and <i>Trepo</i>	ılar assays (NAAT) f <i>nema pallidum</i> (syp	rom anogenital lesions t philis).	to confirm or excluc	le herpes simplex					
	2. Perform molecu geographical se	llar assays from and attings and/or popu	ogenital lesions to confir lations where cases are	m lymphogranulom reported or emergin	na venereum in ng.					
	3. Perform serolog depending on t	jical tests for syphil he test or tests use	is, with appropriate inte d.	rpretation for mana	gement					
	 Treat for syphili day of the visit available. 	Treat for syphilis and/or herpes simplex virus according to the results available on the same day of the visit or treat syndromically and revise management according to the results when available.								
	5. Treat for lymph	ogranuloma venere	um when the results are	e positive.						
	6. Treat for chance	oid only in geograp	bhical settings where cas	ses are reported or	emerging.					

Draft recommendation	Settings in which same-day treatment is not feasible with molecular testing or with limited or no molecular testing
	For people with confirmed anogenital ulcers, WHO suggests the following.
	1. Treat syndromically for syphilis and herpes simplex virus on the same day.
	Treat for herpes simplex virus if the ulcer is recurrent or vesicular, and treat for syphilis if the person has no history of recent treatment for syphilis (in the past three months).
	3. Treat for chancroid only in geographical settings where cases are reported or emerging.
	Good practice includes.
	 Performing serological tests for syphilis, including an RPR-equivalent test, if available, to attempt to identify active syphilis and for monitoring the response to treatment.
	Referring men with persistent anogenital ulcers to a centre with laboratory capacity and expertise to diagnose herpes or less common pathogens (lymphogranuloma venereum, donovanosis and chancroid) and other genital or gastrointestinal conditions.
	Remarks
	Genital ulcer disease refers to breaks in the skin or mucosa and may present as ulcers, sores or vesicles. Anogenital ulcers refer to those located on the genital or anal areas and may be painful or painless.
	A negative serological test for syphilis when anogenital ulcers have been present for less than three weeks does not definitively exclude syphilis, since antibodies may not yet be present to be detected by a serological test for syphilis. See WHO guidance on interpreting syphilis tests (see subsection 10.2).
Justification	Managing people presenting with anogenital ulcers based on a syndromic approach results in small benefits and moderate harms compared to molecular testing or treating all. Molecular testing may not be feasible in all settings and alternatively treating all would be feasible and the costs would be negligible. Treating all or conducting molecular testing would be acceptable to all and would not have a negative impact on equity (in some settings it may increase equity).

Fig. A6.1. Current WHO syndromic approach to management of genital ulcers



Y THAT

References

Y MY

74

- 1. Guidelines for the management of sexually transmitted infections. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003 (https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/sti/pub6/en, accessed 31 March 2021).
- 2. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, editors. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1. Cochrane; 2021 (https://training.cochrane.org/handbook, accessed 31 March 2021).
- 3. Appendix 9.1. Critical appraisal checklist. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, editors. JBI manual for evidence synthesis. Adelaide: JBI; 2020.
- 4. Rutter CM, Gatsonis CA. A hierarchical regression approach to meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy evaluations. Stat Med. 2001;20:2865–84.
- 5. Das A, Ghosh P, Ghosh I, Bhattacharya R, Azad Sardar AK, Goswami S et al. Usefulness and utility of NACO regime in the management of sexually transmitted infections: a pilot study. Indian J Dermatol. 2017;62:630–4.
- 6. Liu H, Jamison D, Li X, Ma E, Yin Y, Detels R. Is syndromic management better than the current approach for treatment of STDs in China? Evaluation of the cost–effectiveness of syndromic management for male STD patients. Sex Transm Dis. 2003;30:327–30.
- Sanchez J, Volquez C, Totten PA, Campos PE, Ryan C, Catlin M et al. The etiology and management of genital ulcers in the Dominican Republic and Peru. Sex Transm Dis. 2002;29:559–67.
- 8. Behets FM, Andriamiadana J, Randrianasolo D, Randriamanga R, Rasamilalao D, Chen CY et al. Chancroid, primary syphilis, genital herpes, and lymphogranuloma venereum in Antananarivo, Madagascar. J Infect Dis. 1999;180:1382–5.
- 9. Behets FM, Brathwaite AR, Hylton-Kong T, Chen CY, Hoffman I, Weiss JB et al. Genital ulcers: etiology, clinical diagnosis, and associated human immunodeficiency virus infection in Kingston, Jamaica. Clin Infect Dis. 1999;28:1086–90.
- Beyrer C, Jitwatcharanan K, Natpratan C, Kaewvichit R, Nelson KE, Chen CY et al. Molecular methods for the diagnosis of genital ulcer disease in a sexually transmitted disease clinic population in northern Thailand: predominance of herpes simplex virus infection. J Infect Dis. 1998;178:243–6.
- 11. Bhavsar C, Patel RM, Marfatia Y. A study of 113 cases of genital ulcerative disease and urethral discharge syndrome with validation of syndromic management of sexually transmitted diseases. Indian J Sex Transm Dis AIDS. 2014;35:35–9.
- 12. Bogaerts J, Vuylsteke B, Martinez Tello W, Mukantabana V, Akingeneye J, Laga M et al. Simple algorithms for the management of genital ulcers: evaluation in a primary health care centre in Kigali, Rwanda. Bull World Health Organ. 1995;73:761–7.
- 13. DiCarlo RP, Martin DH. The clinical diagnosis of genital ulcer disease in men. Clin Infect Dis. 1997;25:292–8.
- 14. Hina R, Tankhiwale SS, Surpam RB. Study of seroprevalence and syndromic validation of HSV2 among genito-ulcerative disease patients attending STI clinic in a tertiary care hospital. J Evol Med Dent Sci. 2017;6:2984–6.
- Htun Y, Morse SA, Dangor Y, Fehler G, Radebe F, Trees DL et al. Comparison of clinically directed, disease specific, and syndromic protocols for the management of genital ulcer disease in Lesotho. Sex Transm Infect. 1998;74(Suppl. 1):S23–8.

THAT ALTHONY

- 16. Prabhakar P, Narayanan P, Deshpande GR, Das A, Neilsen G, Mehendale S et al. Genital ulcer disease in India: etiologies and performance of current syndrome guidelines. Sex Transm Dis. 2012;39:906–10.
- 17. Risbud A, Chan-Tack K, Gadkari D, Gangakhedkar RR, Shepherd ME, Bollinger R et al. The etiology of genital ulcer disease by multiplex polymerase chain reaction and relationship to HIV infection among patients attending sexually transmitted disease clinics in Pune, India. Sex Transm Dis. 1999;26:55–62.
- 18. Wang Q, Yang P, Zhong M, Wang G. Validation of diagnostic algorithms for syndromic management of sexually transmitted diseases. Chin Med J. 2003;116:181–6.
- Wang QQ, Mabey D, Peeling RW, Tan ML, Jian DM, Yang P et al. Validation of syndromic algorithm for the management of genital ulcer diseases in China. Int J STD AIDS. 2002;13:469–74.
- 20. Fast MV, D'Costa LJ, Nsanze H, Piot P, Curran J, Karasira P et al. The clinical diagnosis of genital ulcer disease in men in the tropics. Sex Transm Dis. 1984;11:72–6.
- 21. Dangor Y, Ballard RC, da LEF, Fehler G, Miller SD, Koornhof HJ. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of genital ulcer disease. Sex Transm Dis. 1990;17:184–9.
- 22. Hanson S, Sunkutu RM, Kamanga J, Hojer B, Sandstrom E. STD care in Zambia: an evaluation of the guidelines for case management through a syndromic approach. Int J STD AIDS. 1996;7:324–32.
- Ndinya-Achola JO, Kihara AN, Fisher LD, Krone MR, Plummer FA, Ronald A et al. Presumptive specific clinical diagnosis of genital ulcer disease in a primary health care setting in Nairobi. Int J STD AIDS. 1996;7:201–5.
- 24. Otieno FO, Ndivo R, Oswago S, Ondiek J, Pals S, McLellan-Lemal E et al. Evaluation of syndromic management of sexually transmitted infections within the Kisumu Incidence Cohort Study. Int J STD AIDS. 2014;25:851–9.
- 25. Nilsen AE, Aasen T, Halsos AM, Kinge BR, Tjotta EA, Wikstrom K et al. Efficacy of oral acyclovir in the treatment of initial and recurrent genital herpes. Lancet. 1982;2:571–3.
- 26. Bryson YJ, Dillon M, Lovett M, Acuna G, Taylor S, Cherry JD et al. Treatment of first episodes of genital herpes simplex virus infection with oral acyclovir. A randomized double-blind controlled trial in normal subjects. N Engl J Med. 1983;308:916–21.
- Reichman RC, Badger GJ, Mertz GJ, Corey L, Richman DD, Connor JD et al. Treatment of recurrent genital herpes simplex infections with oral acyclovir. A controlled trial. JAMA. 1984;251:2103–7.
- Boonstra E, Lindbaek M, Klouman E, Ngome E, Romoren M, Sundby J. Syndromic management of sexually transmitted diseases in Botswana's primary health care: quality of care aspects. Trop Med Int Health. 2003;8:604–14.
- 29. Korenromp EL, Wi T, Resch S, Stover J, Broutet N. Costing of national STI program implementation for the global STI control strategy for the health sector, 2016–2021. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0170773.
- 30. Adams EJ, Garcia PJ, Garnett GP, Edmunds WJ, Holmes KK. The cost–effectiveness of syndromic management in pharmacies in Lima, Peru. Sex Transm Dis. 2003;30:379–87.

THURHOW

 Gilson L, Mkanje R, Grosskurth H, Mosha F, Picard J, Gavyole A et al. Cost–effectiveness of improved treatment services for sexually transmitted diseases in preventing HIV-1 infection in Mwanza Region, Tanzania. Lancet. 1997;350:1805–9.

17

- 32. Parker KA, Koumans EH, Hawkins RV, Massanga M, Somse P, Barker K et al. Providing low-cost sexually transmitted diseases services in two semi-urban health centers in Central African Republic (CAR): characteristics of patients and patterns of health care-seeking behavior. Sex Transm Dis. 1999;26:508–16.
- Tsai CH, Lee TC, Chang HL, Tang LH, Chiang CC, Chen KT. The cost–effectiveness of syndromic management for male sexually transmitted disease patients with urethral discharge symptoms and genital ulcer disease in Taiwan. Sex Transm Infect. 2008;84:400– 4.
- 34. Vickerman P, Ndowa F, Mayaud P. Modelling the cost per ulcer treated of incorporating episodic treatment for HSV-2 into the syndromic algorithm for genital ulcer disease. Sex Transm Infect. 2008;84:243–8.
- 35. White RG, Moodley P, McGrath N, Hosegood V, Zaba B, Herbst K et al. Low effectiveness of syndromic treatment services for curable sexually transmitted infections in rural South Africa. Sex Transm Infect. 2008;84:528–34.
- La Ruche G, Lorougnon F, Digbeu N. Therapeutic algorithms for the management of sexually transmitted diseases at the peripheral level in Côte d'Ivoire: assessment of efficacy and cost. Bull World Health Organ. 1995;73:305–13.
- Khandwalla HE, Luby S, Rahman S. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding sexually transmitted infections among general practitioners and medical specialists in Karachi, Pakistan. Sex Transm Infect. 2000;76:383–5.
- Iipinge SN, Pretorius L. The delivery and quality of sexually transmitted infections treatment by private general practitioners in Windhoek Namibia. Glob J Health Sci. 2012;4:156–71.
- 40. Mahmood MA, Saniotis A. Use of syndromic management algorithm for sexually transmitted infections and reproductive tract infections management in community settings in Karachi. J Pakistan Med Assoc. 2011;61:453–7.
- 41. Grosskurth H, Mwijarubi E, Todd J, Rwakatare M, Orroth K, Mayaud P et al. Operational performance of an STD control programme in Mwanza Region, Tanzania. Sex Transm Infect. 2000;76:426–36.
- Steen R, Soliman C, Mujyambwani A, Twagirakristu JB, Bucyana S, Grundmann C et al. Notes from the field: practical issues in upgrading STD services based on experience from primary healthcare facilities in two Rwandan towns. Sex Transm Infect. 1998;74(Suppl. 1):S159–65.
- 43. Beyene M, Gizachew Y, Afework K, Berihun M, Shitaye A, Bemnet A et al. Sexually transmitted infections based on the syndromic approach in Gondar town, northwest Ethiopia: a retrospective cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2013;13(143).
- 44. Wolday D, Z GM, Mohammed Z, Meles H, Messele T, Seme W et al. Risk factors associated with failure of syndromic treatment of sexually transmitted diseases among women seeking primary care in Addis Ababa. Sex Transm Infect. 2004;80:392–4.
- 45. Cheluget B, Joesoef MR, Marum LH, Wandera C, Ryan CA, Decock KM et al. Changing patterns in sexually transmitted disease syndromes in Kenya after the introduction of a syndromic management program. Sex Transm Dis. 2004;31:522–5.

KIKKKK

46. Chilongozi DA, Daly CC, Franco L, Liomba NG, Dallabetta G. Sexually transmitted diseases: a survey of case management in Malawi. Int J STD AIDS. 1996;7:269–75.

- Behets FM, Liomba G, Lule G, Dallabetta G, Hoffman IF, Hamilton HA et al. Sexually transmitted diseases and human immunodeficiency virus control in Malawi: a field study of genital ulcer disease. J Infect Dis. 1995;171:451–5.
- 48. Garcia PJ, Gotuzzo E, Hughes JP, Holmes KK. Syndromic management of STDs in pharmacies: evaluation and randomised intervention trial. Sex Transm Infect. 1998;74(Suppl. 1):S153–8.
- 49. Garcia PJ, Holmes KK, Carcamo CP, Garnett GP, Hughes JP, Campos PE et al. Prevention of sexually transmitted infections in urban communities (Peru PREVEN): a multicomponent community-randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2012;379:1120–8.
- 50. Goel SS. Study of syndromic management approach in the management of sexually transmitted diseases in rural population. Indian J Sex Transm Dis. 2012;33:146–7.
- 51. Mertens TE, Smith GD, Kantharaj K, Mugrditchian D, Radhakrishnan KM. Observations of sexually transmitted disease consultations in India. Public Health. 1998;112:123–8.
- 52. Sharma K, Chavan Y, Aras R, Khismatrao D. Syndromic management of STDs in a male health clinic in a primary health care setting. Indian J Sex Transm Dis. 2011;32:136–7.
- 53. Tankhiwale SS, Chavan SP. Comparative study of syndromic and etiological diagnosis of sexually transmitted infection except human immunodeficiency virus in sexually transmitted infection and reproductive tract infection clinic attendees in central India. Int J Med Public Health. 2013;3:347–51.
- 54. Lule G, Behets FMT, Hoffman IF, Liomba G, Dallabetta G, Hamilton HA et al. Choosing an effective and affordable antibiotic regimen for sexually transmitted diseases (STD) patients in Malawi. Malawi Med J. 1998;11:50–5.
- Machiha A, Mugurungi O, Tshimanga M, Kilmarx P, Mungati M, Nyakura J et al. The aetiology of genital ulcer disease and association with HIV infection in Zimbabwe. Sex Transm Infect. 2015;91:A157.
- 56. Mark J, Hariri S, Ilunga R, Forhan S, Likibi M, M LK et al. Evaluation of sexually transmitted infection clinical services in Gauteng Province, South Africa: knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs among health care providers. Sex Transm Infect. 2011;87:A92–3.
- 57. Mathews C, van Rensburg A, Coetzee N. The sensitivity of a syndromic management approach in detecting sexually transmitted diseases in patients at a public health clinic in Cape Town. S Afr Med J. 1998;88:1337–40.
- Moodley P, Sturm PD, Vanmali T, Wilkinson D, Connolly C, Sturm AW. Association between HIV-1 infection, the etiology of genital ulcer disease, and response to syndromic management. Sex Transm Dis. 2003;30:241–5.
- 59. Schneider H, Blaauw D, Dartnall E, Coetzee DJ, Ballard RC. STD care in the South African private health sector. S Afr Med J. 2001;91:151–6.
- 60. Moherdaui F, Vuylsteke B, Siqueira LF, dos Santos Junior MQ, Jardim ML, de Brito AM et al. Validation of national algorithms for the diagnosis of sexually transmitted diseases in Brazil: results from a multicentre study. Sex Transm Infect. 1998;74(Suppl. 1):S38–43.
- 61. Meheus A, Van Dyck E, Ursi JP, Ballard RC, Piot P. Etiology of genital ulcerations in Swaziland. Sex Transm Dis. 1983;10:33–5.

THAT

62. Mabey DC, Wall RA, Bello CS. Aetiology of genital ulceration in the Gambia. Genitourin Med. 1987;63:312–5.

Y

- 63. Mbofana FS, Brito FJ, Saifodine A, Cliff JL. Syndromic management of sexually transmitted diseases at primary care level, Mozambique. Sex Transm Infect. 2002;78:E2.
- 64. Leiva A, Shaw M, Paine K, Manneh K, McAdam K, Mayaud P. Management of sexually transmitted diseases in urban pharmacies in The Gambia. Int J STD AIDS. 2001;12:444–52.
- 65. Harrison A, Wilkinson D, Lurie M, Connolly AM, Karim SA. Improving quality of sexually transmitted disease case management in rural South Africa. AIDS. 1998;12:2329–35.
- 66. Uchenna C, Govender I. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of doctors at Jubilee Hospital, Tshwane District, regarding the syndromic management guidelines for sexually transmitted infections. S Afr Fam Pract. 2018;60:155–61.
- 67. Wilkinson D, Karim SS, Lurie M, Harrison A. Public-private health sector partnerships for STD control in South Africa perspectives from the Hlabisa experience. S Afr Med J. 2001;91:517–20.
- 68. Bitera R, Alary M, Masse B, Viens P, Lowndes C, Baganizi E et al. [Quality of disease management of sexually transmitted diseases: investigation of care in six countries in western Africa]. Sante. 2002;12:233–9.
- 69. Ward K, Butler N, Mugabo P, Klausner J, McFarland W, Chen S et al. Provision of syndromic treatment of sexually transmitted infections by community pharmacists: a potentially underutilized HIV prevention strategy. Sex Transm Dis. 2003;30:609–13.
- Alemayehu A, Godana W. Knowledge and practice of clinicians regarding syndromic management of sexually transmitted infections in public health facilities of Gamo Gofa Zone, south Ethiopia. J Sex Transm Dis. 2015;2015:310409.
- Sharma R, Prajapati S, Patel B, Kumar P. Evaluation of skill-oriented training on enhanced syndromic case management (ESCM) of reproductive tract infections / sexually transmitted infections (RTI/STIs) of care providers from three-tier health-care system of Gujarat. Indian J Community Med. 2016;41:183–9.

Table A6.1. Detection of any STI for genital ulcer syndrome (shaded rows represents studies testing presence of ulcer to detect any STIs)

X

7

ATATA

74

True negative	41	2	28	25
False positive	27	40	28	29
False negative	215	0	12	7
True positive	14	13	13	2
Diagnostics	VDRL, TPHA, Smear, HSV- Ab	PCR, RPR, TPPA	M-PCR	M-PCR
Pathogens	HSV, <i>Candida</i> glabrata, cytomegalovirus, true positive	HSV, true positive, Haemophilus ducreyi	HSV, true positive, <i>Haemophilus</i> ducreyi	HSV, true positive, Haemophilus ducreyi
How a positive case is defined	Presence of ulcer	Presence of ulcer	Symptoms + examination	Symptoms + examination
Subpopulation	22% male 12% genital ulcer disease	100% male 14% genital ulcer disease	100% male 100% genital ulcer disease	100% male 100% genital ulcer disease
Where recruited	STI and gynaecology outpatients	Sexual health clinic	General practice	General practice
Sample size	297	55	81	63
Country income level	Lower middle	Upper middle	Upper middle	Upper middle
Country	India	China	Dominican Republic	Peru
Year of study	2013	2003	1995– 1996	1995– 1996
Study	Das et al. <i>(5)</i>	Liu et al. (6)	Sanchez et al. (7)	Sanchez et al. (7)

HTHLAND

Table A6.2. Comparing the accuracy of clinical diagnosis of herpes with etiological diagnosis of herpes

X

True negative	175	122	m	25	302	302	219
False positive	2	24	m	38	4	4	87
False negative	19	73	11	0	85	85	46
True positive	855 0		21	33	4	4	43
Diagnostics	M-PCR	M-PCR	M-PCR	Tzanck smear IgM for HSV-2	Cytopathic effect on Vero cells	Cytopathic effect on Vero cells	Cytopathic effect on Vero cells
How a positive case is defined	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	History and examination	History and examination + syphilis serology or darkfield microscopy	Clinical diagnosis ^a
Subpopulation	71% men	83% men	79% female sex workers	79% men	63% men	63% men	63% men
Where recruited	Sexual health clinic	Sexual Health clinic	Sexual health clinic	Hospital	General practice	General practice	General practice
Sample size	196	304	38	96	395	395	395
Country income level	Low	Upper middle	Upper middle	Lower middle	Low	Low	Low
Country	Madagascar	Jamaica	Thailand	India	Rwanda	Rwanda	Rwanda
Year of study	1997	1996	1995– 1996	2011– 2012	1990– 1992	1990– 1992	1990– 1992
Study	Behets et al. <i>(8)</i>	Behets et al. <i>(9)</i>	Beyrer et al. (10)	Bhavsar et al. (11)	Bogaerts et al. <i>(12)</i>	Bogaerts et al. (12)	Bogaerts et al. <i>(13)</i>

True negative	153	25	74	65	68	54	175	36	19
False positive	10	36	~	m	0	37	32	10	17
False negative	37	2	10	19	24	Ж	47	16	12
True positive	20	33	7	ц	0	59	48	19	15
Diagnostics	Culture	Tzanck smears, HSV2-IgM	MPCR	MPCR	MPCR	M-PCR	M-PCR	M-PCR	M-PCR
How a positive case is defined	Clinical diagnosis ^a								
Subpopulation	100% men	75% men				100% men		100% men	100% men
Where recruited	Sexual health clinic	General practice	General practice						
Sample size	220	96	92	92	92	181	302	81	63
Country income level	High	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Upper middle	Upper middle
Country	United States	India	Lesotho	Lesotho	Lesotho	India	India	Dominican Republic	Peru
Year of study	1990- 1992	2015- 2016	1993– 1994	1993– 1994	1993– 1994	2008– 2009	1994	1995– 1996	1995– 1996
Study	DiCarlo & Martin <i>(13)</i>	Hina et al. (14)	Htun et al. (15)	Htun et al. <i>(15)</i>	Htun et al. <i>(15)</i>	Prabhakar et al. <i>(16)</i>	Risbud et al. (17)	Sanchez et al. <i>(7)</i>	Sanchez et al. <i>(7)</i>

+ THAT

74

L D L

X

XM

True negative	27	78	63	182
False positive	36	78	~	21
False negative	Ø	22	m	2
	25	49	m	2
Diagnostics	M-PCR	M-PCR	Culture	Culture
How a positive case is defined	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a
Subpopulation	52% men	90% men	100% men	100% men
Where recruited	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic	"Special treatment clinic"	Hospital
Sample size	96	227	70	210
Country income level	Upper middle	Upper middle	Lower middle	Upper middle
Country	China	China	Kenya	South Africa
Year of study	1998– 1999	2000– 2001	1980	Unclear
Study	Wang et al. <i>(18)</i>	Wang et al. <i>(19</i>)	Fast et al. (20)	Dangor et al. (21)

^a A diagnostic test is the clinician's diagnosis of herpes (rather than the presence of an ulcer). Clinical diagnosis is based on physical examination and history.

CD	
2	
σ	
تە	
<u>s</u>	
5	
_	
Ð	
ö	
27	
<u>:</u>	
Ð	
0ď	
-	
E	
2	
2	
<u> </u>	
σ	
5	
2	
-	
0	
5	
:Ξ.	
D	
E	
1	
ğ	
σ	
.=	
S	
d	
S	
Ţ	
0	
2	
2	
Ö	
00	
9	
5	
_	
5	
0	
5	
-	
5	
20	
3	
S	
2	
d	
0	
Ţ	
.0	
-	
<u>S</u>	
e	
Ū.	
õ	
ŝ	
Ġ	
A	
B	
þ	
9	

THO T

True negative	28	249	36	52	9	276	254	172	40	8
False positive	112	24	-	1	279	S	31	3	14	12
False negative	4	10	-	24	2	m	90	31	17	22
True positive	52	21	0	19	108	107	20	14	24	14
Diagnostics	M-PCR	M-PCR	M-PCR	VDRL, TPHA	RPR, TPHA, Darkfield microscopy	RPR, TPHA, Darkfield microscopy	RPR, TPHA, Darkfield microscopy	Darkfield microscopy	Darkfield microscopy, RPR, TPHA	Darkfield microscopy, RPR, TPHA
How a positive case is defined	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	History and examination	History and examination + syphilis serology or darkfield microscopy	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a
Subpopulation	71% men	83% men	79% female sex workers	79% men	63% men	63% men	63% men	100% men	100% men	100% women
Where recruited	Sexual health clinic	Sexual Health clinic	Sexual health clinic	Hospital	General practice	General practice	General practice	Sexual health clinic	Hospital	Hospital
Sample size	196	304	38	96	395	395	395	220	95	131
Country income level	Low	Upper middle	Upper middle	Lower middle	Low	Low	Low	High	Lower middle	Lower middle
Country	Madagascar	Jamaica	Thailand	India	Rwanda	Rwanda	Rwanda	United States	Zambia	Zambia
Year of study	1997	1996	1995— 1996	2011– 2012	1990– 1992	1990– 1992	1990– 1992	1990– 1992	1996	1996
Study	Behets et al. <i>(8)</i>	Behets et al. <i>(9)</i>	Beyrer et al. <i>(10</i>)	Bhavsar et al. (11)	Bogaerts et al. <i>(12)</i>	Bogaerts et al. <i>(12)</i>	Bogaerts et al. <i>(12)</i>	DiCarlo & Martin <i>(13)</i>	Hanson et al. (22)	Hanson et al. (22)

A CHARLEN AND A

MANAN

True negative	68	9	9	129	78	66	49	61	115	56	160
False positive	-	52	52	19	72	11	8	12	9	4	25
False negative	18	4	1	18	18	2	4	5	12	4	m
Irue oositive	C.	30	33	9	26	2	2	18	94	9	22
Diagnostics	MPCR, RPR, FTA-Abs	MPCR, RPR, FTA-Abs	MPCR, RPR, FTA-Abs	RPR	M-PCR	M-PCR	M-PCR	M-PCR, RPR, TPPA	M-PCR, Darkfield microscopy, RPR, TPPA	RPR, Darkfield microscopy	RPR, fluorescent treponemal antibody absorption, darkfield microscopy
How a positive case is defined	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Symptoms + examination + risk factors	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a
Subpopulation				47% men	100% men	100% men	100% men	100% had "STI symptoms"	90% men	100% men	100% male
Where recruited	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic	Primary care	Sexual health clinic	General practice	General practice	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic	"Special treatment clinic"	Hospital
Sample size	92	92	92	172	181	81	63	96	227	70	210
Country income level	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Upper middle	Upper middle	Upper middle	Upper middle	Lower middle	Upper middle
Country	Lesotho	Lesotho	Lesotho	Kenya	India	Dominican Republic	Dominican Republic	China	China	Kenya	South Africa
Year of study	1993– 1994	1993— 1994	1993— 1994	1990– 1991	2008– 2009	1995— 1996	1995— 1996	1998– 1999	2000-1	1980	Unclear
Study	Htun et al. (15)	Htun et al. (15)	Htun et al. <i>(15)</i>	Ndinya- Achola et al. (23)	Prabhakar et al. <i>(16)</i>	Sanchez et al. <i>(7)</i>	Sanchez et al. <i>(7)</i>	Wang et al. <i>(18)</i>	Wang et al. <i>(19</i>)	Fast et al. (20)	Dangor et al. (21)

Table A6.4. Detection of chancroid using clinical diagnosis of chancroid in a population with genital ulcer disease

MA

X

alse True ositive negative	69	57 175	32	92	272 8	88 92	57 213	
alse F. egative p	30	8	9	~	2	32	6	
Frue Frue positive n	34 3	54 1	0	2	115 0	83	74 4	
Diagnostics	M-PCR	M-PCR	M-PCR	Gram stain	Culture	Culture	Culture	Cultura
How a positive case is defined	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	History and examination	History and examination + syphilis serology or darkfield microscopy	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical discussion
Subpopulation	71% men 100% genital ulcer disease	83% men 100% genital ulcer disease	79% female sex workers 100% genital ulcer disease	79% men 100% genital ulcer disease	63% men 100% genital ulcer disease	63% men 100% genital ulcer disease	63% men 100% genital ulcer disease	1000/ 2000
Where recruited	Sexual health clinic	Sexual Health clinic	Sexual health clinic	Hospital	General practice	General practice	General practice	Council hoolth
Sample size	196	304	80 100 100	96	395	395	395	000
Country income level	Low	Upper middle	Upper middle	Lower middle	Low	Low	Low	Hinh
Country	Madagascar	Jamaica	Thailand	India	Rwanda	Rwanda	Rwanda	IICA
Year of study	1997	1996	1995– 1996	2011– 2012	1990– 1992	1990- 1992	1990– 1992	1000
Study	Behets et al. (8)	Behets et al. <i>(9)</i>	Beyrer et al. (10)	Bhavsar et al. (11)	Bogaerts et al. <i>(12)</i>	Bogaerts et al. <i>(12)</i>	Bogaerts et al. <i>(12)</i>	DiCarlo &

True negative	14	9	4	24	54	142	43	39	14	49
False positive	22	31	32	76	37	76	17	21	œ	14
False negative	2	4	m	2	31	31	10	m	9	30
True positive	54	51	53	51	59	53	÷	0	42	117
Diagnostics	MPCR	MPCR	MPCR	Culture	M-PCR	M-PCR	M-PCR	M-PCR	Culture	
How a positive case is defined	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a	Clinical diagnosis ^a
Subpopulation	100% genital ulcer disease	100% genital ulcer disease	100% genital ulcer disease	47% men 100% genital ulcer disease	100% men 100% genital ulcer disease	100% genital ulcer disease	100% men 100% genital ulcer disease	100% men 100% genital ulcer disease	100% men 100% genital ulcer disease	100% genital ulcer disease
Where recruited	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic	Primary care	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic	General practice	General practice	"Special treatment clinic"	Hospital
Sample size	92	92	92	156	181	302	81	63	70	210
Country income level	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Upper middle	Upper middle	Lower middle	Upper middle
Country	Lesotho	Lesotho	Lesotho	Kenya	India	India	Dominican Republic	Peru	Kenya	South Africa
Year of study	1993– 1994	1993- 1994	1993– 1994	1990- 1991	2008– 2009	1994	1995– 1996	1995– 1996	1980	Unclear
Study	Htun et al. (15)	Htun et al. (15)	Htun et al. (15)	Ndinya- Achola et al. (23)	Prabhakar et al. <i>(16)</i>	Risbud et al. (17)	Sanchez et al. <i>(7)</i>	Sanchez et al. <i>(7)</i>	Fast et al. <i>(20</i>)	Dangor et al. (20)

Γ

X

ANNEX 7. EVIDENCE-TO-DECISION TABLE: ANORECTAL DISCHARGE

Should the current WHO syndromic management approach be recommended versus laboratory diagnosis, no treatment and treat all to identify sexually transmitted infections among people with anorectal discharge?

Population:

Men and women (cis-men, cis-women, trans-women and transmen) presenting with anorectal discharge

Intervention and comparator:

Intervention: current WHO syndromic approach versus comparison: laboratory diagnosis (or no treatment or treat all)

Purpose of the test:

To detect Neisseria gonorrhoeae and/or Chlamydia trachomatis; herpes simplex virus (HSV); C. trachomatis (serovars L1, L2 and L3) causing lymphogranuloma venereum and Mycoplasma genitalium

Linked treatments:

Treatments for anorectal infections (see above)

Anticipated outcomes:

Number of people identified correctly as having or not having STI; number of people identified incorrectly as having or not having STI; consequences of appropriate or inappropriate treatment; patient and provider acceptability, feasibility, equity and resource use

Setting: Outpatient

Perspective: Population level

Subgroups:

High- or low-prevalence settings; settings with limited versus established laboratory capacity; key populations: sex workers, men who have sex with men , transgender people, people living with HIV

Background:

Syndromic management refers to a strategy to identify and treat people with STIs based on specific symptoms identified by a patient and signs (clinically observed signs of infection) associated with clearly defined causes. Although etiological diagnosis is preferred, it is not always accessible or affordable.

Fig. A7.1 shows clinical guidelines for the syndromic management of anorectal syndrome in the 2003 WHO guidelines for the management of sexually transmitted infections.

Assessment

	Judgement	Research evidence
	Is the problem a priority?	Anorectal infection
	 No Probably no Probably yes Yes Varies Don't know 	Anorectal STIs are possible for individuals practising anal sex. Among men who have sex with men, anorectal STIs are relatively common and frequently asymptomatic but can cause proctitis, presenting as anal discharge and/or pain. Possible causes include <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and <i>C. trachomatis</i> including lymphogranuloma venereum, herpes simplex viruses (HSV-1, HSV-2) and <i>Treponema pallidum</i> (true positive). Proctitis can also be caused by non-infectious reasons. An individual with anorectal infections may also have concomitant infection at other anatomical sites. There is concern that, if people with anorectal STIs are not treated, this could increase HIV acquisition through inflammation and increased viral shedding.
robl		High cost of molecular STI testing
4		Cheaper platforms, near-patient or point-of-care tests are needed for <i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> .
		Antimicrobial resistance
		There is increasing concern about the treatment of people with <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> , since high rates of resistance to penicillin, tetracycline, and quinolone have been documented globally. Resistance to commonly used first-line medications (azithromycin) and reports of treatment failure and reduced susceptibility in <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> to cephalosporin (a last-line treatment for <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>) raise concern that <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> could become untreatable.
	How accurate is the test? O Very inaccurate Inaccurate O Accurate O Very accurate O Varies O Don't know	We conducted a systematic review, searching up to September 2019, of the sensitivity and specificity of a syndromic management approach to identify multiple STIs related to anorectal discharge. In summary, we identified four studies that assessed the diagnostic accuracy of anorectal syndromic management to detect any STI (Table A7.1), five studies for anorectal chlamydia (Table A7.2) and five studies for anorectal gonorrhoea (Table A7.3). For detection of any STIs (chlamydia or gonorrhoea), four studies provided five estimates for pooling. The pooled sensitivity for detecting anal chlamydia or gonorrhoea using a syndromic management approach (anorectal syndrome) is 32.4% (95% CI: 11.4–64.0%), and pooled specificity is 21.4% (95% CI: 42.1.0% (42.1
		IS 81.7% (95% CI: 43.1–90.43%). For detection of specific STIs
st accuracy		For detection of anal chlamydia, five estimates were available to pool. The pooled sensitivity for detecting anal chlamydia using a syndromic management approach is 11.1% (95% CI: 2.2–40.3%), and pooled specificity is 94.8% (95% CI: 87.1–98.0%).
		For detection of anal gonorrhoea, five studies providing five estimates were available to pool; the pooled sensitivity for detecting anal gonorrhoea using a syndromic management approach is 14.2% (95% CI: 6.1–29.7%), and pooled specificity is 94.4% (95% CI: 84.8–98.1%).
		For detection of herpes or syphilis, no estimates were found for evaluating the accuracy of syndromic management.
		For detection of lymphogranuloma venereum, one study among men who have sex with men from sexual health clinics in the Netherlands provided an estimate for the sensitivity of syndromic management to detect lymphogranuloma venereum: 4.6% (95% Cl: 1.3–11.4%) (7).
		Prevalence can vary widely (anorectal <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> : 0.2–24%, anorectal <i>C. trachomatis</i> 2.1–23%) (8–14), and there are behavioural and network correlates of those with greater likelihood of an STI (15). Men who have sex with men are not homogeneous.

	Judgement			Resear	ch evidence			
	Judgement	The evidence symptoms i A study from among 508 <i>C. trachoma</i> symptoms of discharge (s 17.5%) and 20.1%, pos A study of 6 derived risk	te for the val s mixed. m India to de patients (in <i>atis</i> and <i>N. g</i> ponly (sensitivity 41 I (3) addition itive predicti 598 men whu s score based	Resear lue of adding etect anorec 2008–2009 onorrhoeae rity of 0.8%) 1.7%, specifi of risk asse ve value 14. o have sex v d on correlat	ch evidence g risk assessi tal <i>C. trachol</i>) reported th in algorithm , (2) receptiv icity 66.3%, sssment (sen; 9%) (1). vith men in k es of anorecc based on thr	ment to matis ar e accura s that us re anal s positive sitivity & Kenya (2 tal C. tra	the history acy for dete sed: (1) and sex and/or a predictive 81.9%, spec) explored acchomatis o	rhoeae ecting prectal anorectal value cificity model- or 18–24
Test accuracy		years versu condomless of 81% and anorectal <i>C</i> context for below). The among sym (aOR) 17.1 53.5 [95% 2.0–294.8]) Sensitivity, Bisk	s ≥25 years s sex with a I l specificity c <i>trachomati</i> . asymptomat correlates o ptomatic me [95% confid CI 6.4–444.9). Specificity, NN	(2 points), p male partner of 66%, with s or <i>N. gono</i> tic men who f anorectal of en were peoj ence interva p)) and versa	eople living u r (1 point). Th a number n <i>rrhoeae</i> that have sex wi <i>C. trachomat</i> ple living wit al (CI) 3.5–84 tille sex posi ive Values of R	with HIV ney repo eeded to might k th men <i>is</i> and A th HIV (<i>a</i> ish HIV (<i>a</i> isk Score	/ (2 points) rt a sensiti p treat of 1 pe possible (see the tai <i>I. gonorrho</i> adjusted oc ptive anal s R 24.2 [95] at Different	and vity 2 for in their ble <i>eae</i> lds ratio .ex (aOR % CI Cut Points
		Score Cut Point	Sensitivity	Specificity	Proportion Offered PT	NNT	PPV	NPV
		anorectal C. trachomatis or N. gonorrhoeae that might be possible in their context for asymptomatic men who have sex with men (see the table below). The correlates of anorectal C. trachomatis and N. gonorrhoeae among symptomatic men were people living with HIV (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 17.1 [95% confidence interval (CI) 3.5–84]), receptive anal sex (aOR 53.5 [95% CI 6.4–444.9]) and versatile sex position (aOR 24.2 [95% CI 2.0–294.8]).Sensitivity, Specificity, NNT and Predictive Values of Risk Score at Different Cut PointRisk Score Cut PointNPVNPVNPVI 95.2% [12.3% 88.0% 364.3%98.7% 39.5%61.4% 242.85.7% 39.5%61.4% 2285.7% 39.5%61.4% 2242.86% 97.5%3.6% 33.16% 97.1%519.1% 98.8%1.9% 24.0.0% 96.7%Abbreviations: NNT = number needed to treat; NPV = negative predictive value; PPV = positive predictive valueHowever, a study of 787 men who have sex with men from Peru (in 2012- 2014) reported that most anorectal C. trachomatis or N. gonorrhoeae were detected in men with no relevant risk behaviour with their three most receptive sex partners (6). Other studies (8) also suggest that adding risk factors may not increase the accuracy of syndromic management, an	98.4%					
			98.5%					
			98.8%					
			97.1%					
			96.7%					
		Abbreviation PPV = positi However, a 2014) repondetected in sex partner not increase assessed in	ns: NNT = nur ve predictive study of 787 rted that mor men with no s (6). Other s e the accurate specific con	nber needed value 7 men who h st anorectal p relevant ris studies <i>(8)</i> a cy of syndro texts.	to treat; NPV nave sex with <i>C. trachoma</i> sk behaviour lso suggest t mic managen	= negat n men fr <i>tis</i> or <i>N.</i> with th hat add ment, ar	ive predictiv om Peru (ir <i>gonorrhoe</i> eir three m ing risk fac nd its value	ve value; n 2012– pae were lost recent tors may e should be
	How substantial are the	428.6%97.5%3.6%331.6%97.1%519.1%98.8%1.9%240.0%96.7%Abbreviations: NNT = number needed to treat; NPV = negative predictive value; PPV = positive predictive valueHowever, a study of 787 men who have sex with men from Peru (in 2012– 2014) reported that most anorectal <i>C. trachomatis</i> or <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> were detected in men with no relevant risk behaviour with their three most receives sex partners (6). Other studies (8) also suggest that adding risk factors may not increase the accuracy of syndromic management, and its value should assessed in specific contexts.Desirable effects and undesirable effects The potential consequences of true positive could include appropriate treatment cure, side-effects, partner notification, reduced transmission of STI and HIV, resistance, couple difficulties and costs.						
	desirable anticipated effects of syndromic approach?	The potentia cure, side-ef resistance, c	al consequent fects, partner couple difficul	ces of true por r notification, lties and cost	ositive could i , reduced trar ts.	nclude a Ismissio	appropriate n of STI and	treatment, HIV,
ects	• Small	The potentia possible and	al consequent d psychologic	ces of true ne al benefit.	egative could	include	alternative	diagnoses
irable eff	O Moderate O Large	The potentia persistent sy and no part	al consequent mptoms, con ner notificatio	ces of false n nplications, S on.	egative could TI and/or HIV	l include / transm	cure still poission, no co	ossible, ounselling
Des	O Don't know	The potentia treatment, s	al consequent ide-effects, a	ces of false p ntimicrobial	ositive could resistance, co	include uple diff	inappropria ficulties and	te costs.
		Based on th we calculate number of n unnecessari	e sensitivity a ed the numbe nissed cases ly or overtrea	and specificit er of people a (false negativ ited (false po	y of anorecta appropriately ve) and the n sitive)	l syndro treated umber o	me to detec (true positiv f people tre	t STIs, /e), the ated

7H

HJ.

YAY

ATA A

	Judgement		Re	esear <mark>c</mark> h	eviden	ice	
	How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects?	GRADE summa gonorrhoea us	ary of findings sing anorectal	tables: dischar	detecti ge	ion of any chla	mydia or
с,	O Large	Pooled sensitivity	/: 0.32 (95% Cl: 0.11	to 0.64)	Pooled	specificity: 0.82 (95	% CI: 0.43 to 0.96)
ffec	 Moderate 		Number of re			Number of	Cortainty of
e e	O Small	Test result	patients tes	ted (95%	o CI)	participants	the Evidence
irab	O Trivial		Prevalence 20%	Prevaler	1ce 50%		(GRADE)
Ides	O Varies	True positives	6 (2 to 13)	16 (6	to 32)		
5	O Don't know	False negatives	14 (7 to 18)	34 (18	to 44)	2010 (4)	₩oderate ^a
		True negatives	65 (34 to 77)	41 (22	to 48)		
		False positives	15 (3 to 46)	9 (2 t	o 28)	(4)	₩ Moderate ^a
Certainty of the evidence of test accuracy	What is the overall certainty of the evidence of test accuracy? O Very low O Low Moderate O High O No included studies	CI: Confidence in Explanations ^a There was high A false positive their sexual par potential risks c antimicrobial re Overtreatment i pressure, giving the developmer antimicrobial-re Increasing cons on syndromic S for governing th Consideration Evidence is deri women also pra management of	hterval heterogeneity ad diagnosis could tner(s), and they if adverse side-e sistance. s a key consider resistant strain: it of resistance. sistant STIs sinc umption of antil II management, he access, use ar s for certainty ved largely from ictise receptive a i anorectal syndi	cross stu l cause S y might t effects an ration. A s advant Resource they h biotics (k weaker nd qualit of test n men wi anal sex, rome for	dies resu TI-relate take unr nd contr ntibiotic age ove e-limited ave larg both hur health y of ant accura ho have , but the womer	Iting in wide con ed stigma for the necessary antibio ibuting to the d use can exert s ser susceptible str d settings are ar ge STI burdens (1 mans and anima systems and lim ibiotics. Cy sex with men; l ere are no data on.	fidence. e patient and otics, with evelopment of election rains, increasing n incubator of 16). Ils) (17), reliance ited regulations neterosexual on syndromic
ects Certainty of the evidence of the anagement	What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects of the management that is guided by the test results? O Very low O Low Moderate O High O No included studies What is the overall certainty of the evidence of effects of the test?	We have eviden	ce for treatmen	t of the !	STIs rela	ited to anorecta	l discharge.
f effe	ot effects of the test? O Very low						
ic C	O Low						
tain	Moderate						
Cer	O High						
	O No included studies						

	Judgement		Rese	earch e	vidence		
2	Is there important uncertainty about or variability in how much people value the main outcomes? O Important uncertainty or	The Guideline De (missed cases) th	velopment Grouµ an on the false p	o placed ositives	greater value (people unneo	on the false cessarily trea	e negatives ated).
Value:	variability O Possibly important uncertainty or variability						
	 Probably no important uncertainty or variability 						
	O No important uncertainty or variability						
	Does the balance between desirable and undesirable effects favour the intervention or the comparison?	Although fewer p syndromic manage cases compared missed cases. In treatment if mole	people would be gement approach with treating all, addition, there w ecular testing is u	treated us were us and great ould be used.	unnecessarily sed, there wor ater value was no missed cas	if the previo uld be more placed on a ses or unnec	us WHO missed avoiding essary
f effects	 Favours the comparison O Probably favours the comparison 	The Guideline De benefits and harr	velopment Grou n favours treatin	o therefo g all or r	ore agreed tha nolecular test	it the baland ing.	e of
Balance o	O Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison						
	O Probably favours the intervention						
	O Favours the intervention						
	O Don't know						
	How large are the resource requirements (costs)?	We did not ident management.	ify studies that ev	valuated	the cost of a	norectal syn	drome
	O Large costs	Korenromp (18) re	eported the unit c	osts of d	iagnostic and	treatment co	ommodities:
	 Negligible costs and savings 	STI		Dose	Treatment	Drugs,	Drugs +
	O Moderate savings			day	uuration	dose	delivery
pa	O Varies	Gonorrhoea	Ceftriaxone 250 mg	1	1 day	US\$ 0.57	US\$ 10.71
require	O DOI T KNOW	Chlamydia	Azithromycin 500 mg	2	1 day	US\$ 0.38	US\$ 10.95
sources		Trichomoniasis	Metronidazole 500 mg	4	1 day	US\$ 0.01	US\$ 10.05
Re			Diagnostic tes	t			
		Gonorrhoea and chlamydia	NAAT: assuming from US\$ 20 as primary care; te care facilities)	a price i of 2016 sting in s	reduction start (specimen colle econdary and	ing 2016, ection in tertiary	US\$ 12.00ª
		Trichomoniasis	Wet mount (poi	nt of care	2)		US\$ 4.00
		^a Current cost of N There are negligit previous WHO syn	IAAT US\$ 16. ble differences in ndromic approach	costs wh 1, but the	en treating all e greatest cost	or when us with molect	ing the ular testing.

	Judgement	Research evidence
Certainty of evidence of required resources	What is the certainty of the evidence of resource requirements (costs)? O Very low O Low O Moderate O High No included studies	No studies identified.
Cost-effectiveness	 Does the cost– effectiveness of the intervention favour the intervention or the comparison? Favours the comparison Probably favours the comparison Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison Probably favours the intervention Favours the intervention Varies No included studies 	No studies identified. The Guideline Development Group agreed that, based on cost–effectiveness, treating all (the comparison) is favoured rather than the previous WHO syndromic approach.
Equity	What would be the impact on health equity? O Reduced O Probably reduced Probably no impact O Probably increased O Increased O Varies O Don't know	Most studies (seven of eight) involved men who have sex with men. We only identified one study that examined the accuracy of anorectal syndromic management among 345 trans-women in Brazil for detecting <i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> (in 2015–2016) <i>(5)</i> . In this study population, 48% were reported to be current sex workers. Those who reported more than five sexual partners in the preceding six months had higher odds for anorectal <i>C. trachomatis</i> (aOR 2.5 [0.9–6.9]. One study evaluated the value of presumptive treatment of anorectal <i>C. trachomatis</i> or <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> (diagnosed using NAAT) among 277 men who have sex with men who were sex workers in Kenya <i>(19)</i> . Among this high-risk group of men, one of 10 would have asymptomatic <i>C. trachomatis</i> or <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> . A study of 698 men who have sex with men in Kenya reported that those with higher risk of anorectal <i>C. trachomatis</i> or <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> were asymptomatic men aged 18–24 years (aOR 7.6 [1.7–33.2]), people living with HIV (aOR 6.9 [2.2–21.6]) and men who had condomless anal sex in the preceding three months (aOR 3.8 [1.2–11.9]) <i>(2)</i> .

	Judgement	Research evidence
	Is the intervention acceptable to key stakeholders?	No studies were identified.
2	O No	
bili	O Probably no	
spta	 Probably yes 	
Acce	O Yes	
	O Varies	
	O Don't know	
	Is the intervention feasible to implement?	No studies were identified.
	O No	
oility	O Probably no	
asił	O Probably yes	
щ	• Yes	
	O Varies	
	O Don't know	

747

Summary of judgements

				Judgement			
Problem	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
Test accuracy	Very inaccurate	Inaccurate	Accurate	Very accurate		Varies	Don't know
Desirable effects	Trivial	Small	Moderate	Large		Varies	Don't know
Undesirable effects	Large	Moderate	Small	Trivial		Varies	Don't know
Certainty of the evidence of test accuracy	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Certainty of the evidence of the effects of management	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Certainty of effects	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
	Important uncertainty or variability	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	No important uncertainty or variability			
Balance of effects	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	Don't know
Resources required	Large costs	Moderate costs	Negligible costs and savings	Moderate savings	Large savings	Varies	Don't know
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low	Low	Moderate	High			No included studies
Cost– effectiveness	Favours the comparison	Probably favours the comparison	Does not favour either the intervention or the comparison	Probably favours the intervention	Favours the intervention	Varies	No included studies
Equity	Reduced	Probably reduced	Probably no impact	Probably increased	Increased	Varies	Don't know
Acceptability	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know
Feasibility	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know

Conclusions

Should the current WHO syndromic management approach be recommended versus laboratory diagnosis, no treatment and treat all to identify sexually transmitted infections among people with anorectal discharge?

Type of	•	0	0	0	0
recommendation	Strong recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation against the intervention	Conditional recommendation for either the intervention or the comparison	Conditional recommendation for the intervention	Strong recommendation for the intervention
Recommendation	Recommendations	for management o	of anorectal discharge		
	For people with sy management base limited or no mole treatment on the s	mptom of anorecta ed on the results of ecular tests or labor same day of the vis	I discharge and report re quality-assured molecul atory capacity, we recon it.	eceptive anal sex, v ar assays. However, nmend syndromic tr	e recommend in settings with reatment to ensure
	Good practice incl	udes:			
	 taking a medica 	al and sexual histor	y and assessing the risk	of STIs;	
	 performing a pl examination, if 	nysical examinatior acceptable (and an	of the genital and peria oscopy, if available and	nal areas and a dig acceptable);	jital rectal
	 offering HIV an guidelines; and 	d syphilis testing ar	nd other preventive serv	ces as recommend	ed in other
	 referring for oth transmitted inference 	ner investigations w ection, such as othe	/hen anorectal discharge er gastrointestinal condit	e is unrelated to a s ions.	exually
	Settings with qual management syste	ity-assured molecu em and results avai	lar testing in a laborator lable on the same day o	y with a fully opera f the visit	tional quality
	We recommend th	e following.			
	1. Perform molecu clinician-collect <i>C. trachomatis</i>	llar assays (nucleic ed anorectal swab and treat the indivi	acid amplification test (I to confirm or exclude in dual infections detected	NAAT)) using a self fection with <i>N. gon</i>	collected or orrhoeae and/or
	2. Treat, additiona	Illy, for herpes simp	lex virus if there is anor	ectal pain.	
	3. Follow the geni	tal ulcer guidelines	if ulceration is present.		
	Settings in which molecular testing	same-day treatmen	t is not feasible with mo	lecular testing or w	vith limited or no
	We suggest the fo	llowing.			
	• Treat for <i>N. gon</i>	orrhoeae and C. tra	achomatis if discharge is	present.	
	Treat, additiona	lly, for herpes simp	lex virus if there is anor	ectal pain.	
	Good practice incl	udes.			
	• Following the g	enital ulcer guideli	nes if ulceration is prese	nt.	
	Referring peopl diagnose N. gol M. genitalium a	e with persistent an norrhoeae, C. trache and determine antir	norectal discharge to a c omatis (including lymphonicrobial resistance for <i>l</i>	entre with laborate ogranuloma venere <i>I. gonorrhoeae</i> and	ry capacity to um serovars) and <i>M. genitalium</i> .
Justification	Managing people in small benefits a testing may not be costs would be ne and would not ne	presenting with an nd moderate harm e feasible in all sett gligible. Treating al gatively affect equi	orectal discharge based compared with molecul ings and, alternatively, t I or conducting molecula ty (in some settings, it m	on a syndromic app ar testing or treatin reating all would be rr testing would be ay increase equity)	proach results g all. Molecular e feasible and the acceptable to all

References

- 1. Mugundu PR, Narayanan P, Das A, Morineau G. Assessing syndromic management algorithms for the diagnosis of rectal chlamydia and gonorrhoeae among men who have sex with men clinic attendees from two cities in India. Sex Transm Infect. 2013;89(Suppl. 1).
- Quilter LAS, Obondi E, Kunzweiler C, Okall D, Bailey RC, Djomand G et al. Prevalence and correlates of and a risk score to identify asymptomatic anorectal gonorrhoea and chlamydia infection among men who have sex with men in Kisumu, Kenya. Sex Transm Infect. 2019;95:201–11.
- 3. Rebe K, Lewis D, Myer L, de Swardt G, Struthers H, Kamkuemah M et al. A cross sectional analysis of gonococcal and chlamydial infections among men-who-have-sex-with-men in Cape Town, South Africa. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0138315.
- 4. Sanders EJ, Wahome E, Okuku HS, Thiong'o AN, Smith AD, Duncan S et al. Evaluation of WHO screening algorithm for the presumptive treatment of asymptomatic rectal gonorrhoea and chlamydia infections in at-risk men who have sex with men in Kenya. Sex Transm Infect. 2014;90:94–9.
- 5. Caracas C, Jalil EM, Garcia ACF, Nazer SC, De Oliveira LP, Veloso V et al. High chlamydia and gonorrhea prevalences and low performance of syndromic management among Brazilian transwomen. AIDS Res Hum Retrovirus. 2018;34(Suppl. 1):240.
- 6. Passaro RC, Segura ER, Perez-Brumer A, Cabeza J, Montano SM, Lake JE et al. Body parts matter: social, behavioral, and biological considerations for urethral, pharyngeal, and rectal gonorrhea and chlamydia screening among men who have sex with men in Lima, Peru. Sex Transm Infect. 2018;45:607–14.
- Van der Bij AK, Spaargaren J, Morre SA, Fennema HS, Mindel A, Coutinho RA et al. Diagnostic and clinical implications of anorectal lymphogranuloma venereum in men who have sex with men: a retrospective case-control study. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;42:186–94.
- Chan PA, Robinette A, Montgomery M, Almonte A, Cu-Uvin S, Lonks JR et al. Extragenital infections caused by Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae: a review of the literature. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2016;2016:5758387.
- 9. Kent CK, Chaw JK, Wong W, Liska S, Gibson S, Hubbard G et al. Prevalence of rectal, urethral, and pharyngeal chlamydia and gonorrhea detected in 2 clinical settings among men who have sex with men: San Francisco, California, 2003. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;41:67–74.

- Soni S, White JA. Self-screening for Neisseria gonorrhoeae and Chlamydia trachomatis in the human immunodeficiency virus clinic – high yields and high acceptability. Sex Transm Dis. 2011;38:1107–9.
- 11. Turner AN, Reese PC, Ervin M, Davis JA, Fields KS, Bazan JA. HIV, rectal chlamydia, and rectal gonorrhea in men who have sex with men attending a sexually transmitted disease clinic in a midwestern US city. Sex Transm Dis. 2013;40:433–8.
- Ross MW, Nyoni J, Ahaneku HO, Mbwambo J, McClelland RS, McCurdy SA. High HIV seroprevalence, rectal STIs and risky sexual behaviour in men who have sex with men in Dar es Salaam and Tanga, Tanzania. BMJ Open. 2014;4:e006175.
- 13. Kim EJ, Hladik W, Barker J, Lubwama G, Sendagala S, Ssenkusu JM et al. Sexually transmitted infections associated with alcohol use and HIV infection among men who have sex with men in Kampala, Uganda. Sex Transm Infect. 2016;92:240–5.
- Muraguri N, Tun W, Okal J, Broz D, Raymond HF, Kellogg T et al. HIV and STI prevalence and risk factors among male sex workers and other men who have sex with men in Nairobi, Kenya. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;68:91–6.
- Katz DA, Dombrowski JC, Bell TR, Kerani RP, Golden MR. HIV incidence among men who have sex with men after diagnosis with sexually transmitted infections. Sex Transm Dis. 2016;43:249–54.
- Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S, Korenromp E, Low N, Unemo M, Abu-Raddad LJ et al. Chlamydia, gonorrhoea, trichomoniasis and syphilis: global prevalence and incidence estimates, 2016. Bull World Health Organ. 2019;97:548–62.
- Van Boeckel TP, Gandra S, Ashok A, Caudron Q, Grenfell BT, Levin SA et al. Global antibiotic consumption 2000 to 2010: an analysis of national pharmaceutical sales data. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14:742–50.
- Korenromp EL, Wi T, Resch S, Stover J, Broutet N. Costing of national STI program implementation for the global STI control strategy for the health sector, 2016–2021. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0170773.
- 19. Okuku HS, Wahome E, Duncan S, Thiongo A, Mwambi J, Sahfi J et al. Evaluation of presumptive treatment recommendation for asymptomatic anorectal gonorrhoea and chlamydia infections in at-risk men who have sex with men in Kenya. J Int AIDS Soc. 2012;15:99.

Fig. A7.1. Current WHO syndromic approach to the management of anorectal syndrome



AL AL

X

- Treat for LGV;
- Treat for HSV;

HUTH

- Refer

True negative	491	149	511	140	212
False positive	250	592	151	13	, -
False negative	74	23	21	38	28
True positive	53	104	15	6	m
Pathogens and test	C. trachomatis and N. gonorrhoeae NAAT – Roche Amplicor		<i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> NAAT – Abbott Realtime	<i>C. trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> , Aptima Combo 2	C. <i>trachomatis</i> and <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> Aptima Combo 2
How a positive case is defined	Receptive anal sex and/or anal discharge + subsequent proctoscopy ± smear findings	Adding "risk assessment" to above	Anal symptoms + "risk assessment" (model-derived risk score)	Symptoms only	Symptoms + "risk assessment"
Subpopulation	100% men who have sex with men	100% men who have sex with men	99% men who have sex with men	100% men who have sex with men	100% men who have sex with men
Where recruited	Sexual health clinic	Sexual health clinic	Community settings	Sexual health clinic	Unclear
Sample size	868	868	698	200	244
Country income level	Lower middle	Lower middle	Lower middle	Upper middle	Lower middle
Country	India	India	Kenya	South Africa	Kenya
Year of study	2008– 2009	2008– 2009	Unclear	2012	2011– 2012
Study	Mugundu et al. <i>(1)</i>	Mugundu et al. <i>(1)</i>	Quilter et al. (2)	Rebe et al. (3)	Sanders et al. <i>(4)</i>

Table A7.1. Detection of any STI for anorectal syndrome

D	
-	
0	
<u> </u>	
=	
5	
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$	
6	
CD C	
<u> </u>	
Ξ.	
5	
<u> </u>	
5	
<u> </u>	
_	
77	
ĊĎ	
- E	
2	
0	
00	
0	
20	
-	
_	
Ġ	
<u> </u>	
The second se	
ž	
_ ⊂i	
d	
B	
Ξ	
q	
5	

MA

74

True negative	272	706	517	164	-
False positive	26	16	154	19	11
False negative	43	62	15	14	m
True positive	4	c	12	c	4
Diagnostic	Not reported	NAAT			
How a positive case is defined	Symptoms only	Symptoms only	Anal symptoms + "risk assessment" (model-derived risk score)	Symptoms only	Symptoms + "risk assessment"
Subpopulation	100% trans-women	100% men who have sex with men	99% men who have sex with men	100% men who have sex with men	100% men who have sex with men
Where recruited	Unclear	Unclear	Community settings	Sexual health clinic	Unclear
Sample size	345	787	698	200	19
Country income level	Upper middle	Upper middle	Lower middle	Upper middle	Lower middle
Country	Brazil	Peru	Kenya	South Africa	Kenya
Year of study	2015– 2016	2012– 2014	Unclear	2012	2011– 2012
Study	Caracas et al. <i>(5)</i>	Passaro et al. <i>(6)</i>	Quilter et al. <i>(2)</i>	Rebe et al. (3)	Sanders et al. (4)

THEFT

77

 \sim

Table A7.3. Detection of anal chlamydia for anorectal syndrome

×

Study	Year of study	Country	Country income level	Sample size	Where recruited	Subpopulation	How a positive case is defined	Diagnostic	True positive	False negative	False positive	True negative
Caracas et al. <i>(5)</i>	2015– 2016	Brazil	Upper middle	345	Unclear	100% trans-women	Symptoms only	Not reported	22	28	œ	287
Passaro et al. <i>(6)</i>	2012– 2014	Peru	Upper middle	787	Unclear	100% men who have sex with men	Symptoms only	NAAT	3	122	16	646
Quilter et al. <i>(2)</i>	Unclear	Kenya	Lower middle	698	Community settings	99% men who have sex with men	Anal symptoms + "risk assessment" (model-derived risk score)		00	11	158	521
Rebe et al. (3)	2012	South Africa	Upper middle	200	Sexual health clinic	100% men who have sex with men	Symptoms only		2	14	20	164
Sanders et al. <i>(4)</i>	2011– 2012	Kenya	Lower middle	244	Unclear	100% men who have sex with men	Symptoms + "risk assessment"		0	20	4	220

ANNEX 8. SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

Systematic review for urethral discharge Systematic review for vaginal discharge Systematic review for risk factors for gonorrhoea and chlamydial infection Systematic review for lower abdominal discharge Systematic review for genital ulcer Systematic review for anorectal discharge The role of *Mycoplasma genitalium* in acute and recurrent urethritis and pelvic inflammatory disease Description of modelling of vaginal discharge

or more information, contact:

World Health Organization Department of Global HIV, Hepatitis and STI Programme 20, avenue Appia 1211 Geneva 27 Switzerland

Email: hiv-aids@who.int

www.who.int/hiv

