# HIV prevention target setting Progress, challenges, way forward

AMSTERDAM, 21 JULY 2018



## **2020 HIV Prevention Targets & Commitments**

(2016 UN Political Declaration on Ending AIDS)

#### **Impact**

<500,000 new infections (75% reduction against 2010 baseline).</li>

#### Programme coverage-access to combination prevention

- 90% of adolescent girls, young and adult women & men in high-prevalence settings
- 90% of key populations

#### **Outputs**

- 20 billion condoms per year (equal to 25-50 condoms per male in highprevalence countries)
- 3 million people on pre-exposure prophylaxis (10% of persons at risk).
- 25 (additional) million voluntary medical male circumcisions in 14 countries in Africa (90% coverage among 15-29 year olds).

#### Financing and sustainability

- Allocate one "quarter" of total HIV budget for prevention on average, e.g. 15-30% (depending on relative treatment burden).
- Ensure that at least 30% of service delivery is community led by 2030



## The critical role of programmatic targets

#### Programmatic targets

- provide direction for implementation (scale & intensity)
- allow for regular (annual / quarterly / monthly) monitoring
- are therefore motivational for all stakeholders
- form the basis for operational planning at national and sub-national levels

### **Progress in target setting**

 >80 % of Coalition countries reported updating national targets to reflect 2016 UN Political Declaration / Prevention Coalition commitments

 For 20 Coalition countries, summary tables of national targets were submitted through UNAIDS country offices

 Specific feedback provided to 20 Coalition countries on national targets

### Alignment of national and global targets

- Targets are usually focused on the right priority pillars, (relevant to country's epidemic)
- In some countries, targets are still missing for
  - Programme coverage for key populations
  - Programme coverage for young women and their male partners in high-incidence communities
  - Condom distribution
  - PrEP
- Several countries are updating national strategic frameworks in 2018

## Example: Complete set of prevention targets – Kenya (1)

Example: Complete set of prevention targets iteriya (2)			
	Indicator	Baseline 2016	Target 2020
Impact	Number of new adult HIV infections in people aged 15+ years	56 100	22 800
	Number of new infections among women 15-24 years	17 600	4700
Condoms	Number of condoms distributed/sold	90 000 000	573 000 000

Number of condoms distributed or sold per man aged 15-64 years

Condom use at last sex with non-regular partner

Men aged 15-29 circumcised

Number of VMMCs per year

Number of PrEP sites

Annual VMMC target achieved

Cumulative number of VMMCs conducted

Number of people enrolled on PrEP at end of year

Number of sex workers (current and projected)

Sex workers who used a condom with last client

Number of sex workers reached twice with programmes in past 3 months

Number of dedicated sites providing services to sex workers

Men who have sex with men reached twice in past 3 months

Men who have sex with men who used a condom at last anal sex

Population size men who have sex with men (current and projected)

Number of dedicated sites providing services to men who have sex with men

Voluntary medical male

circumcision (VMMC)

Pre-exposure

prophylaxis (PrEP)

Key populations

(outcome and coverage)

Women: 56%

Men: 73%

7.3

93%

220 000

13 000

900

134 000

68 000

79

88%

18 000

10 000

49

77%

431 000 (2014-2016)

110%

40

90%

100%

180 000

1 002 000 (2014-2019)

100%

87 000

3000

209 000

180 000

91

95%

33 000

30 000

69

85%

## Example: Complete set of prevention targets – Kenya (2)

	Indicator	Baseline 2016	Target 2020
	Number of people who inject drugs (current and projected)	18 000	24 000
	Number of people who inject drugs reached twice in past 3 months with programmes	6300	21 000
	Number of dedicated sites providing services to people who inject drugs	12	16
	People who inject drugs who used safe injecting equipment during last injection	88%	95%
	Number of needles and syringes distributed per person who inject drugs per year	135	200
	People who use opioids who receive opioid substitution therapy	4%	50% (12 000)
Adolescent girls and young women	Number of high-incidence districts or locations	9 counties	
	Number of high-incidence locations covered with dedicated programmes	3	9
	Number of adolescent girls and young women in high-incidence districts/ locations (population size)	1 050 000	
	Girls completing lower secondary education	79%	100%
	Condom use at last sex with non-regular partner	38%	100%
HIV prevention financing: total spending on five pillars	Total spending on the five pillars	(USD Million)	(USD Million)
	HIV prevention among key populations	US\$ 11.45 million	US\$ 15.5 million
	Prevention for adolescent girls and young women	US\$ 26.32 million	US\$ 36.5 million
	Condom programming	US\$ 23.72 million	US\$ 39.6 million
	VMMC	US\$ 19.17 million	US\$ 9.9 million
	PrEP	US\$ 6.37 million	US\$ 11.5 million

13.7%

20.1%

Total HIV spending allocated to five priority pillars

## **Example: some missing prevention targets (1)**

	Indicator	Baseline 2016 (indicate year if different)	Target 2020	Comments / guidance (insert comments here)
Key	Population size sex workers	115 562	-	HIV prevalence among FCW: 36%
populations (outcome	Sex workers reached twice with programs in last 3 months	26 170	Not yet defined	Cascade Assessment report
and coverage)	Number of dedicated sites providing services to sex workers	5	Not yet defined	Drop- in centers funded by PEPFAR
	% of sex workers who used a condom with last client	72,7% (2009)		IBBS Survey (2009)
	Population size men who have sex with men	66 842		HIV prevalence among MSM: 37,2%
	Men who have sex with men reached twice in last 3 months with programs	11 395	Not yet defined	Cascade Assessment report
	Number of dedicated sites providing services to men who have sex with men	5	Not yet defined	Drop- in centers funded by PEPFAR
	% of men who have sex with men who used a condom at last anal sex	55,7 % (2011)	Not yet defined	IBBS Survey (2011)
	Population size people who inject drugs			Only priority countries for this population
	People who inject drugs reached twice in last 3 months with programs	NA		
	Number of dedicated sites providing services to people who inject drugs			
	% of people who inject drugs who used safe injecting equipment during last injection			
	Needles and syringes distributed per person who inject drugs			
	% of opioid users who receive opioid substitution therapy			

## **Example: some missing prevention targets (2)**

	Indicator	Baseline 2016 (indicate	Target 2020	Comments / guidance (insert
		year if different)		comments here)
Adolescent girls and young women (only countries with high- prevalence)	Number of high-incidence districts / locations	06		Above 0.3 in 100 person years (high), above 1.0 in 100 person years (very high), above 2.0 in 100 person years (extremely high) 6 cities with HIV prevalence above 5%
	Number of high-incidence districts / locations covered with dedicated program packages	06		Dedicated programs refer to availability of a standard package including community outreach for young women (and male partners)
	Number of adolescent girls and young women in high-incidence districts/ locations (population size)	290 221		See above for definitions Adolescents girls ( 14-19 years )
	% of adolescent girls and young women in high- incidence districts/ locations reached with dedicated program package in the past 12 months	Not available		See above for definitions Desegregated data not available
	% girls completing lower secondary education	72%		National Education Report 2015
	% condom use at last sex with non-regular partner	61,5%		
HIV	Total spending on the five pillars (USD)	4 524 713 (2015)		Use last year with available data as baseline
prevention financing	HIV prevention among Key populations (USD)	796 000		
	HIV prevention among adolescent girls and young women (USD)	124 000		
	Condoms (USD)	3 487 948		
	Voluntary medical male circumcision (USD)	NA		
	PrEP (USD)	116 765		
	% of total HIV spending that is allocated to the	28,8%		
	(three or five)priority pillars			

## Common quality issues in results frameworks & national targets (1)

#### Results frameworks

- Too many outcomes, outputs and targets
- Sometimes much focus on targets outside the control of HIV prevention programmes (struggle which structural outcomes to prioritize; comprehensiveness vs. complementarity)
- Some gaps in alignment of outcomes (service use, behaviors), outputs (coverage) and inputs (activities, resources)

#### Targets

- Sometimes too ambitious
- Short time frames for increasing coverage be several hundred %
- When it comes to determinants of prevention uptake, choices of targets are not always strategic
  - For example, choosing general basic HIV knowledge over targets addressing real bottlenecks (eg access, specific knowledge or norms)

#### At country-level: set additional targets along prevention results chain

#### Reduced number of new HIV infections



Utilization of HIV prevention methods, reduced high-risk sexual practices



Knowledge, risk perception, self-efficacy, norms, access, skills, demand, preferences...



Availability & coverage of services and communications



Capacity development, distribution, media production, management etc.

## Common quality issues in results frameworks & national targets (2)

- Plausibility of population size estimates for key populations
  - Large differences in key population sizes relative to total population
  - For gay men and other men who have sex with men: reaching all vs. men who have more than one partner
- Focus of targets for adolescent girls, young women & male partners in high-incidence settings
  - Intensity of programmes in locations with different levels of HIV incidence
  - Typically more focus of targets on adolescents (10-19), but limited focus on young adult women (20-29)
- Financing targets / needs often not reported
  - Current spending typically below needs
  - Resource needs estimates partially outside benchmarks

## Sub-national targets not consistently in place

- Lack of sub-national targets
  - Project targets for externally funded projects exist
  - Only 7 of 25 countries reported having specific sub-national prevention targets
- Lack of systems to integrate sub-national targets in management reviews
  - Focus often on upstream reporting of data
  - Need for regular, focused and lively management reviews at subnational level

Example: Framework for performance review and tracking prevention results

District/city implementers (health sector, education sector, police, private sector, civil society) Quarterly peer review of performance on key prevention programme outputs

District/city HIV forum/ management

Annual peer review of performance for all districts

> National HIV forum/ management

A word on score cards ...

## Rationale: What the scorecard wants and does not want to ...

What it wants to	What it does NOT want to
Summarize existing data	Not create new reporting
Provide an orientation & be an entry point for comparison	Not ignore difference in context
Stimulate learning from high- performing programs	Not pass final judgements
Encourage digging deeper: Supply, demand, structural, sub-national	Not be an end in itself



#### Three main sections of scorecard

#### **Target audiences**

 A big picture overview with overall scores for five priority pillars;



Global decision-makers, country policy makers;

A country summary



Country policy makers and program leaders

 Thematic summaries for the five priority pillars



Program leaders and technical experts incountry



### Big picture

#### **HIV Prevention Score Card: The big picture** Version 1.16 Back to 'Start' Scores are based on specific indicators and provide initial insights, not a full assessment. New infection trends and scores reflect different time periods and cannot be directly linked. Scores Scores **Scores Scores** AGYW & MPs AGYW & MPs AGYW & MPs Sex workers New adult HIV New adult HIV New adult HIV New adult HIV MSM infections (2010 infections (2010 infections (2010 infections (2010 vs 2020 targets) vs 2020 targets) vs 2020 targets) vs 2020 targets) South Lesotho India Tanzania 88 21 Africa Nigeria nd nd nd Zimbabwe nd nd Ghana nd nd nd na China nd nd nd nd 45 16 13 12 Mozambique Malawi 6 nd nd nd **DR Congo** nd nd nd na Brazil 10 5 nd na 11 Cote Cameroon Indonesia Kenya 16 d'Ivoire 26 Ethiopia Swaziland **Pakistan** Zambia nd nd nd nd nd ## 14 Angola nd nd nd nd Namibia Ukraine Uganda Mexico 9 nd nd 4 3 Legend Very good Medium Very low Not applicable Good nd No / insufficient data Low

#### **Example: country sheet**



#### **Core indicators reflected in scores – relevant for national strategies**

Area	Coverage	Outcome	Source
Young women	<ul> <li>% of priority sub-national areas covered</li> <li>% of young women in high-HIV incidence settings covered with combination prevention package</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Condom use with non-regular partners (young women 15-24)</li> <li>Access to secondary education</li> </ul>	GAM based on DHS and program records, UNICEF
Key populations	<ul> <li>% reached twice by combination prevention programs in the past 3 months (disaggregated by key population)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Condom use at last paid sex (sex workers), last anal sex (men who have sex with men)</li> <li>Use of safe injecting equipment during last injection</li> </ul>	GAM based on IBSS and program records
Condoms	<ul> <li>Number of condoms distributed (total / per man 15-64)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Condom use at last sex with a non-regular partner (15-49)</li> </ul>	GAM based on DHS and program records
VMMC	<ul> <li>% of annual VMMC target met</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% of 2021 VMMC target achieved (90% of men 15-29 circumcised)</li> </ul>	GAM based on DHS and program records
PrEP	<ul> <li>Legal/policy provisions for PrEP introduced <u>and</u> drugs registered</li> <li>% of needed PrEP sites in place</li> </ul>	% of people in need of PrEP who receive PrEP	UNAIDS/ WHO Needs estimation tool tbd

Additional structural indicators in the score card: Intimate partner violence, discrimination
of key populations in health care access, police sensitization on key populations

## Key programmatic gans emerging from score card process

Programmes for men who have sex with men

**Key populations (coverage of dedicated programmes** 

**Pakistan Ukraine** 

**Mexico** 

Key programmatic gaps emerging from score card process				
Priority gaps				
VMMC, sex workers				
Condoms, key populations, young women & male partners in high-incidence settings				
Condoms, sex workers				
Condoms, young women & male partners in high incidence settings				
Condoms, sex workers, KP data				
Condoms, sex workers, KP data, young women & male partners in high incidence settings				
Condoms, KP data, young women & male partners in high incidence settings				
VMMC, KP data (men who have sex with men)				
Condoms, key populations, young women & male partners in high-incidence settings				
Condoms, VMMC, young women & male partners in high incidence settings				
Key populations incl. data				
Condoms, key population data				
Condoms (?), VMMC, young women & male partners in high incidence settings				
Condoms, key populations, analysis whether gen. pop. programmes for young women needed				
Condoms, key populations, analysis whether gen. pop. programmes for young women needed				
Condoms, key populations (incl. men who have sex with men)				
Condoms, VMMC, young women & male partners in high incidence settings				
VMMC, key populations (men who have sex with men)				
Overall scores good – question on population size / coverage among men who have sex with men				
Data not yet submitted				
Key populations (coverage of dedicated programmes)				
Key populations (coverage and outcomes of programmes)				
Key populations (coverage and outcomes of programmes)				

#### Priorities for the remainder of 2018

- Set any missing programmatic targets by Dec. 2018
- Continue dialogue on improving quality of targets & results frameworks
  - Define programmatically relevant population sizes for key populations and young women where missing
  - Define packages and implementation modalities for programmes for key populations and young women in highincidence communities where missing
- Set sub-national targets and strengthen decentralized management systems

## Thank you!



#### How can we measure the 90% HLM coverage target for young women?

Coverage of HIV prevention programmes: percentage of adolescent girls, young women and adult men in high-incidence settings reporting having received a combined set of HIV prevention interventions

This indicator is divided into three-sub-indicators:

- A. Coverage of HIV prevention programmes among adolescent girls (15-19)
- B. Coverage of HIV prevention programmes among young adult women (20-29)
- C. Coverage of HIV prevention programmes among adult men (20-34)

What it measures: People who received at least two HIV prevention interventions in the past three months (or were reached 8 times per year)

Rationale: Coverage with evidence-informed prevention programming is a critical component of the response as reflected in the UNAIDS Strategy.

**Numerator:** Number of people in a priority population (adolescent girls 15-19, young adult women 20-29, adult men 20-34, <u>at high risk</u> of HIV infection) who report receiving at least two interventions in the past 3 months or were reached 8 times with prevention interventions in the past 12 months

**Denominator:** Number of people in the priority population (adolescent girls 15-19, young adult women 20-29, adult men 20-34, <u>at high risk</u> of HIV infection) within high-incidence settings

Method of measurement: There are two ways to measure this indicator. We encourage reporting both programme and survey data.

Behavioural surveillance or other special surveys (for high-incidence communities only)

Percentage of respondents who report receiving at least two of the following HIV prevention services from an NGO, health-care provider or other sources:

- In the past three months, have you been given condoms, lubricant, antiretroviral drugs to avoid acquiring HIV infections (PrEP or PEP) or HIV testing, which included counselling how to prevent HIV infection? (for example in a clinic, through an outreach service, in a shop, work-place or school)
- In the past three months, have you participated individually as or part of a group in a session, where information was provided on safer sex, HIV prevention behaviors, condom use or other HIV prevention methods? (for example, through an outreach worker, in a clinic, in a work-place, a shop)
- For 15-19 only: Are you in school and, in the past three months, have received education on sexuality, sexual and reproductive health or HIV prevention in school?

Programme data (for high-incidence communities only)

Number of priority populations reached twice in the past 3 months (or 8 times per year) with the following services (disaggregate for adolescent girls 15-19, young adult women 20-29 and adult men 20-34 at high risk)

- o condom distribution.
- o PrEP or PEP (antiretroviral drugs to avoid acquiring HIV infections)
- o HIV testing, which included HIV prevention counselling,
- o individual or small group communication on HIV prevention,
- o comprehensive sexuality education including HIV prevention (15-19 only).

Draft from consultation meeting – not final